We, the undersigned members of the Board of Regents of The University of Texas, hereby ratify and approve all actions taken at this meeting to be reflected in the Minutes.

Signed this the 1 3th day of MARCH, 1965 , A. D.

$\frac{\text { Mus O-L ec Them IIC }}{\text { (Mrs.) J. Lee Johnson? III, Member }}$


John S. Redditt, Member


## APPEARANCE OF FORT WORTH DELEGATION IN INTEREST OF

 ARLINGTON STATE COLLEGE.--A bill is now pending in the Legislature requesting the transfer of Arlington State College from the Texas A \& M University System to The University of Texas System, A delega tion from the area of Arlington State College through Senator Don Kennard requested to and did appear before the Board at this session explaining the potential of the college and the hopes for its future and expressing the desire to be a part of The University of Texas System. This delegation consisted of Senator Don Kennard of Tarrant County, Mr. Gifford Johnson and Doctor Wilson Leroy, Jr., of Dallas, Messrs. J. Lee Johqson III, Marvin Nichols, and Jenkins Garrett of Fort Worth, and Nayor Tom Vandegriff of Arlington.At the close of the delegation!s presentation, Chairman Heath commentqd that certainly this is a matter to be decided by the Legislature together with the Governor, but if the Legislature in its wisdom sees fit to put Arlington State College in The University of Texas System it is his personal feeling the Board will cio the very best it can to help the college realize its potential.

RECESS. -- Thereafter, the Board recessed until 10:00 a.m. on Saturday, March 13, and the standing committees resumed their meetings.
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Saturday, March 13, 1965
SATURDAY, MARCH 13, 1965.--The Board of Regents reconvened on Saturday, March 13, 1965, at 10:00 a.m. in Main Building, Room 212. As on Friday, all Regents were present as were the Administrative offi cials with the exception of Doctor R. Lee Clark and Doctor John V. Olson who had been excused from the Saturday morning meeting. Doctor Truman G. Blocker, Jr., was in attendance only at the meeting of the Board on Saturday morning.

Press Representatives in attendance: Mrs. Anita Brewer, representin the Austin American-Statesman; Miss Mary Rice Brogan, representing the Houston Chronicle; and Harley Pershing, representing the Fort Worth Star-Telegram

INVOCATION.--Regent Olan, Chaplain of the Board of Regents, offered the invocation.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES, JANUARY 29-30, 1965, IN AUSTIN. -- The minutes of the Regular Meeting of the Board of Regents of The University of Texas held on January 29-30, 1965, in Austin, were unanimousl approved, upon motion duly made and seconded, in the form as distributed to each Regent by the Secretary and as entered in the Official Record, Volume XII.

REPORTS. --Chancellor Ransom and the institutionel heads did not have any reports other than those presented to the various committees. However, Chancellor Ransom indicated that accreditation reports in various fields were due within the near future and would be reported to the Board as well as reports and surveys that were being made in the internationaf area.
(


Football Ticket Prices for 1965. --It is recommended that the following prices for home football games in 1965 be approved:

| For Texas Tech, Indiana, | East and West Sides | $\$ 5.00$ |
| :---: | :--- | ---: |
|  | North End Zone (except |  |
|  | knothole section) | 2.50 |
| For Rice and Baylor | Knothole Section | .50 |
|  | All Seats | 5.00 |
|  | Knothole (if available) | .50 |

Basketball, Baseball, Track, Tennis, Swimming, and Golf Schedules.--It is recommended that the following schedules for the spring and winter sports as recommended by the Athletic Council be approved:

