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CALENDARMEETING OF THE BOARD OF REGENTSOF
THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXASMay 12-13, 1961
Place: Main Building, Austin, Texas
Telephone No.: GReenwood 6-8371, Extensions 219 or 325
Friday, May 12
Page No.
8:30 a. m. Executive Committee (Organizational ..... 10meeting to discuss procedures andmechanics)(Regents Heath, Bryan, Madden,McNeese, and Thompson)Room 209
9:30 a. m. Meeting of the Buildings and Grounds
Committee
(Regents Thompson, Brenan, Heath,51and Redditt)
Room 102
Meeting of the Medical Affairs Com- mittee ..... 68(Regents Bryan, Connally, Madden,and McNeese)
Room 209
11:00 a. m. Meeting of the Land and Investment Com- mittee ..... *
(Regents Madden, Brenan, Bryan, and Heath)Room 205
Meeting of the Academic and Developmental Affairs Committee ..... 12
(Regents McNeese, Connally, Redditt, and Thompson)Room 209

[^0]12:30 p. m. Lunch
Room 101
1:30 p. m. Meeting of the Committee of the Whole ..... 70Room 209
1:30 p. m. To confer with Chancellor Ransom2:00 p. m. To meet with institutionalheads individually to con-sider items on the Agenda ofthe Committee of the Wholefor the respective institutionsand to consider any emergencyitems previously cleared withChancellor Ransom for pres-entation
3:00 p. m. To receive items referred by the Standing and Special Committees
4:00 p.m. To receive special items by:a. Chancellor Ransomb. Members of the Board
Saturday, May 13
9:00 a. m.Meeting of the Board of Regents85Room 209

[^1]AGENDA
STANDING COMMITTEES
AND
COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE
MAY 12-13,1961

Page No.
I. Standing Committees (Friday, May 12, 1961)
A. Executive Committee (Regents Heath, Bryan, Madden, $\quad 10$

1. Organization, Procedures, and Mechanics
2. Interim Actions
B. Academic and Developmental Affairs Committee
(Regents McNeese, Connally, Redditt, and Thompson)
3. Central Administration
a. Docket
b. Rules and Regulations of the Board of Regents for the Government of The University of Texas
(1) Part One, Amendment No. 3 (final approval)
(2) Part One, Amendment No. 4
(3) Institutional Supplements: Policy re Retirement of Deans and Departmental Chairmen
c. Two- Year Graduate Degree for Teachers in Junior Colleges
4. Main University
a. School of Architecture: Combined Curriculum and Establishment of Bachelor of Science Program, College of Arts and Sciences
b. County Aquarium, Port Aransas (Institute of Marine Science)
c. Housing: Request of Human Relations Committee, Students' Association
d. Waiver of Regents' Nepotism Rule
5. Main University and Texas Western College

Small Class Reports, Spring Semester 1961
C. $\frac{\text { Buildings and Grounds Committee }}{\text { (Regents Thompson, Brenan, Heath, and Redditt) }}$

1. Main University
a. Certain Existing Buildings: Appropriation for Modernization and Remodeling
b. Business Administration-Economics Building: Approval of Specifications for Classroom Furniture and Furniture and Furnishings
c. Research Facilities and Headquarters Building at Institute of Marine Science: Approval of Specifications for Furniture and Furnishings
d. Four Parking Areas: Approval of Plans and Specifications and Appropriation Therefor
e. University Apartments and Trailer Camp: Reworking of Electrical Distribution System
f. Waller Creek: Removal of Pecan Tree
g. Experimental Science Building: Approval of Plans and Specifications for Conversion of Certain Rooms in East End
h. Law School Building (Townes Hall): Approval of Preliminary Plans for Addition and Appointment of Associate Architect
i. Gregory Gymnasium: Approval of Preliminary Plans for Addition and Appointment of Associate Architect
j. Engineering-Science Building: Appropriations for Specialized Teaching, Research, and Laboratory Equipment and Utility Extensions, Re-routing Utilities, and Site Improvements
k. Sutton Hall and Taylor Hall: Authorization for Engineers Zumwalt and Vinther to Supervise and Inspect Mechanical and Electrical Work on Remodeling Attics and Air Conditioning
2. Printing Division Building: Final Inspection
m. Art Building and Museum: Award of Contracts
3. Southwestern Medical School
a. Student Union Building: Oral Report and Discussion
b. Danciger Research Laboratories Building: Report on Recommendation by National Advisory Council on Health Research Facilities of United States Public Health Service for Approval of Grant of \$1,000,000
4. Texas Western College
a. Liberal Arts Building (formerly ClassroomOffice Building): Approval of Specifications for Furniture and Furnishings
b. Housing for Married Students and a Dormitory for Men: Approval of Preliminary Plans and Appointment of Associate Architect
5. Medical Branch

John Sealy Hospital: Authority to Request Grant from Sealy and Smith Foundation to Cover Remodeling Projects
5. System-Wide

Recommendations in Regard to PreQualifications of Bidders
D. Land and Investment Committee
(Regents Madden, Brenan, Bryan, and Heath)

1. Permanent University Fund
a. Investment Matters
b. Land Matters
c. Bond Matters
d. Discussion: Additional Surveying - Uni-
versity Lands
2. Trust and Special Funds
a. Investment Matters
b. Real Estate Matters
c. Funds Grouped for Investment

Recommendation re Additions (for action of Committee only)
d. Discussion, Hogg Foundation: W. C. Hogg Fund
(1) Ad Valorem Tax Questions re Town House Lease, Houston
(2) Prospects for Sale of Smith and Texas Property, Houston
(3) Prospects for Sale or Lease of Capitol and Fannin Property, Houston
E. $\frac{\text { Medical Affairs Committee }}{\text { (Regents Bryan, Connally, Madden, and McNeese) }} 68$

[^2]II. Committee of the Whole (Friday, May 12)
A. Chancellor Ransom (1:30 p.m.)
B. Special and Emergency Items (all to have been previously cleared with Chancellor Ransom for presentation) (2:00 p.m.)

1. Main University (Doctor Ransom)
2. Texas Western College (Doctor Ray)
3. Medical Branch (Doctor Truslow)
4. Dental Branch (Doctor Olson)
5. M. D. Anderson Hospital and Tumor Institute (Doctor Clark)
6. Southwestern Medical School (Doctor Gill)
7. Postgraduate School of Medicine (Doctor Taylor)
C. Items Referred either for Action or for Information by:
8. Executive Committee
(Committee Chairman Heath)
9. Academic and Developmental Affairs Committee (Committee Chairman McNeese)
10. Buildings and Grounds Committee (Committee Chairman Thompson)
11. Land and Investment Committee (Committee Chairman Madden)
12. Medical Affairs Committee (Committee Chairman Bryan)
13. Board for Lease of University Lands (Regent Heath)
14. Special Committee to Consult with Faculty on Selection of President for Main University
D. Special Items (4:00 p.m.)
15. Chancellor Ransom
a. Central Administration
(1) Report of Faculty Committee on Selection of President for Main University
(2) Special Meeting, April 7

Ratification of Action and Interpretation
(3) The South Texas Medical School, Progress Report
(a) Selection of Acreage and Title Thereto
(b) Other Preliminary Steps
(c) Selection of Dean, Recommendations for
(4) Proposed Legislation, Progress Report
b. Main University

Mezes Awards
c. Southwestern Medical School
(1) William Buchanan Foundation Grant
(a) Allocations
(b) Summary
(2) 1961-70 Development Plan
2. Members of the Board
AGENDA
MEETING OF THE BOARD OF REGENTS ..... OF
THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXASMAY 13, 1961MAY 13, 1961
Page No.
I. Approval of Minutes ..... 85
II. Report of the Committee of the Whole (Chairman Hardie) ..... 85
III. Reports of the Standing Committees
A. Executive Committee ..... 86
(Committee Chairman Heath)
B. Academic and Developmental Affairs Committee ..... 86 (Committee Chairman McNeese)
C. Buildings and Grounds Committee ..... 86
(Committee Chairman Thompson)
D. Land and Investment Committee ..... 87
(Committee Chairman Madden)
E. Medical Affairs Committee ..... 87
(Committee Chairman Bryan)
F. Board for Lease of University Lands ..... 87 (Vice-Chairman Heath)
$\nmid$ IV. Report of Special Committees, if any ..... 87
TV V. Special Items
A. Board of Trustees, Southwest Texas Educational Television Council; Resignation of Dr. Merton Minter ..... 87
B. Delegation of Authority to Sign for Chancellor ..... 87
$\subset$ VI. Scheduled Meetings ..... 88

Executive Committee

## EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Date: Friday, May 12, 1961
Time: 8:30 a.m.
Place: Main Building, Room 209

Members | Mr. Heath, Chairman |
| :--- |
| Mr. Bryan |
| Mr. Madden |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |

1. Organization, Procedures, and Mechanics
$\frac{\text { Page No. }}{*}$
2. Interim Actions ..... *
*This material will be sent separately as soon as definite recommendations to be made to the Board have been determined.

# Academic and Developmental Affairs <br> Committee 

ACADEMIC AND DEVELOPMENTAL AFFAIRS COMMITTEE
Date: Friday, May 12, 1961
Time: 11:00 a.m.
Place: Regents' Room (Main Building, Room 209)
Members: Mr. McNeese, Chairman
Dr. ConnallyMr. Redditt
Mr . Thompson
Page No.

1. Central Administration
a. Docket ..... 13
b. Rules and Regulations of the Board of Regents for the Government of The University of Texas
(1) Part One, Amendment No. 3 (final approval) ..... 13
(2) Part One, Amendment No. 4 ..... 13
(3) Institutional Supplements: Policy re Retire- ment of Deans and Departmental Chairmen ..... 14
c. Two-Year Graduate Degree for Teachers in Junior Colleges ..... 16
2. Main University
a. School of Architecture: Combined Curriculum and Establishment of Bachelor of Science Pro- gram, College of Arts and Sciences ..... 21
b. County Aquarium, Port Aransas (Institute of Marine Science) ..... 30
c. Housing: Request of Human Relations Com- mittee, Students' Association ..... 32
d. Waiver of Regents' Nepotism Rule ..... 33
3. Main University and Texas Western College
Small Class Reports, Spring Semester ..... 34
B. ACADEMIC AND DEVELOPMENTAL AFFAIRS COMMITTEE
4. CENTRAL ADMINISTRATION
a. Docket. --In accordance with Part One, Chapter I, Subsection 7.64, of the Rules and Regulations, the docket of Chancellor Wilson is referred to the Academic and Developmental Affairs Committee for consideration. The docket, dated April 28, 1961, consists of recommendations from each of the component institutions and is labeled Attachment No. 2 in the legal size volume.

An outline of this docket in the form of a separate letter is enclosed as an aid to pinpoint policies and other major items.

Since the docket normally reports action already taken by the Administration, the Secretary would like to suggest that hereafter the following be presented as special items: (1) Waiver of or exception to the Regents' Rules and (2) Items to be submitted to the Texas Commission on Higher Education.
b. Rules and Regulations of the Board of Regents for the Government of The University of Texas
(1) Part One, Amendment No. 3.-- At the March meeting the Board approved the establishment of a System Personnel Office and in connection therewith amended the Rules and Regulations, Part One, Chapter II, by deleting subsection 7.423 and inserting in lieu thereof the following :

### 7.423 Responsibility for fiscal and budgetary aspects of the System Personnel Office

In order to comply with the Rules and Regulations, Part. One, Chapter VII, subsection 4. 1, this same amendment is presented to the Board for final approval.
(2) Part One, Amendment No. 4.--Chancellor Ransom recommends that the Rules and Regulations of the Board of Regents for the Government of The University of Texas, Part One, Chapter VI, subsection
6.121 be amended by adding "Astronomy" so that the section will read:
6. 12 Elected Members with Vote:--The elected voting members shall be apportioned among the following branches, and electoral divisions of the Main University: 6. 121 Main University:

College of Arts and Sciences: Electoral Group A - Astronomy, Bacteriology, Botany, Chemistry, Geology, Physics, Zoology, Home Economics, Mathematics

This item has been approved through proper channels. It was called to your attention when the Minutes of the Graduate Legislative Council were distributed on April 19. Though you have had this material, may I quote from the Minutes of the Graduate Legislative Council that the reasons for the change are:

At the time of the creation of the Graduate Legislative Council, the official name of the merged departments of mathematics was Mathematics and Astronomy; subsequently, the name seems to have become Mathematics (and Astronomy). In any event, when the departments comprising Electoral Group A of the College of Arts and Sciences were designated, the inclusion of Astronomy as an area was neglected. Within the past two years, a strong, separate Department of Astronomy has been created in the College of Arts and Sciences. Graduate professors have been appointed within this department and are, surely, entitled to vote in the Graduate Faculty and to be eligible for election to a seat in the Graduate Legislative Council. The change recommended, therefore, would place this department in the electoral group which includes natural science and mathematics.
(3) Institutional Supplements: Policy re Retirement of Deans and Departmental Chairmen. --At the Board meeting on April 26, 1958, the Board of Regents adopted a policy for the Main University providing
for retirement of Deans and Departmental Chairmen from their administrative posts at the close of the long session in which such persons reach their 65 th birthday: On May 14, 1960, this policy was extended to the Medical Branch and to the Southwestern Medical School and reads:

> Retirement of Deans and Departmental Chair-men.--Every dean and every department chairman will retire as dean or departmental chairman, as the case may be, to appropriate teaching status in his particular field at the close of the fiscal year in which his 65 th birthday occurs.

Chancellor Ransom recommends that this policy be made uniform for all teaching units of the University system and that such rules be included in the institutional supplements being compiled at the several institutions. In the initial application of this rule, the institutional heads of the institutions affected should be permitted the discretion of continuing during 1961-62 any Deans or Departmental Chairmen now 65 years of age or older.
c. Two-Year Graduate Degree for Teachers in Junior Col-leges.--Below is an item in the exact form as presented by the Chancellor's Office. I believe that with the exception of the justifications and the recommendation you have had the proposal in the Minutes of the Graduate Legislative Council distributed to you on April 19, 1961:

## Proposal to Establish a Two-year Graduate Degree for Teachers in Junior Colleges

More than ten years ago a representative committee of nine members of the Graduate Faculty completed a three-years' study and recommended creation of a new two-year degree plan to meet the pressing need. for teachers in junior colleges. The proposed degree plan was approved by the Graduate Faculty and subsequently by the Graduate Legislative Council in 1954. At that time a rider provision in the Appropriation Bill prohibited the establishment of any new degree programs. Subsequently the Texas Commission on Higher Education was established and granted control of new degree programs, but this program was not presented.

Recently this degree program was resubmitted for study by the Graduate Council's Committee on Courses and Degree Programs. The program, with minor changes, was approved by this Committee, by the Graduate Council, and on March 27, 1961, by the Graduate Legislative Council. The program as set out below has the approval of the Dean of the Graduate School:

## Degree Requirements

1. Admission to the Graduate School of The University of Texas
2. Admission to candidacy for the degree
3. Course requirements:
a. General
(1) Completion subsequent to bachelor's degree of at least sixty semester hours not more than 9 of which may be at the senior level, and none of which may be below the senior level.
(2) Six semester hours of courses in Education on the junior college and its problems and the responsibilities of the teacher in the junior college.
(3) Completion of at least 30 semester hours of work toward the degree must be done in residence at The University of Texas.
(4) Satisfactory ratings on final measurements of competency including a comprehensive final examination (written and oral) based upon the types of competence designated in the chosen field of study for the degree. The examination is to be given by the supervisory committee.
b. Teaching field for which preparing
(1) Completion of 42 hours, exclusive of thesis and internship, at least 24 of which must be in one academic field.
Dispersion requirements: At least 18 of the 42 semester hours must be earned on the following basis, 6 in each of three departments, included in the teaching field.
(2) Thesis: 6 semester hours. The thesis shall be based on research in the subject matter content of the teaching field selected, or on problems of instruction at the junior college level.

In certain instances substitutions for the formal thesis may be recommended to the Graduate Council by the appropriate committee on graduate studies. Such substitutions may include internship reports (where the internship is approved as an essential part of the graduate program by the Graduate Council) or reports prepared in certain graduate seminar- or conference-type courses. In every instance, however, an internship or seminar course or conference course from which substitutions for the thesis are to be submitted must be approved for this. purpose by the Graduate Council. Further, all reports or other types of exposition submitted as substitutes for the thesis are subject to the approval of the supervising committee appointed by the Dean.
(3) Internship: 6 semester hours. The internship requirement may be met by teaching in a junior college or as a teaching fellow in The University of Texas. In either case the intern's performance must meet the standards of the supervisory committee. In exceptional cases, approved electives in the teaching field may be substituted for the internship.
(4) Electives: With the approval of the supervisory committee, 12 of the hours under requirement 1 may be taken outside the teaching field.

## Admission to Candidacy

1. Upon admission to the Graduate School the applicant will submit the Registrar's evaluation of his previous academic record to at least one member of his Advisory Committee. The Advisory Committee shall be a standing committee appointed by the Dean of the Graduate School. This Committee shall be made up of representatives from the following divisions of the University: one from the College of Business Administration, three from the College of Arts and Sciences (one representing each of the following fields: humanities, social science, natural science), one from the College of Education, one from the College of Engineering, and one from the College of Fine Arts. With the aid of one or more members of the Advisory Committee, the applicant will prepare a preliminary program of his studies.
2. Completion of 12 semester hours toward this degree with grades meeting the requirements of the Graduate School.
3. Removal of all conditions which were placed on the student when he was admitted to the Graduate School.
4. Filing in the office of the Dean of the Graduate School an approved (by the Adviser) application for admission to candidacy which lists the student's program of courses, completed and proposed.
5. Satisfaction of the special requirements for admission to candidacy in the chosen area of study for the degree.
6. Final approval for admission to candidacy by the Dean of the Graduate School.

