LEGISLATIVE APPROPRIATIONS REQUEST FISCAL YEARS 2016 AND 2017




Submitted to the Governor's Office of Budget, Planning and Policy and the Legislative Budget Board

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS SYSTEM ADMINISTRATION

August 2014

LEGISLATIVE APPROPRIATIONS REQUEST FISCAL YEARS 2016 AND 2017



Submitted to the Governor's Office of Budget, Planning and Policy and the Legislative Budget Board

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS SYSTEM ADMINISTRATION

August 2014

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS SYSTEM ADMINISTRATION TABLE OF CONTENTS

Administrator's Statement	1
Organizational Chart	. 8
2.A. Summary of Base Request by Strategy	9
2.B. Summary of Base Request by Method of Finance	12
2.C. Summary of Base Request Objective of Expense	15
2.E. Summary of Exceptional Item Request	16
2.F. Summary of Total Request by Strategy	17
3.A. Strategy Request	19
3.B. Rider Revisions and Additions Request	28
6.A. Historically Underutilized Business Supporting Schedule	36
6.H. Estimated Total of All Funds Outside the GAA	38
6.I. 10 Percent Biennial Base Reduction Options Schedule	39
6.J. Budgetary Impacts Related to Federal Health Care Reform Schedules	41
Supporting Schedules	
Schedule 2 - Selected Educational, General and Other Funds	58
Schedule 3B - Staff Group Insurance Data Elements	59

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS SYSTEM ADMINISTRATION TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

Schedule	6	- Capital Funding	62
Schedule	7	- Personnel	63
Schedule	8C	Revenue Capacity for TRB Projects	65
Schedule	8D	Tuition Revenue Bonds Request by Project	66
Schedule	9	- Special Item Information	80

Schedules Not Included

gency Code:	Agency Name:	Prepared By:	Date:	Request Level:					
20	The University of Texas System Admin	Randy Wallace	August 2014	Baseline					
	identified below, the U. T. System Admin e schedules have been excluded from the								
Numb									
2	D Summary of Base Request Objective	Outcomes							
2	.E Summary of Exceptional Items Reque	ummary of Exceptional Items Request							
2	G Summary of Total Request Objective	Outcomes							
3	C Rider Appropriations and Unexpende	ed Balances Request							
4	A Exceptional Item Request Schedule								
4	.B Exceptional Item Strategy Allocation	Schedule							
4	.C Exceptional Item Strategy Request								
6	.B Current Biennium One-Time Expendi	ture Schedule							
6	.F Advisory Committee Supporting Sch	edule							
6	.G Homeland Security Funding Schedul	e	Records the second s						
6	.K Budgetary Impacts Related to the Fee	deral Budget Control Act – Seque	estration						
	8 Summary of Requests for Capital Pro	oject Financing							
Schedule '	A Other Educational and General Incon	ne							
Schedule '	B Health Related Institutions Patient In	come							
Schedule 3A/3	3D Staff Group Insurance Data Elements	6							
Schedule	4 Computation of OASI								
Schedule	5 Calculation of Retirement Proportion	ality and ORP Differential							
Schedule 8A-8	dule 8A-8B Tuition Revenue Bond Project Schedules								

720 The University of Texas System Administration

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS SYSTEM ADMINISTRATION ADMINISTRATOR'S STATEMENT

In accordance with the instructions, The University of Texas System Administration submits the following Legislative Appropriations Request (LAR) for fiscal years 2016 and 2017 to the Governor's Office of Budget, Policy and Planning and the Legislative Budget Board.

SYSTEM BACKGROUND

The University of Texas System (UT System) is governed by a nine person board of regents appointed by the Governor and confirmed by the Senate (Board of Regents). Regents serve staggered six year terms, with the terms of three members expiring on February 1 of each odd-numbered year. In addition, the Governor appoints a non-voting student regent for a one-year term that expires on May 31. The members of the Board of Regents, hometowns and ending dates of terms are found in the organization chart that is part of this LAR.

The chancellor of the UT System is Francisco G. Cigarroa, M.D.