Varsity Basketball

| December | 1 | Texas Wesleyan at Austin |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 | Mississippi at Mississippi |
|  | 7 | L. S. U. at Baton Rouge |
|  | 12 | Oklahoma at Austin |
|  | 14 | Oklahoma State at Austin |
|  | 18 | Nebraska at Austin |
|  | 21 | Howard Payne at Austin |
|  | 29-30 | Gator Bowl at Jacksonville, Florida |
| January | 5 | Texas Tech at Austin |
|  | 9 | T.C.U. at Ft. Worth |
|  | 12 | Baylor at Austin |
|  | 27 | Trinity at San Antonio |
|  | 30 | S.M. U. at Dallas |
| February | 2 | - Rice at Austin |
|  | 6 | A \& M at College Station |
|  | 9 - | Arkansas at Austin |
|  | 13 | Rice at Houston |
|  | 16 | Texas Tech at Lubbock |
|  | 20 | S. M. U. at Austin |
|  | 23 | Arkansas at Little Rock |
|  | 27 | A \& M at Austin |
|  |  | A |
| March | 2 | T.C.U. at Austin |
|  | 4 | Baylor at Waco |
|  |  | O o |
|  |  | ty Track |
| March | 6 | A \& M, ice, Texas Triangular at Houston |
|  | 12-13 | Border Olympics at Laredo |
|  | 19-20 | West Texas Relays at Odessa |
|  | 27 | Corpus Christi Invitational at |









(





TEXAS WESTERN COLLEGE AMENDMENTS TO 1964-65 BUDGETS
Source of Funds - Departmental Appropriations (Unless Otherwise Specified)

Explanation
5. Kenneth L. Carpenter Contract Negotiation and Administration Office

Present Status $\qquad$

Research Engineer- Assistant to the Scientist Associate President II (Schellenger
Research Laboratory)
$\$ 6,468$
$\square$ fectives
Dates

MEDICAL BRANCH
AMENDMENTS TO 1964-65 BUDGETS
Source of Funds - Departmental Appropriations (Unless Otherwise Specified)
alary Rate
$\$ 10,500$
Instructor (1/2T); Assistant Medical Director, Vocational Rehabilitation Unit (1/2T) $\$ 15,000$ 1/1/65

Source of Funds:
 Effective Dates

Vocational Rehabilitation Interagency Contract
No. 4413-1079






168. Robert E. Hollingsworth Defense Research Laboratory Salary Rate
169. Robert K. Goodnow

Defense Research Laboratory Salary Rate
170. Bernie R. Criswell Defense Research Laboratory Salary Rate
171. Jimmy F. Byers Defense Research Laboratory Salary Rate
172. Lewie M. Barber Defense Research Laboratory Salary Rate
173. Dudley D. Baker Defense Research Laboratory
Salary Rate
174. Arnold J. Tucker Defense Research Laboratory Salary Rate
175. Robert M. Adams Defense Research Laboratory Salary Rate
176. Glen E. Ellis Defense Research Laboratory
Salary Rate
177. Harlan G. Frey Defense Research Laboratory Salary Rate Salary Rate
179. Kay J. Diercks Defense Research Laboratory Salary Rate
180. Don L. Baird Defense Research Laboratory Salary Rate
181. Herbert A. Hamblin Defense Research Laboratory Salary Rate
182. Don R. Sanders