## Supervisory Committee

Upon admission to candidacy, the student will work with a Supervising Committee of three members appointed by the Dean of the Graduate School. At least two members of the Supervising Committee must be from the departments representing the teaching field for which the student is preparing.

## Relation to Other Degrees

This degree is an independent degree based upon graduate study as well as upon the candidate's demonstration of teaching ability; it is not an intermediate degree between the master's and the doctor's degrees. Credits earned in the master's or doctor's program may prove, in some cases, to be quite suitable for this program; in other cases, they may be unsuitable. Evaluation by the Advisory Committee of all previous graduate credits earned by the student is made prior to Admission to Candidacy by the Advisory Committee.

On the following page are justifications by Central Administration for the addition of the Licentiate of Arts Degree.

## Justifications for the Addition of The Licentiate of Arts Degree

1. Increasing reliance is being placed upon junior colleges to carry a major share of the load of higher education. This is particularly true in Texas. It is essential that junior college programs have high quality. The Master's degree is no longer sufficient preparation for teachers who will handle the freshman and sophomore education of increasingly large numbers of transfer students to senior colleges.
2. Recognizing this fact, junior college administrators have been urging additional graduate study upon their present faculty members and have been seeking to employ beginning teachers with more satisfactory preparation. Yet, doctoral programs do not lend themselves very well to building the breadth of subject-matter background needed by instructors in elementary courses, since doctoral work typically requires high specialization and major attention to research. Considerable demand for a two-year graduate degree especially designed for college teachers of elementary courses has grown up--by official resolution of the American Association of Junior Colleges and by similar Texas organizations, for example.
3. The most costly parts of doctoral study are those elements devoted to individual specialization and research training. Instead of routing prospective teachers into such offerings, the Licentiate of Arts degree program routes them into courses of relatively modest cost and of greater practical value for the work they will do. Graduates with this degree will, in most cases, be better prepared to conduct lowerdivision courses than is the typical doctoral graduate.
4. Inevitably, we are told, junior colleges and perhaps senior colleges will attach salary recognition to the possession of this degree. This should result in an increase in the supply of teachers, since the route to this degree will be shorter and much less expensive than the route to the doctorate.
5. This pattern may well prove to have as many advantages for the four-year colleges as for the junior colleges. There is growing national demand for a way to produce teachers of lower-division courses much more expeditiously than through the usual Ph. D. program.
6. The total degree program can be made from already-existing courses. At the same time, it provides for breadth of preparation which fits the needs of smaller colleges where faculty members must teach more than one subject.
7. It does pay some attention to developing ability to teach as well as subject-matter proficiency.
8. It would give The University of Texas a "first."

It is recommended that the Board of Regents approve this degree

Recommendation program and authorize the administration to submit the program to the Texas Commission on Higher Education.

## 2. MAIN UNIVERSITY

a. School of Architecture: Combined Curriculum and Establishment of Bachelor of Science Program, College of Arts and Sciences.--On the following pages is a photostated copy of the Administration's proposal. (This is the item that was deleted from Page M-34 of the Main University docket at the March meeting.)

The proposed programs are detailed below:
(Attachment)
PROPOSED DEGREE PROGRAN
MOR THE
SCEOOL OF ARCHETECTURE ENTITLED
"BACHETOR OT SCTEMCE TIT ARCGITECTURAE STUDTES"

12 Ers. English
11 Ers. Foreign Jenguage
S Hiso Math (Amalyto Calculus)
8 Hes. Ma\%ural Sofenoe
6 Ers. U. S, History
8 Hras' Amseisan Gotemment
6 Ens. Honomdes or Sooiology
3 Ens. Phatosopiy
\& Hra. Adrenced Conses in ame mbjecto
Y Erso Archateounel Eistory
1s Krgo Erginearity
13 Fxe, Art \& Dez. Theory

9 Hiso it \& S ELectives
(e or whea are limitua)

Toma ize Hours - Bo S in Arohzoctural Studi es

MTPTE AND SIXTH YEARS


MOTAL I90 EOMT: B. BACh. Degres

Proposed New Program Drops: Drw. 209 (Descr. Geom.)
(May require in S.S. after lst year)
Reduces all design courses one credit.
Reduces Arc. 414 ( 1 Hr .) but adds 2 Hrs . to Arc. 230
Combines: Stat., \& Arc. 215 (Mat. \& Math.)
Timber, Steel \& Concr.
New Program Adds: (1 Entrance Credit)
5 Sem. A \& S Electives
4 Sem. Research
(Two on student's own time)
(Two on school time)
3 Sem. Foreign Language
2 Sem. Calculus (varies with major)
2 Sem. Economics or Sociology
l Sem. Honors Program or Prof. Elective
1 Sem. Philosophy
New Program also balances all semesters at 16 hours each, instead of the 17 and 18 hours excessive and unbalanced load of the old program (with 5 th year at 15 hours.)

Results: Richer program - 2 degrees - more mature and better graduates.

Justifications by School of Architecture

Justification for the proposed changes and the background as presented by the School of Architecture are on the following pages:

THE PROPOSED COMBINED CURRICULUM FOR THE SCHOOL OF ARCHITECTURE AT THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS

## THE SIX YEAR CURRICULUM:

The professional education of architects in the Onited States has been under critical study for a number. of Jears. 1

At the University of Texas over two and one-half years of intensive planning and research have gone into the preparation and development of the program recently approved by the faculties of the College of Arts and Sciences and the School of Architecture, and the Faculty Council of the General Faculty.

Although the new combined program for the professional degree in Architecture proposes to increase the undergraduate term from five years to six, with two degrees instead of one, it will be shown hereinafter that the new degree will require no new courses and no new faculty. Basically, it will make available to the students the academic riches already offered on the campus. Further, it will not increase the eight year period currently required for eligibility to take the State Board Examinations to qualify for practice.
$1_{\text {"THE ARCHITECT AT MID CENTURY" - by A.I.A. }}$

## THE PROBLEM OF ARCHITECTURAL EDUCATION:

Prior to the Civil War, the education of the architect in the United States was entirely by the apprentice system, with additional travel and study abroad, particularly at the Ecole des Beaux Arts in Paris, for those who could afford it. After 1865 the several colleges on the eastern seaboard which introduced architectural curricula into their programs, did so by the simple expedient of superimposing lectures in Architectural History and exercises in Traditional Architectural Design upon a basic liberal arts program.

This was usually followed, as before, by foreign travel and study.

Since the turn of the century the expansion of building technology and the proliferation of technological subjects have steadily whittled away the liberal arts offerings in the curriculum. In the mid-twenties and early thirties the schools moved from four to five years in duration in order to combat this trend.

In the same period, education for the other professions, notably medicine and law, have required six and seven years for their degrees. It may be truly argued today that most architectural schools offer only high quality professional training, with insufficient emphasis on the humanities.

## THE STUDY OF A PROPOSED SOLUTION:

The excellent committee appointed by Dean Burdine of the College to study the recommendations of the School of Architecture unanimously agreed with the principle that the training of architects should be built on "what has come to be known as a college education". 2

A precedent for such opinion is already in effect on the University campus in our top-flight School of Law. Here is a combined program with the College of Arts and Sciences which permits able students in the College at the end of their third year to be admitted to the Law School. Upon completion of their fourth year they receive their B.A. from the College. After successfully completing two more years in the school of Law they receive their professional degree.

The proposed program for the School of Architecture follows this precedent very closely. A single variation being that the technical aspects of many of the subjects required of the new curriculum make the B.S. a more appropriate preliminary degree.

Specifically, the four year combined program leading to the B.S. in Architectural Studies makes use of existing liberal arts and architectural courses, thus

[^3]requiring no new courses and no new faculty. In the terminal (6th) year of advanced study, the additional time made available permits the introduction of individual research projects for which faculty consultation and instruction may be absorbed in existing teaching loads.

## OTHER LEADING SCHOOLS SET THE PACE:

A decade ago, the profession of Architecture itself recognized the necessity for reinforcing the liberal arts in the schools of architecture and made such recomendations. 3 Leading universities which are already well advanced in this direction (six to seven years for the professional degree) include Harvard, Yale, Pennsylvania, Princeton and Minnesota. Further study at the April meeting of the Association of Collegiate Schools of Architecture is expected to reveal other schools taking this or similar steps toward improved excellence.

In Texas, the State Board of Examination and
Registration of Architects has already approved the reduction of the three year period of interneship to two for graduates of this course to be eligible to take the Exam.

THE NEED FOR BUILT-IN FLEXIBILITY:
Many aptitude tests provide reliable guides to a

[^4]number of callings. There is, however, no proven test for the predicition or anticipation of future creative ability. (The program now under way at the Princeton Testing Center will take years to prove or disprove.). Many students get well into their second or third years of the architectural curriculum before discovering that they are not particularly well-suited for the profession. Although discouraged, a good number of mediocre talent (or less) plug on, feeling it is too late to change majors or drop out; thereby wasting their Own time and money as well as that of the University.

If a solid, worth-while degree at the end of the fourth year were available (as the new program provides) most of those with modest design talent, but perhaps other capabilities; would be content to stop there and enter one of the many related fields in the building industry. (At present, of every eighty to one hundred entering freshmen, only thirty to thirty-five graduate. And some of these take in excess of five years to do it).

Students earning the combination liberal arts and architecture degree would have a sound college educational background for the Construction Contracting field, for which there is at present no formal preparation.

The graduation of students with limited talent for architecture at the fourth year would save considerable expense and would tend to off-set the cost to the University of
continuing the best students for an additional year of professional study.

Further it is recognized that there are many facets to the practice of architecture other than the single phase of design (i.e., administration, production, construction supervision, etc.) and the new program for advanced students would permit a selection of elective courses in closely related fields, giving the graduates greater opportunity to develop their capabilities to the fullest extent.

## THE SEARCH FOR EXCELLENCE:

With the solid background of a good college education, the advanced students in architecture may be expected to perform at a higher level of excellence and to be of a more notable service to the public in the communities in which they practice.

In addition, the mounting need for the Universities to take the lead in architectural research (heretofore almost entirely limited to manufacturing research) points up the fact that better educated students will be more inspired and better able to envision and carry out all manner of needed research in new materials, economies of methods and so forth. Only when The University of Texas provides these opportunities can it take its rightful place as a center for such study.

The hour is late and the need for better educated men and women was never greater. It is sincerely hoped that the proposals for the School of Architecture may be approved, in order that new standards of excellence may be set and reached in education for the profession which guides one of the nation's largest industries.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the Board approve the new Bachelor's Degree Program and the six-year professional program in Architecture and that these proposed changes be submitted to the Texas Commission on Higher Education for their approval.
b. County Aquarium in Port Aransas.--The following is a photoeterie copy of an item referred by Central Administration to the Academic and Developmental Committee:

## Proposal for a County Aquarium in Port Aransas

The Nueces County Board of Park Commissioners is interested in the establishment of a Marine Aquarium in Nueces County Park at Port Aransas, Texas, on a site across the street from the Institute of Marine Science to cost an estimated $\$ 50,000$. A letter from the chairman of the Board to Dr . H. T. Odum, Director of the Institute of Marine Science, states in part,"
${ }^{H}$ The Board of Commissioners is requesting the co-operation and assistance of the University of Texas through its branch the Institute of Marine Science.
"While the Board will undertake to finance the project by donations we will appreciate your help and that of the University. It has been discussed, there are quite likely individuals and foundations making contributions to the University that would want to make a special contribution to this project.
"The Park Board also plans to hire a person qualified to head up this contribution drive upon final approval of the Administrations and Board of Regents of the University."

The motion approved by the Nueces County Board of Park Commissioners, April 6, 1961, requested Dr . Odum to submit to the University the following proposal:
"The Institute of Marine Science supply technical services with a half time stipend of about $\$ 2500$ a year for one of the resident institute staff to develop the exhibits and keep the tanks supplied. By using graduate students who are in residence during work for doctoral degrees, a very high level of competence can be obtained at this relatively small cost. And that it is the will of the Park Board to proceed with this development and that the Board will undertake the burden of arranging to defray the operation costs, estimated not to exceed $\$ 4,600.00$ per year and that it is the opinion of the Board that the Aquarium be operated as a non-profit project, but the Board believes that to prevent disorderely use of the premises a minimum charge of ten cents be imposed and whatever funds that accumilate from this fund should apply to operational costs. The Board's consensus of opinion is that the operation is very worth while and is willing to back the project until it is completed and to that end the Board will assist in attempting to raise capital funds."

Dr. Odum points out that this proposal would "provide our aquarium facility as already drawn by the associate architect into our long range plan with negligable cost to the university in initial cost or operational cost." He points out further that the aquarium would provide:

1. Research facilities for keeping larger fishes alive and smaller organisms for behavior and physiological studies.
2. A means for handling our summer visitor problem.
3. A means for handiling our public relations role similar to the aquaria:at the other principal centers of marine science at Scripps, Woods Hole, and Plymouth, for example.
4. A graduate stipend for supporting one or more students at Port Aransas involving a duty of some considerable technical training value.

This proposal has been approved by the Budget Council of the Institute of Marine Science, the Dean of the College of Arts and Sciences and Dr. J. R. Smiley, Vice-President and Provost of the Main University. It is recommended that the Board of Regents approve participation in this project to the extent of adding to the budget for the Institute of Marine Science a half-time position for a graduate student at $\$ 2500.00$ for twelve months. It is further recommended that the University take no active part in solicitation of contributions for the construction of the aquarium unless and until this project is approved by the University Development Board.
c. Housing: Request of Human. Relations Commission, Students'Association. --The following "A Request to the Board of Regents of The University of Texas from the Human Relations Commission of the Students' Association of The University of Texas" addressed to the Board of Regents was received by the Administration:

In 1956, The University of Texas opened its doors for the first time to Nesro undereraduates. At that time the Board of Regents planned to proceed from desegregation of academics to desegregation of other areas of campus life at a gradual pace. Desegregation of intramural athletics and desegregation of recreational facilities in the Texas Union are two parts of this plan which have been carried out. Last yoar, the Board of Regents authorized $\$ 30,000$ to be spent for the renovation of the old International Center as a living unit for Negro women and opened one wing of Brackenridge Hall to Negro men. Despite these offorts toward providing a more equitable situation for Negro students and repeated student protests, Neproes are neither recruited nor allowed to participate in intercollegiate athletics, are limited in their participation in dramatic productions to minor roles, and are housed on a supposedly soparate but equal basis. Our request concerns the last of these areas.

We beliove: that The University of Texas has a responsibility for the education of all the people of the state capable of acquiring a higher octucation, regardless of their race, national origin, or religion;
that education is inclusive of commenication between individuals and all areas of campus life;
that arbitrary limits on education and growth as a result of racial segregation is unintelligent, unethical, and unlawful;
that the doctrine of separate but equal is inherently discriminatory to the minority group and degrading to the minority group and majority group involvod.

It is upon these principles that we base our request.
In addition, we believe that The University of Texas stands both in its location and in its attitude midway between the South and west and thus. has an oblieation and an opportunity for advancement in the field of human relations. For this we must he both srateful and responsible. The University is in a position to lead rather than to react in an area where sane leadership is desperatoly needed. If the University is to be a NUniversity of the First Classs" it must be first in all areas related to University 2ifo.

These principles and beliefs are neither idealistic nor impractical. Nesro students are attempting to bring a law suit against the University to obtain equal rights in the areas of housing, drama productions, and
athletics. We consider that a simple administrative decision would be more advantageous to the life of the University than a law suit. The inclusion of strong civil rights planks in the platforms of both major caupus political parties, the opinion of the student body as expressed through elected representative student groups such as the Student Assembly, the successful desegregation of living facilitios at other Texas colleges, and legal prea cedent all point to the inevitability of the removal of segregated housing policies. However, this request is not meant to be an argument on the morits of the removal of discriminatory policies of this university. We consider arguments based on fears such as the possibility of interracial marriape or legislative reprisal to be invalid and uncthical. Further, we feel that the problems sterming from the projudices of a small minority of University students can best be handled by firm, foresighted leadership, rather than by a policy based on. waiting in the vain hope that these prejudices will disappear.

WE THE:EFORE REQUEST THAT ALL STUDENTS BE GRANTED EQUAL ACCESS TO UNIVERSITY -OWNED HOUSING ON A FIRST-CONE, FIRST-SERVED BASTS AND THAT THE BOARD OF REGENTS MAKE IMIEDIATE PLANS FOR THE GRANTING OF THIS REXUEST.

It is the recommendation of the Administration that the Board of Regents receive this communication, continue policies now in effect through 1961-62, and refer the request of the Human Relations Committee to the Adminis tration for study.
d. Waiver of Regents' Nepotism Rule.--Below is an item as presented by the Chancellor's Office:

Dr. Frank Edmonds, Chairman of the Department of Astronomy, has initiated a request for a waiver of the Regents' anti-nepotism rule, under Section 5.44, Chapter $\frac{3}{4}$, Part One of the Rules and Regulations of the Board of Regents, in the case of Mrs. Antoinette de Vaucouleurs, wife of Associate Professor G. H. de Vaucouleurs. Dr. Burdine, Dean of the College of Arts and Sciences, Mr. Jack Holland, Director of University $P_{e}$ rsonnel, and Dr. Smiley, approve the request.

隹74, 14862


Dr . de Vaucouleurs and Mrs. Antoinette de Vaucouleurs have been working jointly on a research project since 1949. This work is carried on under a National Science Foundation grant in the field of astronomy, and it is anticipated that an additional three years will be required for its completion. Previous work on the project was carried on in Harvard University, and her experience and training require that she assist in bringing this project to completion.

It is recommended that the Regents' Rule be waived in the case of Mrs. de Vaucouleurs for 1961-62, 1962-63, and 1963-64. This waiver is recommended on the basis that her employment be on grants and that her salary not come from the General Funds of the University.