Within the UT System, the Board of Regents has the critical responsibility of providing strategic and policy direction, oversight and governance, while the UT System Administration manages the System consistent with the Regents' directions reflected in policies and rules.

Description of UT System and Operations

Founded in 1883, the UT System is composed at this date of nine general academic institutions and six health-related institutions. It is anticipated that within the next biennium, the University of Texas Rio Grande Valley (UTRGV) will open its doors, at which time the University of Texas Pan American (UT Pan Am) and the University of Texas at Brownsville (UT Brownsville) will cease to exist. In addition, during the next biennium the University of Texas at Austin (UT Austin) will admit its initial class for the Dell Medical School and UTRGV will admit its initial class to the medical school that is part of that institution, subject to approval by the Liaison Committee on Medical Education (LCME).

The UT System is one of the state's largest employers, with approximately 90,000 employees, of which 20,000 are faculty (fall 2013), and an annual payroll of more than \$6.7 billion. Total enrollment at UT System institutions for fall 2013 was almost 213,000 students, an increase of 9.1% since 2008.

In 2012-2013, UT System institutions awarded more than 51,000 degrees and certificates, 56.8% more than awarded ten years earlier (2002-2003). This significant increase reflects the commitment UT System institutions have made to supporting student retention and improving degree completion. This production represents over one-third of the undergraduate degrees awarded by all public universities and almost two-thirds of the health care degrees and certificates awarded by public health-related institutions in Texas. UT System academic institutions have long been focused on degrees in the STEM fields (science, technology, engineering and mathematics) critical to the growth and strength of the state's economy, but also produce thousands of graduates in the arts and humanities and vital fields such as education. In 2012, the 9,738 STEM degrees awarded by UT System general academic institutions represented 22.5% of all degrees awarded by UT System academic institutions, compared to 19.7% of the degrees awarded by public universities nationally and 18.3% of the degrees awarded by other Texas public general academic institutions.

UT System graduates readily find employment in Texas and contribute to the state's economy as wage-earners and taxpayers. In the five years from 2007 to 2011, UT System institutions awarded more than 210,000 undergraduate and graduate degrees. More than 75% of those graduates were found working in Texas one year after

Administrator's Statement 84th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

720 The University of Texas System Administration

graduation. Moreover, the combined cumulative earnings of those graduates from 2008 to 2012 were more than \$20.5 billion. The state is retaining its educated workforce and reaping the returns on its investment in higher education.

Nearly 80% of baccalaureate degree earners during this same period were found working in Texas one year after their graduation. The median first-year earnings for all baccalaureates were more than \$43,000. Salaries vary based on major and region; for example, baccalaureates in engineering had a first-year median salary approaching \$63,000. Non-STEM majors in areas such as child development and construction management had median first-year earnings at \$46,000 and \$55,000 respectively. To put this in perspective, the median nonfamily income in Texas during this five-year period was nearly \$32,000. The median household income in Texas was more than \$51,000.

UT System institutions are charged with the responsibility to steward wisely and efficiently the resources entrusted to them by the federal and state governments and by families and students. UT System academic institutions are recognized as among the nation's best values in higher education. UT Austin is cited by Kiplinger in the top 25 best values among public institutions, and the University of Texas at El Paso (UT El Paso) is listed by the U.S. Department of Education as the 33rd lowest-priced school based on the net cost of attendance. The impact of UT System institutions on society and the upward mobility of its graduates have also been recognized, for example by Washington Monthly, which ranked UT El Paso the top university in the United States for the social mobility of its graduates and its commitment to low-income students and 7th nationally for the contribution it makes to its region's economy and civic advancement.

Much of the ability to keep costs under control is attributable to the decisions of the UT System Board of Regents and its strategic use of revenues from the Available University Fund (AUF) to provide cost-efficient centralized services at the System level, deferring these expenses from the institutions and allowing tuition to remain relatively flat. For the academic years 2010 through 2014, tuition increases across UT institutions for Texas undergraduates have averaged only 2.6% per year, and at UT Austin there were no tuition increases in academic years 2012, 2013 and 2014.