Defense Research Laboratory Salary Rate
183. Samuel B. Smith Defense Research Laboratory Salary Rate
184. Robert H. Stokes Defense Research Laboratory Salary Rate
185. Kermit W. Harvel Defense Research Laboratory Salary Rate
186. Jerry L. Bardin Defense Research Laboratory Salary Rate
187. Charles H. Hayes Defense Research Laboratory Salary Rate
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4. Types of Professional Appointments - Professional appointments shall be of two types: (a) at an appropriate rank in a designated medical specialty or scientific discipline in the Staff of the M. D. Anderson Hospital and Tumor Institute, or (b) at an appropriate professorial rank in the General Faculty of The University of Texas at the M. D. Anderson Hospital and Tumor Institute. Prerequisite for membership in the General Faculty shall be participation in teaching to a significant degree in addition to research and/or service activities. Professional appointees may be transferred from either category to the other, depending upon actual performance of duties and personal desires, such requests for transfer to take the channels prescribed in Item 2.
5. Other Appointments - Except where especially stipulated in institutional policy, the general principles of faculty appointment, full-time and part-time, as approved for the Main University in Austin and the Medical Branches shall prevail.
6. Tenure and Continixing Appointments - Tenure shall be dependent upon the proper performance of duty and moral conduct. Full-time members of the General Faculty at the rank of Associate Professor and Professor shall be eligible for tenure according to the policies of the Institution and The University of Texas. Generally, Acting Associate Professors shall be appointed initially for a two-year period without tenure. This two-year period shall be renewable for two additional years. Acting Professors shall be appointed for a two-year period without tenure. Professors to whom tenure is not to be granted shall be so notified early in the second year of the initial appointment. The probationary period for Associate Professors and Professors may be waived in exceptional cases on the basis of recommendation through the channels prescribed in Item 2. Members of the Staff of the M. D. Anderson Hospital and Tumor Institute shall enjoy continuing employment subject to the rules and regulations developed for such appointments by the Faculty Classification Committee, recommended by the Chancellor, and approved by the Board. This shall not include the right to trial procedures.
7. Faculty Classification Committee - The Faculty Classification Committee shall be constituted of the Heads of the Departments of Medicine, Pathology, Radiology, Surgery, Biochemistry, Biology and Physics and of such other departments of similar rank as are later organized; membership of the Committee shall also include the Associate Director (Education), who shall serve as Chairman, the Associate Director (Research) and the Administrator who shall serve ex cfficio. It shall serve as an adjudicating advisory board in regard to initial professional appointments and promotions, making secommendations to the Director on proposals of the Execurive Committee of the Medical Staff and the Basic Sciences Board concerning the appointment, reappointment, promotion or other change in status of professional appointees above the level of Assistant. The Committee shall maintain a classification system for
professional appointments in the Institution, considering such factors of education, experience and contributions to the designated field as basic criteria for professional appointments and promotions subject to recommendation by the Chancellor and approval of the Board. The Committee shall formulate and maintain on a current basis rules and regulations regarding tenure and shall delineate procedures necessary for the termination of employment of a professional appointee subject to recommendation of the Chancellor and approval of the Board. The Committee shall also serve as an advisory body on academic responsibility and freedom. The organization and operation of the Committee are subject to recommendation by the Chancellor and approval by the Board.

When a Special Trial Committee is required pursuant to the Rules and Regulations, Part One, Chapter III, Section 6.33, such Trial Committee shall be made up of two members of the faculty chosen by the Chairman of the Board of Regents, two members of the faculty chosen by the General Faculty, and a fifth member of the faculty chosen by the four members mentioned above.

Adoption of Report. --Regent Connally moved that the foregoing report be adopted. Regent Johnson seconded this motion which was unanimous.y adopted.

The items submitted to the Buildings and Grounds Committee for approval and which were approved at the meeting of the committee are:

Main University: Approval of Final Plans and Specifications for Replacement of Parts of the Sanitary Sewer System and Authority to Executive Committee to Award Contract. -At the Regents' Meeting held June 27, 1964, Engineers were appointed with authorization to prepare plans and specifications for Replacement of Parts of the Sanitary Sewer System on the Campus of the Main University. These plans and specifications have now been completed and approved by Main University Director of Physical Plant Eckhardt, Main University Business Manager Colvin, Comptroller Sparenberg, Vice-Chancellor Hackerman, and Chancellor Ransom, It is recommended that they be approved by the Board, with authorization to Comptroller Sparenberg to advertise for bids. In order to have this work performed in time for the start of construction on the Biological Sciences Building, it is further recommended that the Executive Committee be authorized to award a contract for this project between Board meetings after receipt of bids and recommendations by the administration as to the award.