# 3. MAIN UNIVERSITY AND TEXAS WESTERN COLLEGE 

## Small Class Reports, Spring Semester 1961

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS OFFICE OF THE CHANCELLOR

April 24, 1961

TO: ACADEMIC AND DEVELOPMENTAL AFFAIRS COMMITTIEE OF THE BOARD OF REGENTS

FROM: Office of the Chancellor
SUBJECT: SMALL CLASS REPORTS - Spring Semester, 1960-61
(1) Main University
(2) Texas Western College

In accordance with the request of the Board of Regents, the attached analytical summaries have been prepared for the Board's consideration in reviewing the Spring Semester, 1960-61, Small Class Reports for both Main University and Texas Western College.

The Small Class Reports are presented for Board approval prior to filing with the Texas Commission on Higher Education as required by Sec. 15, H. B. 4.

FDG: de

PART I: Comparison of Small Classes to Total Organized Classes
NOTE: "Small Classes" exclude classes and sections meeting together in which the aggregate enrollment exceeds the minimum.

| $\quad$ PROGRAM |
| :--- |
| Liberal Arts |
| Sciences |
| Fine Arts |
| Teacher Education |
| Engineering |
| Home Economics |
| Law |
| Social Service |
| Library Science |
| Physical Training |
| Pharmacy |
| Business Administration |
| $\quad$ Total - All Programs |


| UNDERGRADUATE CLASSES |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All | Small | \% Small |
| Classes | Classes | Classes |
| 1,145 | 31 | 2.7\% |
| 140 | 7 | 5.0 |
| 121 | 23 | 19.0 |
| 122 | 6 | 4.9 |
| 300 | 13 | 4.3 |
| 36 | -- | -- |
| -- | -- | -- |
| 3 | 1 | $33 \cdot 3$ |
| 3 | 1 | 33.3 |
| 175 | -- | -- |
| 41 | -- | -- |
| 234 | 4 | 1.7 |
| 2,320 | 86 | 3.7\% |


| GRADUATE CLASSES |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All | Small | \% Small |
| Classes | Classes | Classes |
| 119 | 10 | 8.4\% |
| 47 | 4 | 8.5 |
| 6 | -- | -- |
| 44 | 3 | 6.8 |
| 36 | 4 | 11.1 |
| -- | -- | -- |
| 48 | -- | -- |
| -- | -- | -- |
| 4 | -- | -- |
| -- | -- | -- |
| 3 | -- | -- |
| 26 | -- | -- |
| $\underline{333}$ | $\underline{21}$ | 6.3\% |


| TOTAL ORGANIZED CLASSES |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All | Small | \% Small |
| Classes | Classes | Classes |
| 1,264 | 41 | 3.2\% |
| 187 | 11 | 5.9 |
| 127 | 23 | 18.1 |
| 166 | 9 | 5.4 |
| 336 | 17 | 5.1 |
| 36 | -- | -- |
| 48 | -- | -- |
| 3 | 1 | 33.3 |
| 7 | 1 | 14.3 |
| 175 | -- | -- |
| 44 | -- | -- |
| 260 | 4 | 1.5 |
| 2,653 | $\overline{107}$ | $4.0 \%$ |

PART II: $\frac{\text { Small Classes - Summary by Justification }}{\text { Spring Semester, 1960-61 }}$

| JUSTIFICATION CODE |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Number | Explanation |
| 1 | Required, not offered each semester |
| 2 | Required for graduating seniors |
| 3 | Required for majors |
| 4 | Supplementary fee paid |
| $6 a \& 6 b$ | Necessary for a balanced departmental program |
| 7 | Required for advanced degree |
| 8 a \& 8b | Classes meeting together (excluded in Part I) |
| 9 | Adds, drops, and transfers Total |


| UNDERGRADUATE |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| No. <br> Classes | \%of <br> Total |
| 2 | $1.6 \%$ |
| 5 | 4.0 |
| 21 | 16.8 |
| 20 | 16.0 |
| 18 | 14.4 |
| -- | 31.2 |
| 39 | 16.0 |
| 20 | $100.0 \%$ |


| GRADUATE |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| No. Classes | \% of Total |
| -- | --\% |
| -- | -- |
|  |  |
| -- | -- |
| 6 | 25.0 |
| 7 | 29.2 |
| 3 | 12.5 |
| 8 | 33.3 |
| 24 | 100.0\% |


| TOTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| No. | \% of |
| Classes | Total |
| 2 | 1.3\% |
| 5 | 3.4 |
| 21 | 14.1 |
| 20 | 13.4 |
| 24 | 16.1 |
| 7 | 4.7 |
| 42 | 28.2 |
| 28 | 18.8 |
| 149 | 100.0\% |

PART. I: Comparison of Small Classes to Total Organized Classes
NOTE: "Small Classes" exclude classes and sections meeting together in which the aggregate enrollment exceeds the minimum.

| PROGRAM | UNDERGRADUATE CLASSES |  |  | GRADUATE CLASSES |  |  | TOTAL: ORGANIZED |  | CLASSES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A11 | Small | क Small | $\overline{\mathrm{A} 11}$ | Small | \% Small | A11 | Small | \% Small |
|  | Classes | $\underline{\text { Classes }}$ | Classes | Classes | Classes | Classes | Classes | Classes | Classes |
| Liberal Arts | 324 | 6 | 1.9\% | 1 | -- | --\% | 325 | 6 | 1.8\% |
| Sciences | 78 | 9 | 11.5 | -- | -- | -- | 78 | 9 | 11.5 |
| Fine Arts | 50 | 11 | 22.0 | -- | -- | -- | 50 | 11 | 22.0 |
| Teacher Education | 42 | 2 | 4.8 | 4 | -- | -- | 46 | 2 | 4.3 |
| Engineering | 62 | 10 | 16.1 | -- | -- | -- | 62 | 10 | 16.1 |
| Physical Training | 29 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 29 | -- | -- |
| Business Administration | 44 | 3 | 6.8 | -- | -- | -- | 44 | 3 | 6.8 |
| Total - All Programs | $\underline{629}$ | 41 | 6.5\% | 5 | =- | --\% | 634 | 41 | 6.5\% |

PART II: Small Classes - Summary by Justification Spring Semester, 1960-61

|  | JUSTIFICATION CODE | UNDERGRADUATE |  | GRADUATE |  | TOTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Explanation | , No. Classes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \%of of } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | No. Classes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% of } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } \\ & \text { Classes } \end{aligned}$ | \% of Total |
| 1 | Required, not offered each semester | 11 | 17.2\% | -- | --\% | 11 | 17.2\% |
| 2 | Required for graduating seniors | 2 | 3.1 | -- | -- | 2 | 3.1 |
| 3 | Required for majors | 14 | 21.9 | -- | -- | 14 | 21.9 |
| 4 | Supplementary fee paid | 4 | 6.3 | -- | -- | 4 | 6.3 |
| $6 a \& 6 b$ | Necessary for a balanced departmental program | 10 | 15.6 | -- | -- | 10 | 15.6 |
| $8 a \& 8 b$ | Classes meeting together (excluded in Part I) | 23 | 35.9 | -- | -- | 23 | 35.9 |
|  | Total | $\underline{64}$ | 100.0\% | =- | --\% | 64 | 100.0\% |

JUSTIFICATION CODE USED IN PREPARING SMALL CLASS REPORT
Spring Semester, 1960-61

1. Required course for graduation in one or more baccalaureate degree programs. The course is not offered each semester or term, and if cancelled, may affect date of graduation of those enrolled.
2. Required course for graduating seniors in one or more degree programs.
3. Required course for majors in this field and should be completed this semester (or term) to keep proper sequence in courses.
4. Course for which students pay a supplementary fee, and the usual requirements of class size are not considered applicable. (Example: Class Instruction in Applied Music or Applied Art.)
5. Limited laboratory facilities make it necessary to establish an additional section of this course.
6. a. Course in a small department which offers the minimum number of courses consistent with the maintenance of a balanced departmental program.
b. Courses in a large department necessary to maintain a well-balanced departmental program.
7. Graduate course that is required for completion of an advanced degree.
8. a. Interdepartmental course meeting with the same course in another department.
b. Intradepartmental course meeting with another course in same department.
9. Adds, drops, and transfers in transit between departments and the Registrar's Office as of the twelfth class day.

## REPORT ON SMALL CLASSES - ORGANIZED CLASSES ONLY

Spring Semester, 1960-61

| Program, Dept., Course and Section Number | Course Title | Credit <br> Value | Enrollment |  | Justification Code |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | UnderGraduate | Graduate |  |
| Liberal Arts |  |  |  |  |  |
| Anthropology |  |  |  |  |  |
| 325 | Peoples and Cultures of the <br> - American Southwest | 3 | 9 |  | 6 a |
| 356 | Primitive Arts and Industries | 3 | 9 |  | 9 |
| Classical Civilization |  |  |  |  |  |
| (307 | Latin Literature in Translation | 3 | 7 |  | 8 b |
| (320 | Latin Literature in Translation | 3 | 8 |  | $8 b$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Economics } \\ 386 \end{gathered}$ | Value and Welfare | 3 |  | 3 | 6b |
| English |  |  |  |  |  |
| (E. 304 | Intensive Oral English for Foreign Students | 3 | 2 |  | 6b |
| (Spe. 304 | Intensive Oral English for Foreign Students | 3 | 5 |  | 6 b |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Geography } \\ & 326 \end{aligned}$ | Geography of North and Western Europe | 3 | 9 |  | 9 |
| History 384L | Research Seminar in British History | 3 |  | 4 | 9 |
| Journalism |  |  |  |  |  |
| 375 | Magazine Editing, Layout and Production | 3 | 9 |  | 6 b |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |
| 303.28 | Mathematics of Finance | 3 | 9 |  | 9 |
| 309 | Solid Geometry | 3 | 9 |  | 9 |
| 624b | Introduction to the Foundation of Analysis | 3 | 6 |  | 6b |
| 3711 | Topics in Modern Algebra | 3 | 9 |  | 6b |
| 394K <br> (Meets | Special Topics in Relativity Theory Phys. 387L, enrollment 27) | 3 |  | 1 | 8 a |

Note: Bracket "(" has been used to indicate courses which meet together.

Program, Dept., Course and Section Number

Course Title
$\frac{\text { Liberal Arts (continued) }}{\text { Philosophy }}$
Philosophy
365
Psychology 360.3

393K
Speech
217.2

372
373

Czech
660b

French
604
218a. 6

390K

392K
German
310.5

389K
Greek
612 b
624b
665b
Hebrew

612 b
341

Latin
665b
Portuguese
407
Russian
320L
6

Justifi-
Credit Under- cation Value Graduate Graduate Code

407 Grammar and Reading
(Hebrew Civilization
(Meets with Heb. 301, enrollment 11)

Senior Reading: Roman Satire
3
7

8
Intermediate Portuguese
4
9

34
3

2
3
3

3
Dramatist

Accelerated First-Year French 6
1

3

3

Conversation and German Style 3
Methods in the study of Literature and Linguistics

Homer's "Iliad" 3
Advanced Greek Reading
Lyric and Dramatic Poetry

48
3
3
5
5
$8 b$
$6 a$
$6 a$

3

6b

Program, Dept., Course and Section Number

Liberal Arts (continued)
$670 \mathrm{~b} \quad$ Survey of Russian Literature
Linguistics
672 b .1
672 b .2
672 b .3
672 b .4
382.2

391
394.1
394.2
$\frac{\text { Sciences }}{\text { Biology }}$ 660 b

Chemistry 369K
393L

Geology 320K
365K
387K
Meteorology 320 L
(326 (366

Physics 360L
474.2

Zoology 380
296

Intensive Arabic 3
Intensive Hindi 3
Intensive Japanese 3
Intensive Telugu 3
Advanced Sanskrit 3
Advanced Classical Arabic
Comparative Iranian Linguistics
Comparative Arabic Linguistics

Topics in Modern Biology

Techniques of Research
Advanced Topics in Physical Chemistry

Elementary Field Geology 3
Geophysics 3
Advanced General Geology 3

General Meteorology II 3
Climatology
Climatology

Topics in Modern Physics 3
Advanced Laboratory I 3

Seminar: Cytology 3
Seminar in Genetics 2

Enrollment
Credit Under-Justification Value Graduate Graduate $\qquad$

2

3
3 3
4
1 3
4
2 6a
4 6a
3
6a

5
$6 b$

9
6b
4
7

9
9
6b
4
9

3
$8 b$
8b

4
39

Program, Dept., Course and Section Number

Course Title
Fine Arts
Art
301K.1
Life Drawing
(Meets with Art 301L.1, enrollment 19)
301K. 3 Life Drawing
(Meets with Art 301L.3, enrollment 24)
302K. 1
Design
(Meets with Art 3024.1, enrollment 24)
302K. 2
Design
(Meets with Art 302L.2, enrollment 11)
302K. 4
Design
(Meets with Art 302L.4, enrollment 12)
311K.1
Painting
(Meets with Art 311L.1, enrollment 12)

| (31lK.2 | Painting |
| :---: | :---: |
| (3llL. 2 | Painting |
| $(311 \mathrm{~K} .3$ | Painting |
| (311L. 3 | Painting |
| 3llK. 4 | Painting |
| (Meets with Art 3llL.4, enrollment 10) |  |

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { (312K.1 } & \text { Pictorial Composition } \\ \text { (342K.1 } & \text { Advanced Pictorial Composition } \\ \text { (342L.1 } & \text { Advanced Pictorial Composition }\end{array}$
(The above classes meet with Art 3I2L.l, enrollment 12.)

| (312K. 4 | Pictorial Composition |
| :---: | :---: |
| (342K. 4 | Advanced Pictorial Compositi |
| (342L. 4 | Advanced Pictorial Compositi |
| (The above classes meet with Art 312L. |  |
| enrollment | I6.) |

(312L. 2
Pictorial Composition
(342K. 2
Advanced Pictorial Composition
(342L. 2
Advanced Pictorial Composition
(The above classes meet with Art 3l2K.2, enrollment 13.)
$\begin{array}{cc}(313 \mathrm{~K} .2 & \text { Sculpture } \\ \text { (323K.2 } & \text { Advanced Sculpture } \\ \text { (323L.2 } & \text { Advanced Sculpture } \\ \text { (363K.2 } & \text { Advanced Sculpture } \\ \text { (The above classes meet with Art } & 313 \mathrm{~L} .2, \\ \text { enroliment 10.) }\end{array}$
(313L. 1
Sculpture
(323L. 1
(363K.1
Advanced Sculpture
Advanced Sculpture
Advanced Sculpture
(The above classes meet with Art 313K.1, enrollment 12.)

| CreditUnder- <br> Value <br> Graduate <br> Graduate | Justifi- <br> cation <br> Code |
| :--- | :---: |

Program, Dept., Course and Section Number

Fine Arts (continued)
Art (continued)

| ( 316 K .1 | Sophomore Life Drawing |
| :---: | :---: |
| (346K.1 | Advanced Life Drawing |
| (366L.1 | Advanced Life Drawing |
| (The above classes meet with Art 316L.1, |  |
| enrollment II.) |  |

$(316 \mathrm{~K} .2$
316 L .2
$(346 \mathrm{~K} .2$
346 L .2
$(366 \mathrm{~K} .2$
316 K .3
$(316 \mathrm{~L} .3$
$(346 \mathrm{~K} .3$
346 L .3
(316K. 4
(316L. 4

(346L. 4
(321K.1
(321L. 1
(361L.1
$(321 K .2$
$(3211.2$
3611.2
(361L. 2
(321K. 3
(321L. 3
(361K. 3
(361L. 3
(325K
(3251
(345K.1
(375K.1
(375L. 1
Sophomore Life Drawing
Sophomore Life Drawing
Advanced Life Drawing
Advanced Life Drawing
Advanced Life Drawing
Sophomore Life Drawing
Sophomore Life Drawing
Advanced Life Drawing
Advanced Life Drawing
Sophomore Life Drawing
Sophomore Life Drawing
Advanced Life Drawing
Advanced Life Drawing
Advanced Painting
Advanced Painting
Advanced Painting
Advanced Painting
Advanced Painting
Advanced Painting
Advanced Painting
Advanced Painting
Advanced Painting
Advanced Painting
Graphic Arts
Graphic Arts
Commercial Design
Advanced Commercial Design
Advanced Commercial Design
(The above classes meet with Art 345L.1, enrollment 11.)

| (345K.3 | Commercial Design |
| :---: | :---: |
| (375K.3 | Advanced Commercial Design |
| (375L. | Advanced Comnercial Design |
| (The above classes meet with Art 345 L .3, |  |
| enrollment 11.) |  |


| $(347$ | Ceramics | 3 | 6 | 8 b |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $(347 \mathrm{~K}$ | Ceramics | 3 | 5 | 8 b |
| $(354 \mathrm{~K}$ | Crafts | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| $(354 \mathrm{~L}$ | Crafts | 3 | 3 | 4 |

Enrollment
Credit Value Under-

Justification Code

| 3 | 6 | $8 b$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | 3 | $8 b$ |
| 3 | 1 | $8 b$ |