The UT System operating budget for FY 2014 is \$ 14.982 billion, funded by:

- \$ 2.057 billion (13.7%) in state general revenue
- \$ 3.014 billion (20.1%) in federal/state/private sponsored programs, including research funding
- \$ 5.974 billion (39.9%) in hospital, clinic, and professional fees
- \$1.516 billion (10.1%) in tuition and fees
- \$ 1.031 billion (6.9%) in investment income
- \$ 506 million (3.4%) from auxiliary enterprises
- \$ 534 million (3.6%) in gifts and other receipts
- \$ 350 million (2.3%) from other educational activities

In the exercise of its constitutional responsibility for management, investment, and use of the Permanent University Fund (PUF), the Board of Regents has a unique perspective on assessing the needs of and providing balanced allocation of resources to the many and diverse regions of the state served by UT System institutions. Distributions from the PUF to the AUF are used by the Board to support many centralized services and benefits, and bonds backed by the PUF provide significant resources for capital expenditures across the System, reducing the reliance on other state revenues for that purpose. But the financing of higher education in Texas remains a shared responsibility, with state appropriations from the Legislature for capital projects and operations, the tuition paid by students and parents. System funding from the AUF and other sources, and aggressive cost containment strategies by UT institutions all essential elements in the effort.

The constitution expressly authorizes the Board of Regents to use the AUF "for support and maintenance of System administration." A primary role of UT System

Administrator's Statement

84th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

720 The University of Texas System Administration

Administration is to undertake certain central responsibilities that result in greater efficiency or higher quality than could be achieved by individual institutions, and the complexity and diversity of the System institutions and their missions result in both administrative needs and opportunities not found in other systems. An example of this type of initiative is the System's use of the AUF to create the Institute for Transformational Learning (ITL). The ITL, in collaboration with the Shared Service Alliance for Distance Education, is leveraging the scale of the UT System to negotiate master service agreements that will significantly reduce campus costs for technologies and services to support online and distance education. Twelve such agreements have been executed to date for learning, strategic enrollment management, design and development, analytics, and content services and technologies. System-wide contracts with Instructure and Blackboard should achieve millions of dollars of annual savings. The ITL is also investing in significant improvements in the UT System's central clearinghouse for online courses, which allows students from across the System to easily enroll and transfer credit for courses taken online from participating institutions. The UT Online Consortium currently serves thousands of enrollments per term. ITL investments will greatly expand the number of students using the consortium, improve the operation of the marketplace, and provide state-of-the-art strategic enrollment management technologies and services.

Other examples of this role of the UT System are the proposed shift of audit personnel from institutions' payrolls to become System employees, where they can be paid utilizing AUF receipts, and the centralization of library services and contracts, both of which will be considered by the Board of Regents at its August 2014 meeting. Both of these moves were initiated by the Board in an effort to minimize possible tuition increases for the 2014-2015 academic year. The UT System also provides other shared services (such as a supply chain alliance, regional data services, and shared information and finance systems), risk management programs (including workers' compensation, property, and medical liability insurance), group health insurance, and centralized legal services in support of System institutions. And, despite the breadth of all these services provided by System Administration, the System's administrative expense rate is very low. UT System general administration expenditures for fiscal year 2014 as a percent of the total System operating budget total only 0.373% and have consistently been below half of 1% for more than a decade.

UT System Priorities

The following priorities emerged from discussions among the institutions within the UT System and are ranked in order of criticality in advancing the growth and innovation of the Texas economy and addressing the education and workforce development needs of Texas.

State Support for Capital Construction. The most critical need and highest priority of the UT System is support by the 84th Texas Legislature for capital construction on System campuses. It is almost a decade since the legislature last provided state funding for classrooms, laboratories and research facilities in 2006. Since then, the student population of the System has grown by over 27,000, and enrollment in the science, technology and engineering classes that require laboratory space has increased by 46%. Many of the academic and research buildings on our campuses were constructed more than 30 years ago and are in need of significant upgrading and rehabilitation.