Main University: Approval of Plans and Specifications for Fiping Project for Addition to Central Water Chilling Station No. 2 (Including Electrical Work, Rearrangement of Crane Set-Up, Equipment Foundations, Etc.). - At a previous Regents' Meeting, authorization was given for the firm of Zumwalt and Vinther to prepare plans and specifications for Expansion of the Capacity of Central Water Chilling Station No. 2 at the Main University. Contracts have already been awarded for the major equipment involved in this expansion, and plans and specifications have now been completed for the balance of the project, including piping, electrical work, rearrangement of the crane set-up, equipment foundations, etc. The balance in the appropriation for this project is approximately $\$ 162,000$, and the estimated construction cost of the work covered by these plans and specifications is $\$ 146,500$, which together with the Engineers' Fees thereon will be within the money available.

These plans and specifications have been approved by Main University Physical Plant Director Eckhardt, Main University Business Manager Colvin, Comptroller Sparenberg, ViceChancellor Hackerman, and Chancellor Ransom. It is recommended that they be approved by the Board, and that Comptroller Sparenberg be authorized to advertise for bids to be presented to the Board later.


Texas Western College: Exchange of Lots. -- At the Regents' Meeting held October 6, 1962, authorization was given by the Board to effect an exchange of lots at Texas Western College, as follows:

Texas Western College was to acquire Lots 6 through 10 , Block 211, Alexander Addition to the City of El Paso, Texas, in exchange for Lots 11 through 15, Block 185, Alexander Addition to the City of El Paso, Texas, and a cash payment of $\$ 5,000.00$.

At the Regents' Meeting held September 28, 1963, it was reported that this approved exchange was never consummated, and it was further recommended that the original authorization be changed to allow Texas Western College to offer Lots 7 through 11, and $1 / 2$ of Lot 12, Block 195, Alexander Addition to the City of El Paso, Texas, in addition to the lots previously authorized and the cash payment. This revised proposal was also approved by the Board. After further negotiations, the owner of the lots which Texas Western College wished to acquire agreed to accept the original proposition without the inclusion of the additional lots later authorized. Since this proposal was more advantageous to the University, an exchange deed has been prepared on the basis of the original proposal, signed on behalf of the Board by Chairman Heath, and delivered. It is recommended that this action be ratified by the Board.

Main University: Approval of Final Plans and Specifications for Biological Sciences Building and Additional Appropriation Therefor. --At the Regents' Meeting held January 30, 1965, approval of the Board was given to an action of the Committee of the Whole authorizing the Executive Committee to approve final plans and specifications for the Biological Sciences Building at the Main University, when they were completed and to approve an additional appropriation for the building up to $\$ 400,000.00$, when the revised estimated cost had been determined. It was not possible to complete the plans and specifications and obtain all the administrative approvals necessary in time for the Executive Committee to act; therefore, this matter is being brought back to the Board for approval.

The final plans and specifications have now been completed by Associate Architects Jessen, Jessen, Millhouse, Greeven, and Crume and approyed by Consulting Architects Brooks and Barr, Doctor Wilson S. Stone, the Main University Faculty Building and Space Allocation Committee, ViceChancellor Hackerman, Comptroller Sparenberg, and Chancellor Ransom. It is recommended that they be approved by the Board and that Comptroller Sparenberg be authorized to advertise for bids (after approval by National Institutes of Health and National Science Foundation) to be presented to the Board for consideration at a later meeting.