$8 b$ 8 b 8 b

| 3 | 6 | $8 b$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | 8 | $8 b$ |
| 3 | 2 | $8 b$ |
| 3 | 4 | $8 b$ |
| 3 | 1 | $8 b$ |
| 3 | 7 | $8 b$ |
| 3 | 9 | $8 b$ |
| 3 | 5 | $8 b$ |
| 3 | 3 | $8 b$ |
| 3 | 4 | $8 b$ |
| 3 | 5 | $8 b$ |
| 3 | 5 | $8 b$ |
| 3 | 6 | $8 b$ |
| 3 | 3 | $8 b$ |
| 3 | 8 | $8 b$ |
| 3 | 1 | $8 b$ |
| 3 | 5 | $8 b$ |
| 3 | 6 | $8 b$ |
| 3 | 1 | $8 b$ |
| 3 | 4 | $8 b$ |
| 3 | 3 | $8 b$ |
| 3 | 3 | $8 b$ |
| 3 | 1 | $8 b$ |
| 3 | 6 | 4 |
| 3 | 2 | 4 |
| 3 | 7 | $8 b$ |
| 3 | 1 | 8 |



$$
8 \mathrm{~b}
$$

$$
8 \mathrm{~b}
$$

$$
8 b
$$

Program, Dept., Course and Section Number

Course Title
Fine Arts (continued)
Drama
$276 \mathrm{~L} \quad$ Advanced Rehearsal and Performance
Music
200.1 Class Instruction in Applied Music
200.2 Class Instruction in Applied Music
200.3 Class Instruction in Applied Music
200.4 Class Instruction in Applied Music
200.5 Class Instruction in Applied Music
200.6 Class Instruction in Applied Music

200J.1 Class Instruction in Applied Music
200J. 2 Class Instruction in Applied Music
200J. 3 Class Instruction in Applied Music
200K.1 Class Instruction in Applied Music
200K. 2 Class Instruction in Applied Music
200K. 3 Class Instruction in Applied Music
210J Class Instruction in Applied Music
210K.1 Class Instruction in Applied Music
210K. 2 Class Instruction in Applied Music
210K. 3 Class Instruction in Applied Music
210K.4. Class Instruction in Applied Music
Ens. 209b.5.1
Ensemble
605a.3 Theory of Music 3
379K. 4

Conference Course in Music Literature

Credit Value

Enrollment
UnderGraduate Graduate

Justification Code

Teacher Education
Departmental Methods
Ed. C. 320L. 11 Fundamentals of Secondary Education 3
36
L. S. 322T.1 Children's Literature
(Meets with Ed. C. 322T.1, enrollment 36)
L. S. $322 T .3$ Children's Literature
(Meets with Ed. C. 322T.3, enrollment 39)
L. S: 322T. 5 Children's Literature
(Meets with Ed. C. 322T.5, enrollment 28)
L. S. 330T Literature for Adolescents

| Program, Dept., Course and Section Number | Course Title | Credit <br> Value | Enroliment |  | Justifi <br> cation Code |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | UnderGraduate | Graduate |  |
| Teacher Education (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Departmental Methods (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ed. C. 3322 | C. \& I. in Schools for the Deaf: Elementary Grades | 3 | 3 |  | 3 |
| (Art 344K | C. \& I. in Secondary School Art | 3 | 5 |  | 8 b |
| (Art 344M | C. \& I. in Secondary School Art | 3 | 8 |  | 8 b |
| (Mus. 269 K | C. \& I. in Creative Mus. ActivitiesElem. Grades | - 2 | 2 |  | 8 b |
| (Mus. 369\% | C. \& I. in Creative Mus. ActivitiesElem. Grades | - 3 | 9 |  | 8 b |
| Ed. C. 370T | Senior Seminar in Social Studies | 3 | 9 |  | 2 |
| Mus. 37am | C. \& I. in School Instrumental Music | 3 | 8 |  | 6b |
| (Ed. C. 376 | Techniques of Foreign Language Instruction | 3 | 1 |  | 8a |
| (Fr. 376 | Techniques of Foreign Language Instruction | 3 | 4 |  | 8 a |
| (Ger. 376 | Techniques of Foreign Language Instruction | 3 | 1 |  | 8 a |
| (Lin. 376 | Techniques of Foreign Language Instruction | 3 | 6 |  | 8 a |
| (Spn. 376K | Techniques of Foreign Language Instruction | 3 | 7 |  | 8 a |
| (Ed. C. 384K | Seminar in Music Education | 3 |  | 1 | 8 a |
| (Mus. 384K | Seminar in Music Education | 3 |  | 4 | 8 a |
| Educational Psychology |  |  |  |  |  |
| 365D | Problems in the Language Development of the Deaf | 3 | 7 |  | 1 |
| 377K | Surveying Techniques for Teachers of Exceptional Children | 3 | 8 |  | 1 |
| 380H | Occupational and Educational Information | 3 |  | 4 | 7 |
| 380K | Special Education Surveying Techniques | 3 |  | 4 | 7 |
| 387 P .2 | Seminar in Measurement | 3 |  | 1 | 9 |
| $3901$ <br> (Meets wi | ```Advanced Statistics: Experimental Design Psy. 384K, enrollment 20)``` | 3 |  | 2 | 83 |
| History and Philosophy of Education |  |  |  |  |  |
| $360 \mathrm{~L}$ <br> (Meets wi | His. and Phil. of Education: Ancient and Medieval Periods <br> Ed. H. 325K, enrollment 13) | t 3 | 6 |  | 8b |



Program, Dept.,
Course and Sec-
tion Number
Course Title
Home Economics
207Q. 2
Selection, Preparation, and Serving of Food
(Meets with H. E. 307Q.2, enrollment 21)

| CreditEnrollment <br> Under- <br> Vastifi- <br> Cation <br> Code |
| :--- |8b 29 8 b 


都

Sacial Service
S.W.S. 321

Social Work Services
3
9
2

3

Business Administration
Finance

| Ac.S. 219 | Problems Course for Actuarial <br> Examination, Part 2 | 2 | 6 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ac.S. 221 | Problems Course for Actuarial <br> Examination, Part 3 | 2 | 7 | 3 |
| Ac.S. 260 | Population Problems and Multiple <br> Decrement Theory | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| Ac.S. 678 b | Actuarial Mathematics | 3 | 3 | 3 |

The following courses listed in the Final Announcement of Courses as organized classes were changed to individual instruction courses for the Spring Semester, 1960-61:
Por . $312 K$
Rus. 660b
Swe. 6llb

Oral Expression, Reading, and Composition
Tolstoy, a Christian Democrat 3
Beginners' Swedish

## Spring Semester, 1960-61



[^5]| Program, Dept., Course and Section Number | Course Title | Credit Value | Enrollment |  | Justification Code |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | UnderGraduate | Graduate |  |
| Sciences (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chemistry (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4451-1 | Chemical Spectroscopy | 4 | 7 |  | 1 |
| 4461-1 | Physical Chemistry | 4 | 6 |  | 1 |
| Geology |  |  |  |  |  |
| (2217-1 | Mineralogy | 2 | 3 |  | 8b |
| (3217-1 | Mineralogy | 3 | 9 |  | 8 b |
| 2217-2 | Mineralogy | 2 | 5 |  | 6 a |
| 4219-1 | Paleontological Stratigraphy | 4 | 8 |  | $6 a$ |
| Physics |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2344-1 | Adv. Lab Prac Electricity | 2 | 7 |  | 6 a |
| 3342-1 | Electricity \& Magnetism | 3 | 7 |  | $6 a$ |
| 4216-3 | Elec, Mgtsm, Wave Motion | 4 | 6 |  | 6 a |
| 4340-1 | Theory \& Applic. Electron Tubes | 4 | 6 |  | 8 a |
| (Meets with Engineering 4340-1, enrollment - 14) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fine Arts |  |  |  |  |  |
| Art |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Meets with Art 2121-1, enrollment - 28) |  |  |  |  |  |
| (2311-1 | Painting | 2 | 3 |  | 8 b |
| (2411-1 | Painting | 2 | 2 |  | 8 b |
| (2412-1 | Painting | 2 | 1 |  | , 8b |
| (Meet with Art 221l-1, enrollment - 14) |  |  |  |  |  |
| (2131-1 | Graphics | 2 | 3 |  | 8 b |
| (2232-1 | Lithography | 2 | 1 |  | 8 b |
| (Meet with Art 2105-1, enrollment - 15) |  |  |  |  |  |
| (2141-2 | Metals | 2 | 5 |  | 8 b |
| (2341-1 | Metals | 2 | 1 |  | 8 b |
| (2342-1 | Metals | 2 | 1 |  | 8 b |
| (2151-1 | Enameling | 2 | 9 |  | 8 b |
| (2141-3 | Metals | 2 | 7 |  | 6 |
| (2151-2 | Enameling | 2 | 2 |  | 6 a |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2361-1 \\ & \text { (Meets } \end{aligned}$ | Adv. Design \& Production Art 2261-1, enrollment - 11) | 2 | 5 |  | 8 b |
| 2365-1 | Three Dimensional Design | 2 | 4 |  | 68 |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { 2381-2 } \\ \text { (Meets } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ceramics } \\ & \text { Art } 2181-2 \text {, enrollment - 12) } \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 2 |  | 8 b |
| Drama |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1311-1 | College Players | 1 | 4 |  | $8 b$ |
| (Meets w | Drama llll-1, enrollment - 10) |  |  |  |  |

Program, Dept., Course and Section Number

Fine Arts (continued)
Drama (continued)

| (2130-1 | Rehearsal \& Performance |
| :---: | :---: |
| (2230-1 | Rehearsal \& Performance |
| $(2330-1$ | Rehearsal \& Performance |
| (2430-1 | Rehearsal \& Performance |
| $3110-1$ | Introd. to Fine Arts |
| (Meets with Art 3llo-1, enrollment - 16 and |  |
| Music 3110-1, enrollment - 28) |  |

(3214-1
Acting
(3321-1
Advanced Acting
3358-1
3425-1
Develop. Modern Theatre
Directing
Music
3322-1 Music History 3
3411-1
Choral Arranging
3412-1
2171-1
(2172-1
(2271-1
(2373-1
(2374-1
(2171-1
(2172-1
Radio - TV

| (1204-1 | Radio Sta. KVOF-FM |
| :---: | :---: |
| (1205-1 | Radio Sta. KVOF-FM |
| (1305-1 | Radio Sta. KVOF-FM |
| (1405-1 | Radio Sta. KVOF-FM |
| (Meet with Radio 1104-1, enrollment - 19 and |  |
| Radio 1105-1, enrollment - 11) |  |

3321-1 Television Production 11

Teacher Education
Dept. Methods
(Educ. 3441-1 Principles \& Techniques of Teach Phys. Sci. Sec. Sch.
(Phys. 3441-1 Principles \& Techniques of Teach. Phys. Sci. Sec. Sch.
Art 3392-1 Art Teach. Meths. in Sec. Sch. 3
Music 3333-1 Conducting Meths. \& Mats.
(3335-1 Teach. Music in Elem. Schs.
(3336-1 Teach. Music in Elem. Schs.

Credit $\frac{\text { Enrollment }}{\text { Under- }} \begin{gathered}\text { Justifi- } \\ \text { cation }\end{gathered}$ Value Graduate Graduate Code

Program, Dept., Course and Section Number

Course Title
Engineering
Civil Engr . 3335-1

3343-1
Electrical Engr.
3472-1
4358-1
Engr. Mechanics 3376-1

Mining Engr. 2421-1 Mining Trip
(Meets with Metallurgy 2421-1, enrollment - 19)
3302-1 Mine Surveying

3406-1 Rock Mechanics
Metallurgy
2402-1
Ferrous Metallurgy
(Meets with Metallurgy 3402-1, enrollment - 19)
2403-1 $\quad$ Non-ferrous Metallurgy
(Meets with Metallurgy 3403-1, enrollment - 22 )
3405-1 Metallurgical Lab 3
3414-1 Thermodynamics of Metals 3
4308-1 Physical Metallurgy 4
$1 \quad 4$
$8 b$
(llol-12 Modified Phys. Training
$1 \quad 7$
8 b
Business Administration
3320-2 Business Letters 3
38
3
3326-3 Business Law 3
39
3
3414-1
C.P.A. Law Review

Enrollment
Justifi-
Credit Undercation Value Graduate Graduate Code

46
1
1
$6 a$ 1

$$
1
$$

Physical Training
(1102-7 Modified Phys. Training

| $3320-2$ | Business Letters | 3 | 8 | 3 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $3326-3$ | Business Law | 3 | 9 | 3 |
| $3414-1$ | C.P.A. Law Review | 3 | 9 | 6 b |

## Buildings \& Grounds Committee

## BUILDINGS AND GROUNDS COMMITTEE

Date: Friday, May 12, 1961
Time: 9:30 a.m.
Place: Main Building, Room 102

Members
Mr. Thompson, Chairman
Mr. Brenan
Mr. Heath
Mr. Redditt

## 1. Main University

a. Certain Existing Buildings: Appropriation for Mod- ernization and Remodeling ..... 55
b. Business Administration-Economics Building: Approval of Specifications for Classroom Furniture and Furniture and Furnishings ..... 56
c. Research Facilities and Headquarters Building at Institute of Marine Science: Approval of Specifica- tions for Furniture and Furnishings ..... 57
d. Four Parking Areas: Approval of Plans and Speci- fications and Appropriation Therefor $\$ 44,900$ ..... 57
e. University Apartments and Trailer Camp: Rework- ing of Electrical Distribution System 50,000 ..... 58
f. Waller Creek: Removal of Pecan Tree $\mathrm{K}^{\circ}$ ..... 59
g. Experimental Science Building: Approval of Plans and Specifications for Conversion of Certain Rooms in East End 100,000 ..... 59
h. Law School Building (Townes Hall): Approval of Preliminary Plans for Addition and Appointment of Associate Architect* ..... 60,66
i. Gregory Gymnasium: Approval of Preliminary Plans for Addition and Appointment of Associate Architect* ..... 60,65
j. Engineering-Science Building: Appropriations forSpecialized Teaching, Research, and LaboratoryEquipment and Utility Extensions, Re-routing Utili-ties, and Site Improvements61

[^6]k. Sutton Hall and Taylor Hall: Authorization for Engineers Zumwalt and Vinther to Supervise and Inspect Mechanical and Electrical Work on Remodeling Attics and Air Conditioning62

1. Printing Division Building: Final Inspection
m. Art Building and Museum: Award of Contracts *** y. A Cw Kitis
2. Southwestern Medical School
a. Student Union Building: Oral Report and Discussion *
b. Danciger Research Laboratories Building: Report on Recommendation by National Advisory Council on Health Research Facilities of United States Public Health Service for Approval of Grant of \$1,000,000. 00
3. Texas Western College
a. Liberal Arts Building (formerly Classroom-Office Building): Approval of Specifications for Furniture and Furnishings
b. Housing for Married Students and a Dormitory for Men: Approval of Preliminary Plans and Appointment of Associate Architect**
4. Medical Branch

John Sealy Hospital: Authority to Request Grant from Sealy and Smith Foundation to Cover Remodeling Projects $\ulcorner$
5. System-Wide

Recommendations in Regard to Pre-Qualification of Bidders

NOTE: The documentation delivered to this office does not follow the Agenda for the Buildings and Grounds Committee that was submitted for inclusion in the Material Supporting the Agenda. However, the page references are correct.

[^7]THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS<br>OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLIER<br>AUSTIN 12

RECOMMENDATIONS TO REGENIS' BUILDINGS AND GROUNDS COMMITITEE

April 28, 1961

1. SYSTEM-WIDE - RECOMMENDATIONS IN REGARD TO PRE-QUALIFICATION OF BIDDERS.-In accordance with the instructions of the Board of Regents issued at the last meeting, Comptroller Sparenberg has restudied this subject, and continued discussions previously held with Jessen, Jessen, Millhouse, and Greeven, Consulting Architects, Mr. Walter C. Moore, Architect and Assistant to the Comptroller, and their predecessors in office. Also, since the last meeting of the Board, Comptroller Sparenberg, Architect and Assistant to the Comptroller Walter C. Moore, and Mr . Alton E. Greeven, member of the firm of Jessen, Jessen, Millhouse, and Greeven, have had conferences with a number of engineers and others in the State Highway Department in regard to this subject. Those with whom we discussed this matter in the State Highway Department included Mr. J.C. Dingwall, Assistant Highway Engineer (Officer in charge whenever Mr. Greer is absent), Mr. O. A. Philippi, Construction Administrative Engineer (one of the top men in Highway and Bridge Construction work), Mr. Charles E. Teich, Senior Administrative Aide (an accountant who assists Mr. Philippi and Mr. Jed Robinson on pre-qualification matters), Mr. David H. Askew, Engineer of Buildings and Real Estate, and Mr. Travis W. Brown, Supervising Buildings Engineer.

According to the information furnished us, the State Highway Department has rather strict requirements in regard to pre-qualification of bidders on contracts relating to highway and bridge construction, but does not require pre-qualification of bidders on construction work relating to buildings, although they have in recent years been constructing considerably more permanent buildings than formerly. Information required on pre-qualification of bidders for highway and bridge construction work, which must be renewed and brought up to date once a year, includes financial statements which must be certified to by Certified Public Accountants or Public Accountants and "Confidential Questionnaires", which include considerable details in regard to assets, liabilities, equipment, and experience. So far as we could find out, the State Highway Department has no fixed minimum ratio of net worth to the total amount of the jobs involved or any fixed minimum ratio required of net current assets to the total amount of the jobs involved, but apparently in most cases they try to limit the total bidding capacity to approximately 10 times the net working capital (meaning the difference between the "includable" current assets and the "includable" current liabilities).

Apparently the top administrative officers and the members of the State Highway Commission feel strongly that pre-qualification of bidders is very much in order on highway and bridge contracts, but they do not feel that it is advisable to require pre-qualification of bidders on building contracts. Some
of the reasons that they follow a different procedure on building contracts are as follows:

1. Their bidders on building contracts are not bidding practically every month, like more of their contractors are on highways and bridges; apparently, on various building projects over the State, many of these contractors bid only once on one job.
2. Also, they have the feeling that if they require pre-qualification on building contractors, many desirable small bidders over the State would be eliminated from competition and consideration, and costs would increase.

Engineers Askew and Brown seem to feel that they, the State Highway Engineer, and the State Highway Commission are properly justified in making the distinction in procedures that they make in regard to building contracts, and Messrs. Askew and Brown indicated to us that generally speaking they thought procedures being followed by The University of Texas were very good.