New classrooms and laboratories to provide the technologically sophisticated education demanded by employers are critical to the continued growth and expansion of the Texas economy, particularly in industries and fields that will keep the state on the cutting-edge of innovation and development of new knowledge. This is particularly true in engineering, where many of the requests of System institutions for state support are focused. New research facilities are needed as well to enable faculty members and graduate and undergraduate students to discover the breakthroughs that produce new knowledge and that form an essential component of 21st century education. And new facilities for the care of patients are needed to ensure that the best quality and most cutting-edge health care are being provided to all Texans. This state support has traditionally been provided through tuition revenue bonds (TRBs) but the source of funds and methodology for its award are less important than a significant state contribution to meet these critical needs. The UT System Board of Regents has reviewed and approved the projects that will be included by System institutions in their LARs.

Administrator's Statement 84th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

720 The University of Texas System Administration

The UT and Texas A&M systems are fortunate to have the PUF available to support some capital construction on their campuses. The PUF is a remarkably visionary policy of the authors of the Texas Constitution in 1876, as it has allowed the development of two of the nation's top public research universities in Austin and College Station. But the founders did not intend for Austin and College Station, nor the other UT and A&M institutions that are eligible for support from the PUF, to rely solely or even predominantly on it. The Texas Legislature has long offered UT and A&M a level of general revenue support comparable to that provided to the state's other systems and independent institutions, even as they have created special funding mechanisms such as the Higher Education Assistance Fund to aid these other institutions.

UT and Texas A&M are currently benefitting from the revitalization of oil and gas production on university lands in West Texas that has come in part from research conducted at System institutions. But the revenues from enhanced oil and gas production do not flow directly into the Available University Fund (AUF) or constitute spendable cash flow. Rather, the Texas Constitution requires that these revenues go into the corpus of the PUF, increasing its overall value, but only marginally increasing the revenue that flows through the AUF, as the Constitution also caps the payout from the PUF at a maximum of 7% of PUF value. This payout has averaged 5% over the last ten years.

Additionally, the Constitution limits the amount of PUF bonds that may be issued by the UT and Texas A&M systems. UT and A&M are each approaching their respective constitutional limit based on both PUF bonds currently outstanding and authorized but unissued PUF bonds that have been previously allocated.

State Funding of the Increasing Cost of the Hazlewood Tuition Benefit. A growing cost to higher education is the legislatively created tuition exemption provided to deserving Texas veterans and their families under the Hazlewood Act. The UT System wishes to honor the sacrificial service of veterans and their loved ones and is proud that three System institutions have been recognized as "veteran friendly" by the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs. But the Hazlewood benefit comes with a tremendous and growing cost. In FY 2013, the waived tuition totaled \$38.8 million in foregone income for UT institutions, and projections developed for the Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board show the cost rising by 16% each year through FY 2019. The UT System appreciates the contribution of the 83rd Texas Legislature to cover this cost , along with the establishment and initial funding of an endowment to help alleviate future costs, but the \$30 million in General Revenue funding and the anticipated payout from the endowment would cover only 20.5% of the costs to all Texas institutions for fiscal year 2013.

Research-based Funding. Research-based funding for the state's flagship and emerging research institutions, as well as for the state's academic medical centers that conduct even more federal and private research, is a critical investment by the Texas Legislature in the future economic well-being of our state. The Texas Competitive Knowledge Fund (TCKF) was created in 2007 for the purpose of supporting the two flagships of the UT and Texas A&M Systems, as well as the state's emerging research institutions as they continue to progress toward flagship status. The UT System is appreciative of the funding in the 83rd Session that almost returned the TCKF Fund to its original \$1 million for every \$10 million in research expenditure ratio. The UT System asks that the original ratio be fully restored in the 84th Session.

The Texas Research Incentive Program (TRIP), with its state match for private philanthropy, is also of great value to the state's emerging research institutions, so much so that, as of July 1, 2014, there was an unfunded backlog of gifts eligible for a state match of nearly \$100 million. The UT System asks that the Legislature provide General Revenue to clear this backlog and an additional infusion of funds to continue this very effective incentive to the private sector to support research with its philanthropic dollars.