These final plans and specifications are based on the Associate Architects' revised cost estimate of $\$ 3,940,000.00$ and it is, therefore, recommended that an additional appropriation of $\$ 400,000.00$ be made for this building from the Available Unibersity Fund account entitled New Building Construction, Utilities Expansion and Air Conditioning Projects - Unallocated

Main University: Approval of Plans and Specifications for Modernization and Remodeling (Including Air Conditioning) of Six Existing Buildings (R.O.T.C., R.O.T.C. Rifle Range, Speech, Service Building, Main Building Tower, Petroleum Engineering). --At the Regents' Meeting held January 30, 1965, authorization was given to a Special Committee to approve the plans and specifications for Modernization and Remodeling o" Six Existing Buildings at the Main University after their completion by Bovay Engineers, Inc. These plans and specifications are now complete but were not completed in time for the Special Committee to act in advance of the Board meeting. They have been approved by Main University Business Manager Colvin, Comptroller Sparenberg, Vice-Chancellor Hackerman, and Chancellor Ransom, and it is recommended that they be approved by the Board, with authorization to Comptroller Sparenberg to advertise for bids. It is further recommended that the Executive Committee be authorized to award a contract or contracts within the amount of money available, if such procedure later seems necessary to save time.

Main University: Award of Contracts for Remodeling and Renovation of Hill Hall Kitchen. --In accordance with authorization given at the Regents' Meeting held April 25, 1964, plans and specifications were prepared by the Main University Physical Plant staff and bids were called for on Remodeling and Renovation of the Hill Hall Kitchen at the Main University. These bids were opened and tablulated on March 9, 1965, as shown on Page 52. After consideration of the bids by all concerned, it is recommended by Main University Business Manager Colvin, Comptroller Sparenberg, Vice-Chancellor Hackerman, and Chancellor Ransom that award of contracts be made to the low bidders, as follows:

General Contract:
Robert C. Gray Construction
Company, Austin, Texas
\$15, 773.00
Kitchen Equipment Contract:
West Texas Coffee and Equip-
ment Company, Abilene, Texas 24,444.00
Total Recommended Contract Awards
\$40, 217.00

These recommended contract awards plus estimated costs of other items to be incorporated in this project, including utility connections for the equipment being purchased, are within the $\$ 66,850.00$ appropriated for this purpose.
accept a proposed gift of the R. T. Hoover home. President Ray reported developments in connection with the proposed gift of the Robert T. Hoover home at 711 Cincinnati Street, El Paso, to Texas Western College, for use as a President!s home, and the proposed sale of the property presently devoted to use as a President's home at 4312 Donnybrook Place, El Paso.

The Committee recommends to the Board that after legislation on Senate Concurrent Resolution No. 28 is obtained, a minimum of two appraisals on the Donnybrook property be procured, at least one of which should be from a bank with which the College does business, and that after these appraisals have been received and a minimum price established, the College advertise for bids on the property. Advertising for bids for sale of the present President's home on Donnybrook and the actual acceptance of the gift of the Hoover home will be consummated as quickly as possible after Senate Concurrent Resolution No. 28 is adopted and signed in accordance with law which authorizes this sale and acceptance of the gift.

Acting Committee Chairman Johnson personally commended the Consulting Architect for resorting to models rather than colored drawings in presenting plans.

At this point, Regent Johnson said that she thought it would be appropriate for the Board to express thanks to its Special Committee to Study Architecture of The University of Texas System. Chairman Heath requested Regent Johnson to convey thanks of the Board to this Committee for the time and efforts that the members gave to the study.

Adoption of Report. -- Upon motion of Regent Johnson, seconded by Regent Brenan, the foregoing report of the Buildings and Grounds Committee was unanimously adopted.

REPORT OF BOARD FOR LEASE OF UNIVERSITY LANDS.--Regent Brenan reported that the next meeting of the Board for Lease of University Lands has been scheduled for $1: 00 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. on March 16, 1965. There has not been a meeting of this Board since the last meeting of the Regents in January 1965.

## OTHER MATTERS

Regent Erwin stated that he continued to notice in the papers that the Board is standing at ease until new appointments are made. The statement is a disservice to those whose terms have expired but who have continued to serve actively and faithfully. The Board has continued its work. Those whose terms have expired and who have continued to serve are to be commended by the other members of the Board.