As previously indicated, we have discussed the comparative advantages and disadvantages of pre-qualification of bidders on building construction many times in the past with the architects that we have in the Comptroller's Office, the Consulting Architects, and a number of other architects. We still believe that, for University purposes, the net over-all result would be better if we continued our present procedure, and that our present system of requiring financial statements, bank recommendations, lists of equipment, and lists of jobs performed, from low bidders, rather than from all bidders, produces the best net results, and that we have considerably fewer unhappy and disgruntled contractors now than we would have if we required them all to be pre-qualified and furnish all this detailed information before they could even get a set of plans and specifications. The recent case in which a low bidder who was a plumber bid on an air-conditioning contract for which he was not properly qualified, as a result of which we recommended that the second low bidder be given the award, would not have been eliminated by requiring all the financial and other detailed information in advance. We have required financial statements, bank recommendations, lists of major items of equipment, and lists of jobs performed from all bidders in a number of cases where federal money was involved (mainly where the H. H. F. A. was involved), but even in these cases all of the detailed financial and other information was not required prior to the bidding.

Admitting that there are good and legitimate arguments on both sides of this question, it is still the recommendation of Comptroller Sparenberg, which recommendation is concurred in by Mr. Walter C. Moore, Architect and Assistant to the Comptroller, Jessen, Jessen, Millhouse, and Greeven, Consulting Architects, and Vice Chancellor Dolley, that:

1. In general, The University of Texas continue its present procedure as to the timing and amount of financial and other information required from bidders.
2. The University of Texas continue to require the type of information previously indicated from all low bidders on which the files of the Comptroller's Office do not have sufficient information or sufficiently up-to-date information.
3. The type of information required (the four different parts now being required) be brought up to date annually by all those contractors with whom we do business regularly.
4. Comptroller Sparenberg be authorized to continue to exercise his best judgment in such matters, under the general supervision of the Chancellor and the Vice Chancellor (Fiscal Affairs), with the understanding that the type of information usually asked for will be required in advance when the circumstances seem to warrant such procedures.
5. Information to bidders and specifications, in addition to the usual statement that "the owner reserves the right to reject any or all bids", indicate specifically that bids may be rejected for lack of proper financial condition or lack of proper experience in the area involved.

It is further recommended by the Comptroller, with the concurrence of Messrs. Moore, Jessen, et al, and Dolley, that, if the Regents should require the pre-qualification of all bidders on our building projects, we require all contracts to be awarded on a single or general contract basis. This would mean the elimination of separate prime contracts for mechanical work, electrical work, plumbing, elevators, and laboratory equipment, as we now separate them at Austin and Dallas and occasionally elsewhere. It is our opinion that in some localities this change would probably cost the University more money, but the problem involved in demanding all of this information in advance from all types of contractors would be too great. Because of these problems and for other reasons, the Highway Department now requires all of their jobs to go under one general contract, as we understand it. Our present policy in regard to the question of whether a particular building project is put under one general contractor or under several prime catractors is to follow as nearly as possible the general practice in the locality involved.
2. MAIN UNIVERSITY - APPROPRIATION FOR MODERNIZATION AND REMODELING OF CERTAIN EXISTING BUILDINGS.--At the Regents' meeting held December 10, 1960, authorization was given for the employment of Bernard Johnson and Associates of Houston as Engineer for the first part of the fifteen buildings at the Main University approved for modernization and remodeling over the next six or seven years. These buildings in order of priority and with estimated costs of each are listed below:

1. Waggener Hall
2. Garrison Hall
3. Chemical Engineering Building 100,000
4. Old Library Building
5. Architecture Building
6. Women's Gymnasium Offices
7. Biological Laboratories Building
8. Home Economics Building

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\$ 170,000 \\
140,000 \\
100,000 \\
110,000 \\
160,000 \\
60,000 \\
200,000 \\
150,000 \\
\hline \$ 1,090,000 \\
137,500 \\
\hline \$ 1,227,500 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

Contingency Allowance
Total Estimated Cost

It is contemplated that the work on two or more buildings will be undertaken simultaneously, and this schedule will be worked out in more detail as the plans progress. As the appropriation for this work has not yet been made, it is recommended that $\$ 1,227,500.00$ be appropriated for the Modernization and Remodeling of Certain Specific Existing Buildings from the Available University Fund Account No. 89460 - New Building Construction, Utilities Expansion, and Air Conditioning Projects - Unallocated.
3. MAIN UNIVERSITY - APPROVAL OF SPECIFICATIONS FOR CLASSROOM FURNITURE AND FURNITURE AND FURNISHINGS FOR BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION-ECONOMICS BUILDING.-In order that the furniture and furnishings will be available for installation when the Business Administration-Economics Building at the Main University is completed, specifications for Classroom Furniture and for other Furniture and Furnishings have been prepared by Architects Moore and Burnett, members of the staff of the Comptroller's Office.

It is believed that the items included in these specifications will not exceed $\$ 330,000.00$, the amount estimated for furniture and furnishings in this building, which amount is available in the allotment account for the project. These specifications have been approved by the Dean of the College of Business Administration, the Chairman of the Department of Economics, Acting President Ransom, and Comptroller Sparenberg. It is recommended that they be approved by the Board and that authorization be given to the Comptroller to advertise for bids to be presented for consideration at a later meeting of the Board.
4. MAIN UNIVERSITY - APPROVAL OF SPECIFICATIONS FOR FURNITURE AND FURNISHINGS FOR RESEARCH FACILITIES AND HEADQUARTERS BUILDING AT INSTITUTE OF MARINE SCIENCE.-Specifications are in process of being prepared in the Office of the Comptroller for Furniture and Furnishings for the Research Facilities and Headquarters Building at the Institute of Marine Science, but are not yet ready for presentation to the Board for approval. In order that these items of furniture and furnishings will be available for installation when the building is completed, it is recommended that a Committee consisting of the Director of Institute of Marine Science, Dr. H. T. Odum, Mr. W. W. Heath, Comptroller Sparenberg, and Chancellor Ransom, be appointed to approve these specifications when in proper form, and that after this approval, Comptroller Sparenberg be given authorization to advertise for bids, these bids to be presented for consideration by the Board at a later meeting. It is understood that these specifications should cover furniture and furnishings estimated to cost not more than $\$ 12,000.00$, the amount allotted for this purpose.
5. MAIN UNIVERSITY - APPROVAL OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS FOR FOUR PARKING AREAS AND APPROPRIATION THEREFOR.--Plans and specifications have been prepared by the Main University Physical Plant staff covering the construction of parking facilities in the following areas:

| Location | Number of Parking Spaces | Estimated Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cliff Courts Area (Lot 3) | 153 | \$11,500 |
| Townes Hall Area (Lot 7) | 164 | 12,500 |
| Engineering Laboratories Building Area (New) | 116 | 10,000 |
| Stadium Area | 150 | 10,000 |
| Total | 583 | \$44,000 |

These additional facilities are needed in order to counteract at least partially the loss of parking areas in certain lots which have been or will have to be abandoned in whole or in part because of construction work on the Campus. At the time the parking area is being constructed in the Stadium grounds, the Athletic Council would like to build concrete walks in certain areas and make certain other minor improvements, estimated to cost approximately $\$ 7,000.00$, which they would like to pay for out of their Operating Account. It is, therefore, recommended by Messrs. Eckhardt, Landrum, Ransom, Sparenberg, and Dolley that an appropriation of $\$ 51,000.00$ be set up in the Central Administration Plant Funds Unexpended section of the books to cover these parking areas and improvements in the Stadium grounds, the sources of funds to be as follows:

| Account No. 4300 - Reserve for Construction <br> of Parking Areas and Lots* | $\$ 24,000.00$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Account No. 53210 - Campus Parking* | $20,000.00$ |
| Account No. 52700 - Athletic Council - <br> General Account | $7,000.00$ |

*Derived from student and staff parking fees.
It is further recommended that the plans and specifications for these parking areas and the improvements in the Stadium grounds be approved by the Board and that authorization be given to Comptroller Sparenbert to advertise for bids on the project, these bids to be presented for consideration by the Regents at a later meeting.
6.: MAIN UNIVERSITY - REWORKING OF ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM AT THE UNIVERSITY APARTMENTS AND TRAILER CAMP.--The electrical distribution system installed in 1946 at the University Apartments and Trailer Camp at the Main University contemplated a minimum use only in each apartment. Because of the use of numerous electrical appliances there is now an increased load for each apartment, and there is no longer any assurance that the present electrical distribution system can handle the demand in a safe manner, and renovation of the system is needed. Also, in the President's docket for the Main University for this meeting, there is a recommendation for a change in policy which would involve charging the apartments and trailer camp occupants for electricity consumed; approval of this recommendation by the Regents would mean that individual meters would have to be installed at each apartment and trailer camp site. It is recommended that this new policy be put into effect by September 1, 1961, or as soon thereafter as the meters can be installed. An estimate made by the Main University Physical Plant staff indicates that the cost of all labor and material including transformers, meters, wires, etc., needed to perform this renovation of the electrical distribution system will be $\$ 50,000.00$. In view of the facts as stated above the following recommendations are made by Messrs. Landrum and Ransom, with the concurrence of Messrs. Sparenberg and Dolley:

1. Set up an account in the Central Administration Plant Funds Unexpended section entitled "Renovation of Electrical Distribution System and Installation of Kilowatt-hour Meters - University Apartments and Trailer Camp," and appropriate $\$ 50,000.00$ for this account from the Unallocated Balance of Account 52110 carried in the Main University Auxiliary Enterprises Accounts entitled "University Apartments."
2. Authorize the renovation of the electrical distribution system, the rewiring of the service entrances to the apartments and trailer camp lots and installation of kilowatt-hour meters on each unit.
3. Authorize the Main University Physical Plant staff to prepare the necessary plans and specifications for the project, to be approved by the Comptroller's Office.
4. Authorize the Comptroller to advertise for bids, these bids to be presented to the Board for consideration at a later meeting.
5. MAIN UNIVERSITY - REMOVAL OF PECAN TREE FROM WALLER CREEK.--In doing some additional work on straightening and widening Waller Creek, which project is under way at this time, one pecan tree has been found on which approximately one-half of the root system has been exposed due to the action of the creek. To save this tree would involve the expenditure of a considerable sum of money, and would render more difficult the creek-straightening plan, which it is felt is essential to avoid flood damage in the Service Building area. It is, therefore, recomended that permission be given to remove this tree, as it is too large to be moved to another location.
6. MAIN UNIVERSITY - APPROVAL OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS FOR CONVERSION OF CERTAIN ROOMS IN EAST END OF EXPERIMENIAL SCIENCE BUILDING.--At the Regents' Meeting held January 23, 1960, authorization was given for preparation of plans and specifications for the Conversion of Certain Rooms in the East End of the Experimental Science Building at the Main University into laboratory space for the Zoology Department, at a total estimated cost of $\$ 100,000.00$. At the meeting held September 24, 1960, this project was enlarged to include several more rooms than originally contemplated and the appropriation increased to $\$ 182,100$, the increase coming from a U. S. Government grant for this purpose. As authorized, the plans and specifications for the general construction have been prepared by the Physical Plant staff of the Main University, and the plans and specifications for the mechanical and electrical work have been prepared by Engineers Zumwalt and Vinther. These plans and specifications have been approved by the Chairman of the Zoology Department, Comptroller Sparenberg, and Acting President and Chancellor Ransom, and it is recommended that they be approved by the Board and that authorization be given to Comptroller Sparenberg to advertise for bids on the project, these bids to be presented to the Board for consideration at a later meeting.

At the time Zumwalt and Vinther were appointed to prepare the mechanical and electrical plans and specifications for this project, it was contemplated that after the preparation of the plans and specifications, no further work would be performed by Zumwalt and Vinther and that the inspection of the work as it progressed would be performed by the Main University Physical Plant staff. A recommendation has now been made by Mr. Eckhardt, Director of the Main University Physical Plant, which recommendation is concurred in by Main University Business Manager Landrum and Comptroller Sparenberg, that Zumwalt and Vinther be employed to perform the supervision of the mechanical and electrical work under the contracts which will be awarded. It is, therefore, recommended that the Board approve the appointment of Zumwalt and Vinther to supervise the mechanical and electrical work on this project, their fee to be as set forth in the contract
with this firm which is now in effect, namely la $\frac{1}{2} \%$ of the amount of the contracts involved. It is believed that the amount of money appropriated for this project will be sufficient to cover all the costs including this additional fee.
9. MAIN UNIVERSITY - APPROVAL OF PRELIMINARY PLANS FOR ADDITION TO LAW SCHOOL BUILDING (TOWNES HALL) AND APPOINTMENT OF ASSOCIATE ARCHITECT.--At the Regents' Meeting held September 24, 1960, the Consulting Architect was authorized to prepare preliminary plans on the Addition to the Law School Building at the Main University, and an appropriation was made in the amount of $\$ 15,000.00$ to cover the cost thereof. These preliminary plans and the outline specifications, including cost estimates, have now been prepared and approved by the Main University Faculty Building Comaittee, the Dean of the Law School, Comptroller Sparenberg, and Acting President and Chancellor Ransom.

The estimate for this addition at the time the Ten-Year Building Plan was approved by the Board was $\$ 1,500,000.00$. The cost estimate made at this time by the Consulting Architects, based on the preliminary plans as prepared, is $\$ 1,572,300.00$. This increase in the estimated cost has been brought about largely by a few requests from the Law School for additional features not originally contemplated in the plans. Since it is believed by all who have studied these plans that they are reasonable, it is recomended by all concerned that they be approved by the Board and that an overall appropriation for this project be made in the amount of $\$ 1,600,000.00$, from Permanent University Fund Bond proceeds, including the $\$ 15,000.00$ already appropriated.

It is further recommended that an Associate Architect be appointed with authorization to proceed with the preparation of working drawinga and specifications, based on the approved preliminary plans; a joint recommendation of the Comptroller and the Consulting Architect in regard to the selection of an Associate Architect is submitted with these recommendations.
10. MAIN UNIVERSITY - APPROVAL OF PRELIMINARY PLANS FOR ADDITION TO GREGORY GYMNASIUM AND APPOINTMENT OF ASSOCIATE ARCHITECT.--At the Regents' Meeting held September 24, 1960, the Consulting Architect was authorized to prepare preliminary plans on the Addition to Gregory Gymasium at the Main University, and an appropriation was made in the amount of $\$ 16,000.00$ to cover the cost thereof. These preliminary plans and the outline specifications, including cost estimates, have now been prepared and approved by the Main University Faculty Building Comittee, the Director of Physical Training for Men, the Director, Intramurals for Men, Director, Intercollegiate Athletics, of the Main University, Comptroller Sparenberg, and Acting President and Chancellor Ransom.

The estimate for this addition at the time the Ten-Year Building Plan was approved by the Board was $\$ 1,600,000.00$. The cost estimate made at this time by the Consulting Architect, based on the preliminary plans as prepared, is $\$ 1,745,000.00$. This increase in the estimated cost has been brought about by several changes requested by the Physical Training for Men Department, by the Athletic Department, and by several of the members of the Board of Regents, some of which changes include additional office space in the connecting section between the main part of the new building and the existing gymnasium, and the addition of one glass exhibition handball court. Total estimated square footage has been increased from 90,000 to 111,000 . Since it is believed by all who have studied these plans that they are reasonable and should be approved, it is recommended by all concerned that they be approved by the Board and that an overall appropriation for this project be made in the amount of $\$ 1,750,000.00$, from Permanent University Fund Bond Proceeds, including the $\$ 16,000.00$ already appropriated.

It is further recommended that an Associate Architect be appointed with authorization to proceed with the preparation of working drawings and specifications, based on the approved preliminary plans; a joint recommendation of the Comptroller and the Consulting Architect in regard to the selection of an Associate Architect is submitted with these recommendations.
11. MAIN UNIVERSITY - APPROPRIATIONS FOR SPECIALIZED TEACHING, RESEARCH, AND IABORATORY EQUIPMEMI AND UTILITY EXTENSIONS, RE-ROUTING UTILITIES, AND SITIE TMPROVEMENIS FOR ENGINEERING-SCIENCE BUILDING.--At the March, 1961, Regents' meeting Dr. Ransom explained to the Regents' Buildings and Grounds Committee that the $\$ 4,000,000.00$ tentatively approved for the Engineering-Science Building at the Main University would not cover the cost of specialized teaching and research equipment estimated to cost $\$ 800,000.00$, and also would not cover the cost of some utility extensions, re-routing of utilities, and site improvements in an estimated amount of $\$ 200,000.00$. This explanation was made before the preliminary plans were approved, so that the Regents' Buildings and Grounds Committee would have the full story before an Associate Architect was appointed and instructed to proceed with the working drawings and specifications.