The funding that has come to UT System institutions through the Texas Emerging Technology Fund (ETF) has helped attract new researchers to the state and forge productive relationships between institutions and Texas businesses. If the ETF does not continue to receive funding, the UT System would hope to work with the Legislature to devise new mechanisms by which all institutions of higher education can receive state support for federal and privately funded research.

For the state's health-related institutions, the Research Formula, though a relatively small amount, represents the Legislature's most direct support for their research

Administrator's Statement 84th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

720 The University of Texas System Administration

activities. To the extent additional funds are considered in the 84th Session for any of the health institution formulas, the UT System asks that a larger proportionate allocation of new funds be committed for the Research Formula. UT System institutions also have benefitted from the funding provided by the Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas (CPRIT). Continuation in the 2016-2017biennium of the annual funding anticipated by the voters of Texas when they passed the constitutional amendment establishing CPRIT in 2007 is also important. These funds have enabled institutions to build research capacity and recruit world class researchers from around the world. The investments of CPRIT in commercialization will also aid System institutions to bring discoveries to the bedside and marketplace, and the prevention efforts funded by CPRIT help reduce the incidence and accompanying cost and suffering caused by cancer.

Adequate Formula and Related Funding. Formula funding provides the foundation for the academic and health institutions' operations. The UT System endorses the initial recommendations of the advisory committees to the Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board (THECB) that would continue to move state formula funding toward the rates in effect in the 2010-2011 biennium for the academic institutions and the 2000-2001 biennium for the health institutions. The current Instruction and Operations Formula rates reflect a decline in state funding of 12% since the 2010-2011 biennium for the academic institutions based on a weighted semester credit hour basis, and the health institutions have suffered a 16% reduction on a per student basis since the 2000-2001 biennium. Similar depreciation has been seen in the Infrastructure and Research formula rates.

The THECB will also bring forward a proposal for outcomes based funding for the state's general academic institutions, using new General Revenue and with it being distributed outside the Instruction and Operations Formula. The proposal reflects the product of long deliberations by an advisory committee in which UT System institutional representatives participated and has the support of the UT System.

The 83rd Legislature took bold steps to ensure that all graduates of Texas medical schools have a graduate medical education (GME) residency position available in the state for their continued training. Continued funding for current and new residency programs through the GME Formula and trusteed programs at the THECB is essential for Texas to keep the recipients of the state's investment in medical education here in Texas.

Texas has relied on the success of the Professional Nursing Shortage Reduction Program administered by the THECB to help build the state's nursing workforce and can use this same framework as a template to address the state's growing needs for additional health care professionals by funding student and faculty opportunities throughout the health professions.

Producing More Engineers. Another area of need for the continued growth of the Texas economy is in engineering. A task force appointed by the UT System Board of Regents identified data from the Texas Workforce Commission that indicates the state needs an additional 9,000 engineers and computer scientists a year for the next decade. Past gaps in this workforce have been filled by importing engineers from other states and nations, the latter through H-1B visas, but a home-grown workforce of graduates, who tend to stay in Texas if educated here, is preferable.

The UT System is committed to expanding classes at all of its institutions with engineering programs, with the goal of 2,000 more bachelor's graduates and 3,500 more overall graduates annually by 2020. To accomplish this, total enrollment in all UT System engineering and computer science programs will need to increase from 25,000 to 40,000, which will require over 400 new tenured and tenure-track faculty and more than two million square feet of new education and research space. Many of the capital projects for which UT System institutions are seeking state support will contain classrooms, laboratories and other facilities to help produce these graduates. New faculty will in time educate more students who are supported by state formula funding, but adding these faculty in advance of students requires "start-up" funding. Classroom education is enhanced by real world work experiences, a motivation for the System to consider establishing an institute in Houston, home to so many energy-related and biomedical and bioengineering jobs, which can coordinate internships, co-op programs and summer employment opportunities for soon-to-be graduates. The Board of Regents will consider details of this initiative at its August 2014 meeting.