STATEMENT AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF CHAIRMAN W. W. HEATH --Chairman Heath read a statement concerning recormmendations as set out on Pages $\qquad$

Many years ago when The University of Texas decided not to move to the Brackenridge Tract, it acquired sufficient acreage north and east of the original 40 acres then thought adequate to take care of all future needs of the University. Near that time, when I left the University it had about 4,000 students. Now it has almost six times that many, and has again run out of space.

There are many facets of the problem. First, and perhaps foremost, there are only ten minutes between classes. That means that classrooms should be within that walking distance of each other, which severely limiks the suitable space as the institution becomes larger and more complex.

Then the problem is compoinded by the necessity of locating faculty offices and research space in the classroom area.

As the student-teacher ratio continues to improve--as it must in our quest for excellence--, as the quality of the faculty improves, and as research steadily increases, the demands for nonclassroom space pyramid and require a much greater percentage of the total building space than before and inexorably push the perimeter of the main campus outward.

Dormitories should be in walking distance, and existing fine dormitories fringing the present campus cannot well be torn down to provide space for academic facilities, especially with the current shortage of dormitory space. Libraries and Library collections cry out for more space. Students need their classrooms near supporting facilities such as libraries, student union, and space for physical education. Where parking required no space in 1923, it has become a vital space problem. In this space age, facilities such as the computation center are required for purposes unheard of only a few years ago.

So our ground needs today are not just six times what they were, when I was in the University, but much, much more. This is primarily due to the tremendous new burdens placed upon a great University in this modefn complex and fast moving era of Science and Space as we build and develop a better and greater University with all that it takes to do so.

To briefly state obvious problems is much easier than to state the solutions. Actually, there are no pat solutions. We must dedicate ourselve $\$$ to obtaining all available facts, expert adivice, and then to exercising our best efforts to solve them where a solution is possible and practical and, if not, to minimize them as best we can. That this Board and its Admin istration has been diligently working on for some time.

Whether the best utilization of campus land space has been made or not, I think all will agree that we have about reached the limit of crowding buildings together and that we must have additional space. We regret the necessity to inconvenience any one but further delay would only serve to magnify both the problem and the expense.


Interrelated to housing are the questions of (1) Discrimination in private housing and whether the University has the legal authority or the legal obligation to force owners of private property to accept students into their homes or other places for housing who are undesirable to them for any reason, and if it is discretionary should it impose its will on the owners of private property, and (2) what obligation, if any, the Universify has to supervise private housing, occupied by students, and (3) if it does not propose to actually supervise private housing used by students, what is its obligation with respect to furnishing University housing, properly supervised to the younger and more immature students, away from home and parental supervision for the first time.

I merely state the questions and do not here attempt to answer them.
But we should and will face up to and answer these troublesome questions. To that end I request the approval of the Board of the appointment of a special committee by your Chairman for the purpose of studying the housing and interrelated problems here discussed and studying administrative and other recommendations, with instructions to report back to the Board, at its April 2nd meeting.

At this time the Board wishes to express appreciation for the suggestion of many individuals, committees, and organizations concerned with thes matters. Except for the central academic programs of instruction and research, there is no more important responsibility and no greater opportunity for imaginative development at the Main University.

Adoption. --Regent Brenan moved that the report of the Chairman and the recommendations contained therein be adopted. Regent Olan seconded the motion stating that the Board not only approves and accepts the report but also expresses the urgency of the matter and that the Regents feel strongly and are determined to move in this direction with much more than deliberate speed. The motion unanimously prevailed.