In order that funds will be available for these items mentioned above, the following recommendations are made by Mr. Landrum, the President's Office of the Main University, and the Chancellor's Office:

1. Appropriate $\$ 800,000.00$ from Permanent University Fund Bond Proceeds to an account to be entitled "Engineering-Science Building Specialized Teaching, Research, and Laboratory Equipment." The $\$ 800,000$ for equipment is to be considered a part of the $\$ 4,600,000$ "lump sum allocation for matching" for the Main University, as listed in the Ten Year Building Plan and designated Project Q. In the event that applications for grant funds for the Engineering Science Building project are subsequently approved, this appropriation of $\$ 800,000$ is to be reduced by the amount of the grant money received.
2. Appropriate $\$ 200,000.00$ from Available University Fund Account No. 89460 - New Building Construction, Utilities Expansion and Air Conditioning Projects - Unallocated to an account to be entitled "Engineering-Science Building - Utility Extensions, Re-routing Utilities, and Site Improvements."'
3. MAIN UNIVERSITY - AUTHORIZATION FOR ENGINEERS ZUMWALT AND VINIHER TO SUPERVISE AND INSPECT MECHANICAL AND ELECTRICAL WORK ON REMODELING ATTICS AND AIR CONDITIONING OF SUTTON HALL AND TAYIOR HALL. --At the time Zumwalt and Vinther were appointed to prepare plans and specifications for the mechanical and electrical work on Remodeling Attics and Air Conditioning of Sutton Hall and Taylor Hall at the Main University, it was contemplated that no supervision would be performed by this firm as the work progressed, but that this supervision would be performed by the Main University Physical Plant staff. It is now deemed advisable by Mr. Eckhardt, Main University Director of Physical Plant, Mr. Landrum, Main University Business Manager, and Comptroller Sparenberg that the firm of Zumwalt and Vinther be engaged to perform the supervision and inspection of the mechanical and electrical work under the contracts which have been awarded, the fee for this work to be $1 \frac{1}{2} \%$ of the amount of the contracts involved, as set out in the contract with this firm now in effect. There is sufficient money allotted for the project to cover this additional fee.
4. MAIN UNIVERSITY - FINAL INSPECTION OF PRINIING DIVISION BUIIDING..Final inspection of this building has been made by representatives of the General Contractor, Mr. William B. Saunders, member of the firm of Baldridge and Saunders, Associate Architect on the project, Mr. Alton E. Greeven, of Jessen, Jessen, Millhouse, and Greeven, Consulting Architect, Mr. Walter C. Moore, Architect and Assistant to the Comptroller, and Comptroller Sparenberg, It is recomended by all concerned that the building be accepted, and that final payment be made to the contractors involved, as soon as a few very minor items on the "punch lists" are completed.
5. SOUTHWESTERN MEDICAL SCHOOL - REPORT ON RECOMMENDATION BY NATIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HEALITH RESEARCH FACILITIES OF UNITED STAIES PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE FOR APPROVAL OF GRANT OF $\$ 1,000,000.00$ FOR DANCIGER RESEARCH LABORATORIES BUILDING.--At the Regents' Meeting held September 24, 1960, authorization was given for Southwestern Medical School to file an application to National Institutes of Health for a matching grant to construct a Research Laboratories Building. The following letter has now been received by Dr. Gill from Dr. Francis L. Schmehl, Chief, Health Research Facilities Branch, Division of Research Grants of the National Institutes of Health, United States Public Health Service, and is being reported to the Board for information:
"We should like to report that the National Advisory Council on Health Research Facilities, on March 20-21, 1961, reconmended approval of your application for a Health Research Facilities grant in the amount of $\$ 1,000,000$ for 'Construction of a Research Laboratory Building.'
"The Surgeon General has agreed to accept the recommendation of the Council and to make this grant provided the necessary funds are appropriated for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 1961. Since the appropriation request for fiscal 1962 has not yet been acted upon by the Congress, it is not possible at this time to give you assurance that your recommended project will be paid, and you should not regard this memorandum as a legal commitment of the Public Health Service. It is expected that formal notification of the award can be sent by August 1961."
6. TEXAS WESTERN COLIEGE - APPROVAL OF SPECIFICATIONS FOR FURNITURE AND FURNISHINGS FOR LIBERAL ARTS BUILDING (FORMERLY CLASSROOM-OFFICE BUILDING).--Specifications are in process of being prepared in the office of the Comptroller for Furniture and Furnishings for the Liberal Arts Building at Texas Western College, but are not yet ready for presentation to the Board for approval. In order that the furniture and furnishings will be available for installation when the building is completed, it is recommended that a Committee consisting of President Ray, Mr. W. W. Heath, Comptroller Sparenberg, and Chancellor Ransom, be appointed to approve these specifications when in proper form, and that after this approval, Comptroller Sparenberg be given authorization to advertise for bids, these bids to be presented for consideration by the Board at a later meeting. It is understood that these specifications should cover furniture and furnishings estimated to cost not more than $\$ 150,000.00$, the amount allotted for this purpose.
7. TEXAS WESTERN COLLEGE - APPROVAL OF PRELIMINARY PLANS AND APPOINTMENT OF ASSOCIATE ARCHITECT FOR HOUSING FOR MARRIED STUDENTS AND A DORMITORY FOR MEN.--As authorized by the Regents at the meeting held December 10, 1960, the final application to Housing and Home Finance Agency for Housing for Married Students and a Dormitory for Men at Texas Western College has been submitted and is being reviewed by that agency. In order that there might be no delay in proceeding with this project when and if approval is received from H. H. F. A., it is recommended that the preliminary plans and outline specifications for the project, as prepared by Consulting Architect Jessen, Jessen, Millhouse, and Greeven and approved by the Texas Western College Building Committee, President Ray, Comptroller Sparenberg, and Chancellor Ransom, be approved by the Board.

It is further recommended that the selection of an Associate Architect be made at this time, with authorization to proceed with working drawings and specifications when approval is received from H. H. F. A. on the project. A joint recommendation of the Comptroller and the Consulting Architect in regard to the selection of an Associate Architect is submitted with these recommendations.
17. MEDICAL BRANCH - AUTHORITY TO REQUEST GRANT FROM SEALY AND SMITH FOUNDATION TO COVER REMODELING PROJECTS IN JOHN SEALY HOSPITAL.--Remodeling of Wards 3A, 3B, 4C, and 9A in the John Sealy Hospital at the Medical Branch is considered to be highly desirable. This remodeling would involve making smaller wards, semi-private rooms, and private rooms out of the larger wards, and is estimated to increase the cash income of the hospital considerably. The total estimated cost of the remodeling contemplated is $\$ 180,000.00$. The only recomendation being made at this time is that the Board authorize the Medical Branch to request a grant from Sealy and Smith Foundation in the amount of $\$ 180,000.00$ for the purpose of remodeling the wards mentioned above. If this grant is received, further recomendations will be made at a later meeting concerning procedure for carrying out this remodeling.

## OTHER MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED BY THE REGENTS' BUILDINGS AND GROUNDS COMMITITEE

Main University - Award of Contracts for Art Building and Musoum
Southwestern Modical School - Oral Report and Discussion on Student Union Building

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLIERR Austin 12

April 24, 1961

JOINT RECOMMENDATION OF COMPTROLLARR SPARENBERG AND CONSULTING ARCHITECT JESSEN, JESSEN, MILLHOUSE, AND GREEVEN

RF: ASSOCIATE ARCHITECT FOR ADDITION TO GREGORY GYMNASIUM MAIN UNIVERSITY

1. Wilson, Morris, Crain, and Anderson, Houston
2. Thomas, Jameson, and Merrill, Dallas
3. Preston M. Geren, Fort Worth
4. Fehr and Granger, Austin

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER Austin 12

April 24, 1961

JOINT RECOMMENDATION OF COMPTROLLERR SPARENBERG AND CONSULTING ARCHITECT JESSEN, JESSEN, MILLHOUSE, AND GREEVEN

## RE: ASSOCIATE ARCHITECT FOR ADDITION TO LAW SCHOOL BUILDING MAIN UNIVERSITY

1. Mark Lemmon, Dallas
2. Brooks and Barr, Austin
3. Broad and Nelson, Dallas
4. Wirtz, Calhoun, Tungate, and Jackeon, Houston

THIE UNIVERSITY OF TEXXAS OFFICE OF THE COMPIROLJER Austin 12

April 24, 1961

JOINT RECOMMENDATION OF COMPTROLLER SPARINBBERG AND CONSULTING ARCHITECT JESSEN, JESSEN, MILLHOUSE, AND GREEVEN

RE: ASSOCIATE ARCHITECT FOR HOUSING FOR MARRIED STUUENTS AND A DORMITORY FOR MEN, TEXAS WESTERN COLIEGE

1. Garland and Hilles, El Paso
2. Davis, Foster, Thorpe, and Associates, Inc., TI Paso
3. Nesmith and Lane, Fl Paso

## Medical Affairs Committee

MEDICAL AFFAIRS COMMITTEE

Date: Friday, May 12, 1961<br>Time: 9:30 a.m.<br>Place: Regents' Room (Main Building, Room 209)<br>Members: Mr. Bryan, Chairman<br>Dr. Connally<br>Mr. Madden<br>Mr. McNeese

As of this date, April 28, 1961, no items have been approved and submitted to this office for consideration by the Medical Affairs Committee. There were no items on the Agenda at the March meeting though I am reasonably sure that Doctor Truslow had some items at that time which he said he would hold over for the May meeting.

## \#

Just as a matter of information and to bring the new Regents up to date on policies and to review the policies for the holdover Regents, on the following page is the current policy re private teaching hospitals at The University of Texas Southwestern Medical School. This policy was adopted by the Board in November, 1956.

## POLICY RE PRIVATE TEACHING HOSPITALS, SOUTHWESTERN MEDICAL SCHOOL

Any negotiations with reference to any private group hospital to qualify as a teaching institution of The University of Texas Southwestern Medical School shall be based upon the following minimum prerequisites:

1. The hospital should be of proper form and structure as to merit approval by the University and its architectural consultants.
2. It would need to demonstrate in some manner that adequate financing could be assured.
3. All appointments to the hospital's professional staff should be made upon nomination by the Dean of the medical school.
4. The chairman of each department of the medical school should be chief of the corresponding service in the hospital.
5. A minimum number of free beds (beds for hospitalization of non-private patients) should be available. About $10 \%$ of the total bed capacity should be reserved for such free teaching beds.
6. The consultation departments (Pathology, Radiology, Physical Medicine and Anesthesiology) should be maintained adequately by the hospital with provision made for adequate salary for personnel.
7. All decisions relative to house staff appointments, rotation, integration with other hospitals, and all decisions pertaining to number of medical students and extent of undergraduate medical school teaching shall be decided by the faculty of the medical school.

## Committee of the Whole

## COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE <br> Chairman Hardie, presiding

Date: Friday, May 12, 1961
Place: Regents' Room (Main Building, Room 209)
A. CHANCELLOR RANSOM ( $1: 30 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. ).--This time is set aside for Chancellor Ransom to discuss matters in general with the Board.
B. SPECIAL AND EMERGENCY ITEMS (All to have been previously cleared with Chancellor Ransom for presentation) (2:00 p.m.)

1. Main University (Doctor Ransom)
2. Texas Western College (Doctor Ray)
3. Medical Branch (Doctor Truslow)
4. Dental Branch (Doctor Olson)
5. M. D. Anderson Hospital and Tumor Institute (Doctor Clark)
6. Southwestern Medical School (Doctor Gili)
7. Postgraduate School of Medicine (Doctor Taylor)
C. ITEMS REFERRED EITHER FOR ACTION OR FOR INFORMATION BY: (3:00 p.m.)
8. Executive Committee (Committee Chairman Heath)
9. Academic and Developmental Affairs Committee (Committee Chairman McNeese)
10. Buildings and Grounds Committee (Committee Chairman Thompson)
11. Land and Investment Committee (Committee Chairman Madden)
12. Medical Affairs Committee (Committee Chairman Bryan)
13. Board for Lease of University Lands (Regent Heath)
14. Special Committee to Consult with Faculty on Selection of President for Main University
D. SPECIAL ITEMS (4:00 p.m.)
15. Chancellor Ransom
a. Central Administration
(1) Report of Faculty Committee on Selection of President for Main University. -- There is no documentation.
(2) Special Meeting, April 7.--A preliminary draft of action taken at the special meeting of the Board of Regents on April 7, 1961, for the sole purpose of hearing the Downtown Merchants and Property Owners Association of San Antonio was distributed to each Regent on April 27.
(3) The South Texas Medical School, Progress Report. -The action taken at the special meeting of the Board authorized and empowered the administrative staff to make a selection of and secure a title to 100 acres offered by the San Antonio Medical Foundation, subject to compliance with all appropriate laws, and to take all preliminary steps needed to expedite the establishment of this school. Below is a progress report by Central Administration as of this date.
(a) and (b) PROGRESS REPORT ON THE SOUTH TEXAS MEDICAL SCHOOL

Preliminary steps necessary for acceptance of a deed to the 100-acre site are proceeding satisfactorily. The same is true of other actions Central Administration was authorized on April 7 to take. An oral report on status, as of May ll, will be presented.

However, one matter requires Regental decision before the Administration can accept title to the 100 -acre site. The motion adopted at the special meeting of the Board of Regents on April 7, 1961, contains this paragraph:
"Our recommendation is made upon the condition that the San Antonio Medical Foundation acquire the additional acreage as proposed which additional acreage shall become a part of the
medical center and must be used for the development of such center and with the consent and approval of The University of Texas."

The San Antonio Medical Foundation has not acquired additional acreage, and has no immediate (i.e. within a month) prospect of such acquisition. They have bought options to purchase within 6 months from April 15 two suitable tracts of land, one of 114 acres and another of 190 acres. They paid $\$ 10,000$ for each option; we have copies of the option agreements. To complete purchase, they must raise \$342,000 for the 114 -acre tract, and $\$ 250,000$ (for 100 acres) to $\$ 450,000$ approximately (for the full acreage) for the 190-acre tract. Central Administration feels that it does not have, in view of the motion quoted, authority to accept title under these circumstances and requests a further directive from the Regents.

There are three alternative interpretations, as we analyze the situation:

1. Title will not be accepted--and, hence, the site is not settled-until at least 150 acres of new land is acquired. The San Antonio Medical Foundation urges that this not be the decision. Their contention, probably sound, is that this will re-stimulate the location controversy and give interested parties an incentive to sabotage money-raising efforts in order to re-open the question of location. However, this position may be justified if the Regents feel strongly that 350 acres is the minimum amount of acreage which should be available for a medical center in which the University is to participate. (If this position is taken, acquisition of the 114 -acre site under option would be sufficient, we think, because the Methodist Hospital has acquired a 38 -acre tract which it will dedicate to medical center uses.)
2. Existence of the present options is to be interpreted as sufficient compliance to warrant the University to take title to the 100 -acre tract. The April 7 motion would remain unchanged, saying in effect that the additional 150 acres would have to be acquired before any steps are taken by the Regents to expend state funds on The South Texas Medical School. This would keep down any further location controversy, and give San Antonio Medical Foundation some time in which to acquire land. However, it would still leave us at loose ends in such steps as employing a dean, securing consultant services, etc. Further, it is relatively certain that if a legislative appropriation is made, the Regents will be placed under considerable pressure to proceed, land or no land.
3. As No. 2, except Central Administration is to proceed with activation relying upon the good faith of San Antonio Medical Foundation to acquire the necessary land. It should be pointed out that it is possible to put so much stress on the acquisition of more land that the Medical School itself will suffer. The best thing the Foundation can do for the Medical School is to provide $\$ 100,000$ to $\$ 150,000$ a year in supplementary operating funds for the Medical School. If they assume heavy obligations to purchase land, their ability to raise money for program purposes may be proscribed sharply.

We request the Regents to give Central Administration a directive as to how to proceed in this matter.

The Board also will want to determine the method for giving their "consent and approval" of the use of other property in the medical center. It is recommended by Central Administration that the necessary control be effected by approval of the deed forms to be used in the conveyance of property from the San Antonio Medical Foundation to a medical center operating organization and from that organization to the various purchasers or donees of property in the center.
(c) Selection of Dean, The South Texas Medical School.--Chancellor Ransom is appointing a committee of three for this purpose, with Dean A. J. Gill of Southwestern Medical School as Chairman and Truman Blocker, M. D., of the Medical Branch as a member. The third member has not been announced.
(4) Proposed Legislation, Progress Report. -- There is no documentation.
b. Main University

Mezes Awards. --Since these awards are made to faculty members in need, they are always announced in executive session by the Chancellor.
c. Southwestern Medical School
(1) William Buchanan Foundation Grant. --On Page 74 is a report from the Administration regarding the William Buchanan Foundation Grant.

Allocation of Buchanan Foundation Income Accrued Prior to July 1, 1961
At the Regents' Meeting of February 18, 1961, the Board approved establishment of "The William Buchanan Professorship in Pediatrics" at Southrestern Medical School and starting July 1, 1961, allocated the entire annual income from the Buchanan Foundation Grant for this purpose.

Unallocated income which will have accrued prior to July 1, 1961, will total $\$ 24,062.50$. Pursuant to recommendation of the University committee (the Chancellor, Dean of Southwestern Medical School, Executive Dean of Medical Branch, Dean of Postgraduate School of Medicine), the Buchanan Foundation Board of Trustees has approved allocation of:

| $\$ 2,000$ | to Postgraduate School of Medicine for <br> expenses of the Hill Country Seminar <br> on Pediatrics, held in Hunt, Texas, <br> August, 1960. |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 22,062.50$ | to Medical Branch for Laboratory <br> remodeling and equipment in the <br> Department of Pediatrics. |
| $\$ 24,062.50$ |  |

Previous allocations of income from this grant are detailed below. All have been approved by the Foundation Trustees. The financial transactions are summarized now for information of the Board of Regents and for the official records.

Account \# 81805 - Buchanan Foundàtion Grant
Current Restricted Funds - Central Administration

|  |  | Received | Transferred | Balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1956 | Grant Principal | \$500,000.00 |  | \$500,000.00 |
| 1957 | Income (via Endowment Fund Transfer) | 9,884.51 |  | 9,884.51 |
|  | Expenses - Registration of U.S. Treasury Bonds |  | \$ 9.88 | 9,874.63 |
| 1958 | Income (Current Rest. Funds) <br> Transfer - Southwestern Medical | 20,296.17 |  | 30,170.80 |
|  | School |  | 10,000.00 | 20,170.80 |
| 1959 | Income | 17,500.00 |  | 37,670.80 |
|  | Transfer - Southwestern Medical School |  | \$28,000.00 | \$ 9,670.80 |
| 1960 | Income | \$ 17,500.00 |  | 27,170.80 |
|  | Transfer - Southvestern Medical School for Electron Microscope |  | 18,420.80 | 8,750.00 |
|  | TOTAL TO DATE | \$ 65,180.68 | \$56,430.68 | \$ 8,750.00 |

(2) 1961-70 Development Plan. -- Upon authorization of of Chancellor Ransom, a copy of Southwestern Medical School 1961-1970 Development Plan is submitted (Page 76) herewith for the Regents' examination and subsequent discussion. At its October 1960 meeting, the Regents requested that Dean A. J. Gill, in conference with officers of Central Administration, prepare such a plan and submit it for study by the Regents. Vice-Chancellor Haskew acted for the Chancellor's Office in preparation of the present document. It is now submitted for discussion with the approval of Vice-Chancellor Cox, Vice-Chancellor Dolley, ViceChancellor Haskew, Dean A. J. Gill, and Chancellor Ransom.
2. Members of the Board. -- This time is allotted for the members of the Board to present items they may wish to have considered.