Administrator's Statement

84th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

720 The University of Texas System Administration

This need for more engineers has also been identified by the Texas A&M System, with its own "25 by 25" initiative to produce additional graduates. In order to help fill this critical need, the UT System will bring forward a request for state assistance in providing the faculty and facilities needed to produce these graduates. The details of this request are still being developed but will be known by the time hearings are conducted on the LARs this fall.

Improving the Health of Texas Children. Texas ranks 36th among the 50 states in America's Health Rankings®, lagging well behind California, Arizona, and Florida. To achieve the full human potential for Texas' economy and business climate, UT System will actively collaborate with local communities to implement evidence-based and proven children's health programs developed by UT physicians and public health faculty. Areas of focus will include East Texas and South Texas, the two regions with the greatest challenges in health outcomes and health factors. To improve the health of Texas children and enhance their opportunities for success and economic contributions later in life, UT System will bring forward a request for state assistance to support faculty to engage with, and provide expertise to, community efforts to improve children's health and health literacy. The details of this request are still being developed but will be known by the time hearings are conducted on the LARs this fall.

Supporting Student Success with Financial Aid. Student financial aid is always a priority for the UT System, as the dollars invested by the state in TEXAS Grants, B-on-time loans, and work study programs pay tremendous short and long-term dividends in allowing young Texans the opportunity to attend college and reap the benefits of changed lives, changes that can affect future generations to come. The UT System supports the request of the THECB for funding for these programs, but would emphasize the added benefits to students' academic performance and retention and success that come through work study opportunities on campus. Work study programs can open the eyes of students to potential careers in the sciences and other high demand fields by funding work in research laboratories, which also demonstrably enhances students' success and persistence.

Ensuring the Progress of the Valley Medical School. The 81st Texas Legislature authorized the creation of a new medical school within the UT System in South Texas. The 83rd Session authorized the creation of this medical school as part of UTRGV and transferred the existing Regional Academic Health Center (RAHC) to UTRGV. When it opens its doors in fall 2015, UTRGV will immediately become the second largest Hispanic-serving institution in the country and begin to play a vital role in transforming the Rio Grande Valley and South Texas. The medical school at UTRGV is expected to open its doors one year later, in the fall of 2016. Just as the legislature did with the last medical school started in Texas, Texas Tech's Paul Foster School of Medicine in El Paso, the UT System asks for additional state support in this transitional phase, before formula funding for students begins and local support and significant indirect cost recoveries are received. The legislature in the 83rd Session increased the funds committed to medical education in South Texas for the biennium by \$10 million, and the UT System requests an additional \$20 million in each year of the 2016-2017biennium. These funds will be used for the recruitment of key founding leadership and administrators, the initial teaching faculty, and initial researchers in such fields as diabetes and obesity, critical health care issues in the Valley. These funds are also needed to ensure that the UTRGV school of medicine can be properly and timely accredited by the LCME.

The RAHC is currently within UT Health Science Center San Antonio (UTHSCSA), which has oversight of its funding and facilities located in Harlingen and Edinburg. Leadership at the UT System and both UTHSCSA and UTRGV agree that the funding for the RAHC and medical education in South Texas be transitioned from UTHSCSA to UTRGV. This can be accomplished by the transfer of \$31,397,900 of existing Special Item General Revenue appropriations from the UTHSCSA bill pattern (E.1.1 – RAHC and E.2.1 – Family Practice Residency Training Program) to the UTRGV bill pattern. This shift will more appropriately align funding streams with the location of operations beginning with the 2016-2017 biennium. In addition, the UT System will redirect to UTRGV the portion of the RAHC tobacco endowment (a total of approximately \$1.2 million for the biennium) that is currently allocated to UTHSCSA. (This reallocation of tobacco proceeds does not require any change in the respective institution's bill pattern.) Following these changes, appropriations of approximately \$32.6 million will be available to UTRGV for the 2016-2017biennium to support the transition of existing operations to the new UTRGV medical school, in addition to the increased appropriations requested above.