PREAMBLE TO THE REPORT OF THE SFECIAL COMMITTEE TO STUDY ARCHITECTURE. --Regent Johnson was recognized. She stated that in light of the marvelous statement by the Chairman she felt compelled, and would like, to read the Preamble to the Report of the Special Committee to Study Architecture of The University of 'Texas System. The Preamble follows:

The Special Committee, appointed by the Board of Regents in charge of the task of studying architecture at the University and recommending future policies to the Board, has made and submits the attached report. Our deliberations have resulted in a detailed review of our own policies as well as the policies, both actual and planned, of other major institutions. Cxucial in our deliberations were the following general considerations and criteria.
Our needs at The University of Texas have changed enormously over the years, and the policies and procedures appropriate to an institution of four or five thousand students have, to a great degree, been rendered obsolete by expansion and changing technologies. Not only has the student body increased fivefold,
but we now have a state-wide system composed of a number of campuses, and the number of these campuses will almost certainly double in the next decade. Coherent and system-wide planning is now approximately mandatory if we are to avoid chaotic growth and bureaucratic confusion. First of all, the overall direction of planning and construction must be of the highest professional quality. In order for the Regents to exercise their fundamental responsibility for the future physical development of the University, comprehensive and long-range planning of the highest quality is imperative. We need, as costs and enrollments expand, to avail ourselves of the best resources and talent available .- resources which in the present system are not being fully utilized. We neglect, for instance, to take full advantage of the professional abilities of our own School of Architecture and our general faculties. Moreover the need for planning is urgent. Problems go on multiplying, and unless firm and farsighted action in architecture and construction is taken promptly, we shall end by complicating chaos. There is no reason why such action should not be taken. In other areas real advances are being made, and the goal of general academic excellence is being vigorously and imaginatively pursued. In order for this quest to become fully meaningful, it is crucial that it take place within an environment of corresponding excellence and beauty. We need to make our commitment to excellence a visible and concrete commitment, and these proposals, we believe, wil do much to make that possible.

SCHEDULED MEETINGS. --A one-day meeting of the Board has been scheduled on April 2. It was agreed, however, for the next regular meeting that each Regent would submit acceptable dates in May to Chainman Heath and he would in turn set the date for the May meeting.

GREG LIPSCOMB, RETIRING PRESIDENT OF STUDENTS' ASSOCIATION. --Chairman Heath recognized Greg Lipscomb, President of the Students' Association, who commended the members of the Board for their fine work and expressed his appreciation and pleasure for having had the opportunity of working with the Board "whose receptiveness has been superb." In response, Chairman Heath on behalf of the Board expressed appreciation for the fine services Mr. Lipscomb had rendered as President of the Students' Association.

ITEMS FOR THE RECORD.--In order to complete the record, below is a report of the actions of the Texas Commission on Higher Education relating to programs requested by the Administration upon authorization of the Board of Regents:

Main University: Interdepartmental Majors in Middle Eastern Studies and in Asian Studies under Plan I Leading to the Degree of Bachelor of Arts. - The Texas Commission on Higher Education on January 11, 1965, unanimously approved the following requests for Main University:
(a) Interdepartmental Major in Middle Eastern Studies under Plan I leading to the degree of Bachelor of Arts
(b) Interdepartmental Major in Asian Studies under Plan I leading to the degree of Bachelor of Arts.

Texas Western College: Medical Technology, Bachelor of Science Degree. - The Texas Commission on Higher Educadion on January 11, 1965, unanimously approved the following request for Texas Western College:

Degree Program in Medical Technology leading to the Degree of Bachelor of Science.

ADJOURNMENT. --There being no further business on the agenda, the Board adjourned at 11:45 arm.


March 22, 1965

REVISIONS TO EXHIBITION ROOM LIGHTING ART BUILDING AND MUSEUM THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS MAIN UNIVERSSITY AUSTIN, TEXAS
Bids Opened: 2:30 P. M., Tuesday, March 9, 1965

Bidder
W. H. Anderson Company, Auftin, Texas
Thodas Hinderer, Austin,
Telas
L-H Builders, Austin, Teyas
3. 1. McGee Construction

Company, Austin, Texas

All pidders submitted with their bids a bidder's bond in the amount of $5 \%$ of the total bid.