1961-1970 DEVELOPMENT PLAN WITH THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS SOUTHWESTERN MEDICAL SCHOOL

## I. IN SUMMARY

The plan presented calls for specified progress in eleven areas. These are detailed and explained in Sections II and III. Progress is dependent chiefly upon effort by the administration and faculty. It is also dependent upon increased financial support.

In estimating cost of progress, 1960-61 is taken as the point of departure. Between 1961-62 and 1969-70, the gross increased cost for progress is estimated at $\$ 21,600,000$. Of this, $\$ 6,550,000$ is for physical plant additions and approximately $\$ 14,900,000$ ( 69 per cent) is for program. The annual increases for program range from $\$ 527,000$ in 1961-62 to $\$ 2,570,000$ by 1969-70. Figures are estimates, probably accurate within 10 to 15 per cent.

To pay for such progress, the sources listed in Table II must be depended upon. Using conservative figures for increases in General Revenue appropriations, we arrive at a demand for new non-governmental gifts and grants totaling $\$ 4,846,000$ for program purposes. For physical plant additions, the corresponding total is approximately $\$ 2,350,000$ (allowing for the $\$ 1,000,000$ Danciger Research and the $\$ 200,000$ Skillern donations in hand). In total, new gift and grant money would have to be $\$ 7,196,000$.

Tables I and II present the financial estimates.

## Remainder of Report

Section II presents a cohesive, but terse, exposition of the lines of progress which are envisioned in the decade ahead.

Section III consists of elaborating details on faculty development and physical plant additions, the two chief features of the Development Plan.

1961-1970 Development Plan

2raterntar
 $\qquad$ Total 10 Year Increments
Operational Levels

*Other than physical plant and major equipment.
(1) Includes all salary supplemientation reported in 1960-61 budget and payment for Parkland Hospital Services.
(2) Increases from this pointare due chiefly to contemplated additions to physical plant.

## COMMENTS ON TABTE I.

IIne A. Estimates 1961-64 are based on actual cases. From there on, additions are made to compensate for some general change in the competitive situation. Judging by recent trends, we may be too conservative in the additions made.

Ine B. Again, the first 3 years are based on actual cases; the remaining years upon tentative formulations of a special cormittee examining faculty needs. Note that amount for each year includes preceding increases. Total expenditure for this item can, of course, always be kept down to funds available. Estimates are based upon what seems to be minimal.

Line C. Amounts shown may appear unduly large. Yet, at end of decade volume of research would be no.greater than that existent in most medical schools of our size in 1960-61... Upturn after 1963-64 is due to expected completion of research laboratories building. "Safety valve" here is that amount of research is to be tied closely with amount of outside (chiefly Federal government) funds in sight.

Justification for Lines $D$ through I appear in Section II.
IIne K. Basis is Ten Year Building Plan already adopted, plus the possibility of need for still more laboratory space toward the cloge of the decade. The $\$ 2,000,000$ in 1961-62 is the Danciger Research Laboratories project; amounts in other years are merely arbitrary allocations.

11•1961-70 Development Plan
PROJECTED AMNUAL INCOME AND INCREASES OVER 1960-61 LEVELS OF SUPPORT
Approximate Dollar Levels by Years, 1960-61 to 1969-70 $\qquad$ Total 10 Year Increments Above

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Increments Above |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1960-61 | 1961-62 | 1962-63 | 1963-64 | 1964-65 | 1965-66 | 1966-67 | 1967-68 | 1968-69 | 1969-70 | 1960-61 Levels |

1. General

Revenue
Appropriations 2,075,000
$2,275,000$
$\cdots$
. Tuition, Fees; and Other General Budget Income

210,000
220,000
230,000
240,000
260,000
270,000
Contract Research and Services
(Federal)*
900,000
$1,025,000$
$1,100,000 \quad 1,200,000$
$1,400,000$
$1,500,000$
$1,700,000$
$1,800,000$
1 1,900

Parkland
Memorial
Hospital
Hospital
Service
Southwestern
Medical Founda-
tion Regalar Annual Grant
6. Non-governmental gifts and grants (all sources), annual cash

| yield* | 569,000 | 856,000 | 1,123,000 | 1,051,000 | 1,179,000 | 1,159,000 | 1,329,000 | 1,299,000 | 1,474,000 | 1,379,000 | 4,846,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Estimated. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Annual Income | 3,930,000 | 4,457,000 | 4,811,000 | 5,000,000 | 5,350,000 | 5,590,000 | 5,980,000 | 6,160,000 | 6,445,000 | 6,500,000 |  |

Sub-totals, Annual Increments
(program)
78,000
$81,000 \quad 83,000$
84,000
86,000
86,000

98,000 (Included in Line 6 amounts)
7. Permanent Fund

Bond Proceeds for Physical Plant(1)
8. Governmental Grants for
Physical Plant(I)
9. Non-governmental gifts and grants, Physical Plant(1)
total annual increnents

| 1,000,000 | 425,000 |  |  | 575,000 |  |  |  |  | 2,000,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,000,000 | 750,000 | 25,000 | 450,000 | . ${ }^{\text {. }}$ | 750,000 |  | 575,000 |  | 3,550,000 |
| 2,527,000 | 1,881,000 | 1,770,000 | 2,120,000 | 1,660,000 | 3,050,000 | 2,230,000 | 3,665,000 | 2,570,000 | 21,473,000 |

*Excluding grants for physical facilities (1) Distribution by years is arbitrary.

COMMENTS ON TABLE II. To the extent that the forecasts of income shown here are unrealistic, the progress charted by Table I is likewise unrealistic.

Line 1. In our opinion, the increases stated are only about one-half of those which should occur. Yet, the 36 per cent total increase in 9 years is in line with trends established. If the estimates are liberalized, Line 5 amounts can be reduced accordingly.

Line 2. Increases estimated for overhead allowances on contracts.
Line 3. These figures are dictated by those on Lines $C$ and $D$ of Table I. Increasing Line 3 estimates would likeise increase expenditures. It is contemplated that governmental contracts and grants would carry their own running expenses; the figures on Line 3 do not affect the figures on Line E of Table I.

Line 6 is, in effect, a "remainder" line. The annual amounts here are large, relatively speaking. While various national sources can be counted upon for modest proportions of the total, it is certain that a major amount must come from local sources. Note that the figures given are annual cash. If these figures are deemed to be beyond realization, the plan for progress should be revised accordingly.

Lines 7,8 , and 9 distribute sources of finance for the building program. The 1961-62 amount on Line 9 is in hand and that on Line 8 is applied for. It is assumed that $\$ 200,000$ of the remainder on Line 9 is also in hand (the Skillern donation).

## II. LINES OF PROGRESS

1. Basic Conception

By 1970, Southwestern Medical School should be one of the very best medical schools which can be conceived. Its distinction should be earned by (1) a distinguished teaching program, (2) supporting research, and (3) service to the medical profession.

Its development should be in depth and quality. The undergraduate student body should remain at the present levels; modest increases should occur in graduate enrollments; service to the medical profession, while expanding somewhat in scope, should be selective in character.

This conception is important. In a dynamic community and state there are constant pressures and opportunities to expand a medical institution horizontally, to imitate everything anyone else is doing. Such expansion is expensive, in dollars and in its drain upon the energies of administration, faculty and staff. Frequently, it results in a perpetual sentence to mediocrity. On the other hand, an institution which concentrates its resources on a few well-chosen objectives can rise quickly to eminence earned by the quality of results.

Southwestern Medical School stands in unique position to do the latter. Numerically, the faculty has to increase only slightly. Standard operating expenditures need only modest additions. The basic elements of the teaching program are practically complete. Remarkably free from historical commitments to outmoded units and entangling alliances, Southwestern can move quickly to stay modern. It can, with proper financial support, engage in developments in depth. This is the plan presented.

## 2. Operational Objectives

A. Faculty Salary Improvement. Salary levels should be brought within those of the top 20 per cent of all medical schools in the United States. This is necessary to hold our faculty as well as to recruit new or replace. ment members. For detailed proposals and plans, see Section III.
B. Faculty Additions. To the 114 faculty positions budgeted in 1960-61, there should be added some 20 to 30 positions by 1970, closing some gaps in present teaching programs and staffing the inevitable increments in educational content demanded by medical advances. See Section III for details.
C. Research Development. Quantitatively, this looms as the area requiring greatest expansion. Actually, however, it represents normal projection of the developments already occurring as Southwestern comes of age. Two lines of endeavor are contemplated. First, the majority of the research increment will be a natural accompaniment of vital, modern teaching programs conducted by creative, inquisitive faculty members. It is unwise to proliferate research endeavors merely to be known as a research-producing institution. Second, it is necessary to develop a few selected centers of research and graduate teaching in unusual depth. This means concentration of unusual resources in personnel and money upon some opportunities to make significant contributions. The major portion of research development can be financed with Federal government contracts and grants, and support from private agencies and individuals is increasing steadily.
D. Ancillary Personnel Improvement. Medical education and medical research rest to unique degree upon ancillary personnel of high technical competence. Such people are rare and demand high salaries in the competitive market. We must meet such competition, and must add modestly to the number of people employed. Fortunately, research contracts and grants from outside agencies can absorb the major portion of additional costs.
E. Adequate Running Expenses. A perpetual obstacle to forward movement in an institution such as this is a gap between the existing level of operations and funds available to implement those operations. Funds for administrative services, instructional supplies, up-dating of laboratories, and physical plant operation and maintenance are typically two years to four years behind the program level otherwise possible. Of minor size in proportion to the total budget, modest increases in such funds are of major relative significance.
F. Library Improvement. For a teaching institution, Southwestern now has an acceptable library. It should develop a much better one, in fact, one of the best in the country. First, to service a teaching operation of
unusual quality. Second, to undergird significant research. Third, to serve the needs of Southwestern Medical Center and of the medical professions in its region.
G. Assistance to Students. This young school has an unusual stake in securing students, undergraduate and graduate, from the top talent ranges. While quality of program is the best way to attract such students, it is true also that high quality students help in producing programs of top quality. Financial aids are needed (1) to meet competitive recruitment offers and (2) to open up medical education for impecunious students with promising abilities.
H. Venture Capital. In the medical sciences, change is explosive. New territories of great promise open up almost overnight. An institution in position to move promptly and vigorously into one or more of these territories can be at the forefront of educational endeavor, putting itself in position to receive, almost automatically, large grants of funds and become the center for a history-making development. Southwestern's present pattern of financial support provides no ready funds -- venture capital, if you please -- to take advantage of such opportunities. Instead, funds must be raised, or secured by legislative appropriation, after the fact. Therefore, as one element of our Ten Year Plan, we propose the accumulation of a completely unrestricted Contingency Fund of $\$ 100,000$ to be used as venture capital. As used, it would be replenished. Its source would be private gifts or foundation underwriting. Continued financing of a reasonable volume of such new ventures is contemplated on Line $C$ of Table I; venture capital is to be used only to get them started.
I. Extra-mural Education. Educational services to the community and to the medical professions should be expanded steadily, with concentration upon offerings of high quality. As the educational institution in the Southwestern Medical Center, we have a particular obligation in this regard. Financial implications consist in providing managerial costs for conferences, short courses, and institutes; in erecting an auditorium teaching center and defraying operating costs for it.
J. Development of Graduate Programs. In a few carefully-selected areas, and in full cooperation with the Graduate School of The University, Southwestern should continue its upward development of graduate work. It is emphasized that no comprehensive set of graduate offerings is contemplated; in total,
some eight to ten specializations will be represented, chosen in terms of the capabilities of faculty and on-going programs of research. Support for this development is incorporated within preceding operational objectives, and hence it does not appear in Table $I$.
K. Additions to Physical Plant. Achievement of the foregoing objectives will necessitate additions to the present physical plant. The Ten Year Plan adopted on September 17, 1960, identified most of the foreseeable needs:

1. A research laboratories building and equipment, estimated to cost \$2,850,000.
2. A Radiation Laboratory at $\$ 250,000$.
3. A Library Unit at $\$ 600,000$.
4. An Animal Building at $\$ 450,000$.
5. An Auditorium-Continuation Center at $\$ 1,000,000$.
6. A Student Recreation Building at $\$ 250,000$.
7. A Central Heating and Chilling Station, cost included within items above.

To this list should be added the possibility of another $\$ 1,150,000$ unit (building and equipment) for additional research and teaching laboratories by the end of the decade. An exact rate of growth of research activity is difficult to predict in the dynamic era now being entered by the medical sciences.

Meeting of the Board

## DOCUMENTATION

## MEETING OF THE BOARD OF REGENTS

I. APPROVAL OF MINUTES: (1) MARCH 18, 1961 (2) APRIL 7, 1961. - The Secretary has not received any requests for changes or additions to the minutes of the meeting of the Board of Regents held in Austin on March 18, 1961.

A preliminary draft of the minutes of the special meeting held in Austin on April 7, 1961, was sent to each Regent on April 27. This item is being listed on the agenda of the Committee of the Whole.
II. REPORT OF COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE (Chairman Hardie). Actions taken by the Committee of the Whole will be reported by the Secretary in the minutes.
III. REPORTS OF THE STANDING COMMITTEES
A. REPORT OF EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE (Cice-Chaninan Dterth )

1. Organization, Procedures, and Mechanics
2. Interim Actions
B. REPORT OF ACADEMIC AND DEVELOPMENTAL AFFAIRS COMMITTEE (Tru. me neese)
3. Docket
4. Amendment No. 3, Part One, Rules and Regulations (final approval)
5. Amendment No. 4, Part One, Rules and Regulations
6. Institutional Supplements: Policy re Retirement of Deans and Departmental Chairmen
7. Two-Year Graduate Degree for Teachers in Junior Colleges
8. School of Architecture: Combined Curriculum and Establishment of Bachelor of Science Program, College of Arts and Sciences
9. County Aquarium, Port Aransas (Institute of Marine Science)
10. Housing: Request of Human Relations Committee, Students' Association
11. Waiver of Regents' Nepotism Rule
12. Small Class Reports, Spring Semester 1961
13. Additional Items or Changes, if any
C. REPORT OF BUILDINGS AND GROUNDS COMMITTEE. -

This report will be presented by Committee Chairman Thompson.

1. Original Recommendations of Administration
2. Supplementary Recommendations of Administration
3. Additional Items or Changes, if any
D. REPORT OF LAND AND INVESTMENT COMMITTEE.--This report will be presented by Committee Chairman Madden.
4. Original Recommendations of Administration
5. Supplementary Recommendations of Administration
6. Additional Items or Changes, if any
E. REPORT OF MEDICAL AFFAIRS COMMITTEE.--This report will be presented by Committee Chairman Bryan (if there is one).
F. REPORT OF BOARD FOR LEASE OF UNIVERSITY LANDS. -If there is a report, it will be presented by Vice-Chairman Heath or Mr. Madden.
IV. REPORT OF SPECIAL COMMITTEES, if any
V. SPECIAL ITEMS
A. BOARD OF TRUSTEES, SOUTHWEST TEXAS EDUCATIONAL TELEVISION COUNCIL: RESIGNATION OF DR. MERTON MINTER. --Doctor Merton M. Minter has sybmitted his resignation as a member of the Board of Trustees of the Southwest Texas Educational Television Council, effective immediately.
B. DELEGATION OF AUTHORITY TO SIGN FOR CHANCELLOR. -The following item is reported for ratification and as a matter for the record. Chancellor Ransom polled the members of the Board on the following item, under date of April 13:

This letter requests approval of the Board of Regents for delegation of authority to sign for the Chancellor during his occasional absences from the office, to be effective immediately until withdrawn.

> Vice-Chancellor Dolley is to act on all matters requiring approval of the Chancellor and which cannot be deferred until the Chancellor's return.
> In the absences of both the Chancellor and Vice-Chancellor Dolley, ViceChancellor Lanier Cox is to have the same authority to act for the Chancellor.

Seven Regents have indicated their approval of this delegation of authority to sign for the Chancellor.

Since in several instances we have taken ballots by mail, I am wondering if the Secretary should follow any definite procedure in reporting the results of these ballots.
V. SCHEDULED MEETINGS. --At this time, no further meetings have been scheduled for 1961. Below is space for your Secretary to indicate the commitments you have already made for 1961 in order that meetings may be scheduled at a time convenient for all concerned.

Commitments for 1961

Commencement Dates:
Main University: June 3
Texas Western College: June 3
Medical Branch: May 26
Dental Branch: May 29
Southwestern Medical School:

June 8
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
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ghivensity of texas austin tex:

- THE OFFICERS ANB MEMBERS OF THE SAN ANTONIO AFLECIO COUNCIL GERIOUSLY URGE YOU TO GONSIDER THE BOWNFOWN SITE FOR THE MEDICAL SCHOOL AND URGE THAT IT BE buILT in close proximity with fhe robert be green MOSPITAGZ

JACK a MARTIN í2 NORTH st sAN ANTONIO TEX.

TMI COMPAMT WILL APPRECIATR SUGGRETIONS PROM ITS PATRONE CONCERNINO BTE BREVICE

```
HENMT GEOMGE MENDEICKS
    130 BTANHEmD omivi
```

    -AM Antomio ia. texam
    April 8, 1961

Mr. Thornt on Hardie, Chalrman Board of Regents
The University of Texas Austin, Texas

Dear Mr. Hardie:
Regarding eite for Univereity of rexae medical schuol, two pointe stand out:

1. The matter under consideration is the location of a school and related teaching hoepital. It is not a job-maxing enterprise, slum clearance project, or economic adventiare;
2. The project is not an enterprise for indigent, impecunious, pauper, or other charity patients. The Green Hospital will realin available to guch unfortunate people. Agatn the new institution will be an institution for the teaching of medical science with the neceseary related adjuncts.
3. Downtown traffic congestion is already focbidding. Bottionecks frequentiy develop even along the oxpreseways into the city. Thte now institution need far more space in, around, and into its area than can poselbly be provided downtown.

H. G. Hen ricka

Frances Ke llam Hendricks

## At a regular meting held April 13, 1961 the following was passed unanlmously . . . .

The Board of Trustees of the Southwest Texas Methodist Hospital wish to commend the Regents of the University of Texas for their unanimous decision to locate the Medical School in Oak Nills Park in Bexar County. We wish to renew our pledge to co-operate with you in making this Medical Center second to none, and look forward to many years of harmonious cooperation with you, coward this end.

San Antonio 7, Texas

April 19, 1961

```
Judge Thornton Hardie, Chairman
Board of Regents
The University of Texas
Austin 12, Texas
```

Dear Sir:

At the last meeting of the Men's Club of Santa Rosa Medical Center the attached resolution mas unanimously passed, together with a motion that it be directed to the Urban Renewal Agency, members of the City Council of San Antonio and the Board of Regents in Austin.

Sincerely,
SNHEAROSA MEMLG CLUB

A. F. Dirksen, Jr. President

## AFD/be <br> Enclosure



WHIEREAS, the administration of the Santa Rosa Medical Center has indicated its willingness and desire to expand the facilities of the Center, particularly the General Hospital, the school of Nursing, and other educational and professional facilities, and

WHzaens, the Eoard of Managers of the Bexar County Hospital District already have undertaken an expansion of the Robert B. Green Memorial Hospital, and

WHEREAS, the Jackson-Todd Memorial Foundation has indicated its desire to build a cancer research factlity in the area of the Robert B. Green Memorlal Hospital, and

WHEREAS, the several hospitale and research facilities exdstent in the central city area, the Robert B. Green Memorial Hospital and the Children's Hospital, the Santa Rosa General Hospital, the Otto Koehler Cancer Research and Treatment Center, and the Baptist Memorial Hoapital, do constitute a growing medical center, and

WHERPA:, Urben Renewel Froject II affords what will probably be the only opportunity for acquisition of large area of land at one time in a central city location in the next several generations, and

WHEREAS, all of the citisens of San Antonio will benefit from the continued development of existing and future medical facilities in the central city area and the heart of greater gan Antonio will be thus sumulated,

NOW, THEREPORE, be it resolved that the Urben Renewal Agency of the City of San Antonio be anked to designate all of that area of Project II lying north of Houston Street as an area for future Medical Center development.

## SANTA ROSA MEn's CLUB



| HOSPITALS | COMMUNITY |
| :--- | :--- |
| SCHCOLS | G REGIONAL |
| HOUSING | PLANNING |

PLANIING
TEM

YOUR
CONSIDERATION

EXPERI ENCE

ADVICE, COUNSEL $G$ ANALYSIS OF COMNUNITY PROBLEMS G PROGRAMS

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { TECHNI QUES } \end{aligned}$ | although mobt ef my plannine picaecte mat lid latim metica tmey all represent the latest li.S.medi ial eouchtion ano mannine techniquee is |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | AR INTEGAAL PART OF U. S. PECMNICAB ABEIETANCE AGTIVITIES TOSTERED EY sUCh intermationm eoucation aroups as the kellooc, hocxefeliea, ford -cunjationg, epc., as mell as the U.S. Tfemical Assistaner Phorrmat. |
| Pl ANNING |  |
| RESEGRCH | of veluped a paftern of medical school mannine phat places madon emphasis |
|  | ON THE PWYSICAL RELATION8HIPE getween melateo oepartmente, sehvices, |
|  |  |
|  | mo that can produce consiofrable economies in oesiong eircuintion ano |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  | of Mroicine, wespern Resenve, epc. I, 1 m coonizant of the opfferences |
|  | BETHEFN TME TwO basic theorite of megical elucation mo mecical sehool |
|  |  |
| ESST AFRICA | WY MOST RECENT MEDICAL SEMOOL MCTIVITY HAB EEEN AS A NEMEEA OF a special |
| PRCJEC: |  |
|  | The request of the Ghama govemmment. |
| -•* | For ycur information the attacnco mapeqial cites a munaeh of pertinemt |
|  | Pagsecte, meincspally medieat scmocls, That I mave baptieipated in as |
|  | Planning Consultmit. No etearate mospital medeets have been jncluded |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  | I am Confident that, after neviewina the materimi patsemteo, the Bonro |
|  | HILL RECCONILE THE ADVANTAGES OF THES Election of a loeal pualifice |
|  | Plamifing Cuneultant specializeo and experfenceo in medical sehool man- |
|  | Ning ano its varioue aspects ano pmanes. |
|  | 1 appreciate youk tine ano coveioerpation and moulo like the opportunity |
|  | TO UEET UITH YEU, YOUR MEDICAL AFFAIRS COMAITTES OR SPECJAL COMmission |
|  | tc rurther discues and elagorate on poesible pmabes of participation in |
|  | the project as a Plannine Consurtant. |
|  |  |
|  | Qfspectaully parsenteo, |

## Rendort L. King <br> Rnefrt L. King

[^8]encl.

## PERTINENT PLANVING \& CONSULTING PROJECTS \& PROGRAUS Robeft L. King hespital \& Plannina Consultant

PROJECT: ROLE: R.KING REF: RORESS:

MEDICAL SCHOOL \& TEACHING HOSDITAL ACCRA, OHMYA-1960 * PLANNBNG COWBULTANT.


A conpletely new facility, part of a necommejubed concentratioin of National hicalth Services - Aualtris of Health Problene \& Services in Ghana. - Comparison or educational

Syaten \& Meoical School Personnel.

- Sasic pecomiminditiona.
- facultr requivements \& bueget Estimateg.
- Prooram requ.aements, site,

Plantina \& Degion Criteria.

- Plameina \& Construction

Schedules \& Cost Estimates.
Time factora of Estaelishine Ghana Meoisal school.
-

- PLANNANG CONSULTANT.
- Program \& Sití Requinements. Desion \& Paeliminary Plans.
-Dr. Wm. A. Sootman, WoD. - Dean a Prof. Meetcine Memaer, Special med:cal The jeffenson meofical Education team to ghana. colleqe \& medical center, Philadelforia 7, Pa.
-Dr. Paul B. Cornery, MoD. -head, Demt. Puelic health Member,special Medical \& Paeventjve Medicine, equcatisn team to bhana. college of Meoicine,
howaro Univirsity, wash., D. C.
-Dr. E. harolo himmay, M.D."I Mternational Cooperation Chief, tecmical Resources, homivigtration, تish. 25,D.C. office of Public Health,
I CA/WASHINGTON. $\qquad$

Chief,latin Amer., AFRica ADmivistaation, Mash. 25, D.C \& Europe Divo. Jffice Ph.
- Dre juan Orfila, Ho 0 . - Facultad de Ciencias Medicas dean, School of Medicine, universidad nacional de curo Nat'i Univ. of Curo. "endoza, areentina.
- Dr. Jlan Itoiz, M.O. Facultad de Ciencias meoicas Prof. BIochemistay Natil Univ. of Curo.

Univeresioco Naciomal of cuyo Memboza, Apgentina.

MEDICAL SCHOOL \& TEACHING HOSPITAL ABUWCION, PARAGUAY-1958
promoseo new fagolities for the school of mediejne ay the National University of Abuncion

- Plannine Coneultint.
- General Program Requinements,

Site \& Desion Criteria \&
Gaet Analysig.

- DR. ENDRE K. BRUNER, M.D. -HEALTH DIV, USOM/PARAGUAY Hosmetal hoministaaton c/o mefican Embassy,
$\qquad$
- Dr. EDEARO Mo BRIDAE, H. O. SCMOOL OF HEDICONE
-DR. EDWARD Mo BRIDE, M. D. .SCHOOL OF EEDICINE. Meoical Eoucatgon aovisor. Univereity of burfaco Q FFALO, NE YORK.


|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

MEDICAL SCHOOL \& TEACHING HOSPITAL
EIZABETHVILLE BRLQIM CONOO- 1958
PROMOBEO NEW MEDICAL ICMOOL \& TEACHING HOSPITAL
-Consultant(8inaleg consultat jew) - dean maquet, RRGhitect

- Presentation of kebarch information.
- Colowial govermment,

Elizabethuilleg Beleian
Congo.
MEDICAL SGHOOL \& TEACHING HOSPITM, CMLAO, PERU-1957

- Planname Coneultant. *
- Rectorado, catholic univ. PROMOSED NEW MEDICAL BCHOOL \& TEACHINE HOGPITAL meoical Education Rebeanch. Catmolec university, Loma, Peru
- Program \& Site requirements. - overall manneme, Desion a preliminary Plana.
- 

aecton, catholic univerbity lima, pern Dr. Jaime Romeho. M.D. MEDICAL ADVISOR, CATHOLIC UnIV. MEDICAL COMMISSION Medicm eoucationg - ABsoc. DEAN \& Fror. ANatomy ( of isCONSIN, MAOISON, MIS. Dr. Eendamin J. Honmina, M.D. * Medical Eoucation Aovisor MEDICAL EDUCATION ADVISOR, USOM/THAILAND, C/O AMER.EMB. Formerty, Kelleeg foundation. Bankok, Thailand.

EEDICAL SCHOOL \& TEACHING HOSPITAL
AREQUIPA, PERU-1957 FOR MEE ECHOOL OF wSIICINE FOR SAN RUQUST IM
Univeraity, Arequipa, Peru

Planning Consultant.
Proeram \& Site requirements.

| - Dr. Joree Chirizoen, M.D. DEAN, SCHOOL or MEDICINE, University of San mueustin | - Facultao de evicima UnIVERsiono oE SAN RUQUatin arequipa, Peru |
| :---: | :---: |
| -DR. OtTO MORTENEEN, M.D. neoical Education hoviser. | - Aesoc. Dean \& Prof. Anatemy SCMCOL of MEDICINE, UNIV. | Univengity of San mueustin arequipa, Pery Dh. Ofto monteneen, M.D. -AESOC. Dean \& Prof. Anatemy F Wie of Heolcine, UNI

-Da. Isialas mendoza del Solar. RECTOR, UNIV. OF SAN AUQUSTEM, Arequipa, peru.

MEDICAL SCHOOL, SAN MARCOS UNIVeeLIMA, PERU-1954-'56 CONBULTANT (PEREODIC) NEW AND REMODELLE FACBLTIEE FOR CADOICLOY DEPT.
(PARTicipation of Kelloce foundation) and depte.
of Prarmaceloay and Pursioloay

Da. heserto huataoo, m. D. *Facultad de meticina. Dean, School of Meoicine. universiono de San marcos,
-DR. VICTOR MZAMORA, M.O.
PROF, CARDIOLOAY, SCHOOL of Meoicine.

Liniversiona
Limag peru

- Facultad de medicina, univereiono oe san marcos Lima, Peru


## NATIONAL HEALTH PLAN OF PERUW 1958 Papopsed construetion prosects (hospitnes ane

 medical facilities)hospital plannine consultant \& or. jorge manoz Pualigevich*ministerio de salud publica Principal movisor in the preparation- Director general of Lima, peru.
of the "Plan".

## HEALTH.

-Dr. Frederick J. Vintamer odefuty diaecter, Latim Amer.

Fofider Dinector, intermer- araica \& Europe Div., Office ican Cooperative Health
of Puelic health.ica/wash service, perv.

## CHEMISTRY SCHOOL, SAN MARCOS UNIV, $L I M_{2}$ PERUW 1953

 NEw FACILITEES FOR SCHOJL OF ChEMI ATRY, SAN MARCOS university, Lima, Peru| -Dr. ANTUNEZ de Mayolo Dean, School of Chemistry | - Facultad de quimica Univeraiono de San Marcos lima, PERU. |
| :---: | :---: |

- Or. 「aEoergox Dagoett limal Pisu.


## VETERINARY MEDICINE SCHOOL, UNIV. OF SAN MARCOS,

 LBAA, PERU-1050-157CUAPLETE NEW FACMLITIES, LABORATORIES AND ANIMAL quarters, for school of Veterinary Hedieine, san Mancos, inivepesty, Lgma, penu.
(PARTICIPATION of RockEFELLER \& KELLOOE EOUNDATIONs)

- Plannine consultant.
- Pnograll a Sate Requinenents.
- Desian \& Pheliminary Plane.
- Equimient Consultmit.

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF PUBLIC HEALTH, LIMA, PERU-1 956
proporeo new facilities for mulic health
lagoratories wo institite, Lima, Peru

- Plannitine Congultant. - Program a site Requirenents. - Desian a Preciuinary Plang.
-Dr. Mfreo Lazarus special Consultant, puelic health labs.
- Deputy director, health div USOM/KORER,C/O AMER. EMs. SEOUL, KOREA

NATIONA INSTITUTE OF OCCUPATIONAL HER.TH, LIMA2 PERU-1955
NEI OIAONOSTIC, LABCRATGRY \& RESEAPGH FACRLITITE

- Plannima consultant.
- Prooram. Site \& EquiPMENT Requifemints.
-Desion, plane, Constructicn \& EqUI PMENT.
-OR. Ramon Vallewas, Mon. Difecton, inetitute o. H.
- ingtituto nac. de Saluo occ Or. FaEderick $J$. Vinithe aleapola, liva, penc - DEPUTY CHIEF,LAFE DiN., OPH, ica/washingticn, D.C. health Service,Peru.

SCHOOL OF MURSIAG BOGOTARCOLOMBIA-1945
new nurs ine school facglitieg, university of Colowbia, EOGOTA, Coloneia

- Ploninipia Consultant.
- Proctam \& $S_{i t}$ te Requifements. -Degien, Plane, Congtruction \& Equipment.


## - ElizaEth EMNANT, Nurgina

## Cocaticn hovisor.

- "el in howitt, Nurbena

Eoucation Aovieor.

Dr. Hercy Ransom
Chancellor
University of Temes
Austing texas

Deat Dr. Ransot:

It is my pleasure fo uerd to you herewith for your infonntlon copien of resolutions adopted the 11 th day of shic month, by the bord of Ditectors of the Sin Antonio Chenber of Commerce und by the earar County medical society in regular moniny metting.

It If our bhoughi thes you would like to have there resolution in your recorde.


JHR: 11
Enclosure
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 entire ares of senthmet Teras.

Adopted this 118t day of Apzil. A.D. 104, by the berd of Dirocgers of the sac Antomb Chmber of Comearce.
M. Billian

Helvin stat
Vice President
Anecutive Vice Prealdant
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anoted in lith eng of april. 190.

# GAN ANTONID DISTRICT DENTAL SOCIETY 

SAN ANTONIO. TEXAS

OFFICERS FOR 1960.61
April 28, 1981
235 East Hildebrand
San antonio, 'lexas

DIRECTORS
james e. baverle
STONEWALL VAN WIE. JR
TERRY DOWNS
REEVES L. SMITH

EXECUTIVE SECRETARY
ROBERTC RASCOE
403 Cherry mioge dr
PHONE D:2.7687

M1ss Betty Ann Thedford, Exeoutive Secretary
Beard of Regents
University of Texa:
Bex 8008
Univarsity Station
Austin 18, Teras

Dear Mise Thedrord:
The following resolution was approved unanimousiy at the April il, 1961 meeting of San Antonio District Dental Society:

WHERFAS the Beard of Rogents, University of Texas has determined that the South Texas Kedioal Sohool is to be built in the Dak Hill Medical Center.

WizRFAS the Hinsey Comaltee recommended that long range planning be made for school of Dentistry within the medical complex, and whereas the population growth of this state would indicate the need of an additional dental sohool in the foreseeable future. Now, therefore, be it resolved by the San intonio Distriot Dental Society that the Board of Regents be oommended for this foresight in this decision and further that they be arged to definitely plan for a dentel sohool by designating a definite site within the medical school complex.
oE IT FURTAEF RESOLVED that a oopy of this resolution be sent to the Board of Regents, University of Texas, and that a similar resolution be introduced in the House of Delegates of the Texas Dental Association.

```
Very truly yours,
```



```
John W. Helton, D.D.S.
Seoretary-Treasurer
```


[^0]:    * See legal size volume, Attachment No. 1.

[^1]:    NOTE: The time may vary in all instances, but the items will be considered in this order. If the Committee of the Whole does not finish its business on Friday, it will convene at 9:00 a. m. on Saturday and will be followed by the Meeting of the Board.

[^2]:    * See Attachment No. 1 (separate legal size bound volume entitled Attachments Nos. 1 and 2) for detailed agenda and documentation.

[^3]:    $2_{\text {See }}$ Committee's letter dated $10 / 5 / 60$.

[^4]:    3 nTHE ARCHITECT AT MID CENTURY - R-14".

[^5]:    Note: Bracket "(" has been used to indicate courses which meet together.

[^6]:    * Joint recommendation of the Comptroller and the Consulting Architect is on Page 66 and 65 , respectively.

[^7]:    * No documentation
    ** Joint recommendation of the Comptroller and the Consulting Architect is on Page 67
    *** Bids not due to be opened until May 2, 1961.

[^8]:    mustiv, TEXAS
    13 ApRIL 156