Administrator's Statement

84th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

720 The University of Texas System Administration

Exceptional Item Requests. Each institution within the UT System has its own specific new initiatives and programs, or a need for added funding for an existing undertaking, and will present these requests in its separate LAR. The UT System Board of Regents reviewed and approved all these requests at its July 10, 2014 meeting.


Reductions to Baseline Funding. As instructed, UT System and its institutions have identified the impact of a reduction to the baseline funding of 10%. System institutions have continuously focused on efficiencies and cost containment strategies to be able to provide an affordable quality education to our students. State appropriations are the Legislature's investments in the future of Texas, allowing institutions to fulfill their missions and provide services to students and patients, including instruction, health care, infrastructure and research. Reductions to baseline funding will negatively impact the ability of the UT System and its institutions to fulfill these missions.

POLICY ON CRIMINAL HISTORY RECORDS

The UT System's policy is to obtain criminal history record information on all finalists considered for appointment to a security sensitive position, as allowed by Texas Government Code Section 411.094 and Texas Education Code Section 51.125. All positions at UT System Administration are designated security sensitive.

CONCLUSION

As the University of Texas System moves to a time of transition in its leadership, it will continue to build on the leadership of Dr. Francisco Cigarroa and the accomplishments of the past several years. The System will maintain focus on a vision that puts students first by creating a stronger learning environment and improving their experiences; enhances access and opportunity for more and more young Texans; fosters an environment where great world-class research can thrive and produce new knowledge and innovation; provides nationally acclaimed medical training and patient treatment; and contributes to the economic vibrancy of Texas and making life better for all Texans.



2.A. Summary of Base Request by Strategy

8/1/2014 9:35:09AM

84th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

720 The University of Texas System Administration

Goal / Objective / STRATEGY	Exp 2013	Est 2014	Bud 2015	Req 2016	Req 2017
1 Provide Instructional and Operations Support					
<u>1</u> <i>Provide Instructional and Operations Support</i>					
11 SYSTEM OFFICE OPERATIONS	1,425,000	1,325,000	1,325,000	1,325,000	1,325,000
TOTAL, GOAL 1	\$1,425,000	\$1,325,000	\$1,325,000	\$1,325,000	\$1,325,000
2 Provide Infrastructure Support					
<u>1</u> <i>Provide Operation and Maintenance of E&G Space</i>					
1 DEBT SERVICE - NSERB	6,540,600	6,540,600	6,540,600	6,538,063	6,537,463
TOTAL, GOAL 2	\$6,540,600	\$6,540,600	\$6,540,600	\$6,538,063	\$6,537,463
7Tobacco Funds					
<u>1</u> Tobacco Earnings for Research					
1 TOBACCO EARNINGS - RAHC	1,164,757	1.189.725	1,213,725	1,194,000	1,194,000
TOTAL, GOAL 7	\$1,164,757	\$1,189,725	\$1,213,725	\$1,194,000	\$1,194,000

2.A. Page 1 of 3

84th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

720 The University of Texas System Administration

Goal / Objective / STRATEGY	Exp 2013	Est 2014	Bud 2015	Req 2016	Req 2017
8 Trusteed Funds for Health Programs					
1 Trusteed Funds for Health Programs					
1 DKR TX ALZHEIMER'S INITIATIVE	0	9,230,625	0	9,230,625	0
TOTAL, GOAL 8	\$0	\$9,230,625	\$0	\$9,230,625	\$0
TOTAL, AGENCY STRATEGY REQUEST	\$9,130,357	\$18,285,950	\$9,079,325	\$18,287,688	\$9,056,463
TOTAL, AGENCY RIDER APPROPRIATIONS REQUEST*				\$0	\$0
GRAND TOTAL, AGENCY REQUEST	\$9,130,357	\$18,285,950	\$9,079,325	\$18,287,688	\$9,056,463
METHOD OF FINANCING:					
General Revenue Funds:					
1 General Revenue Fund	7,965,600	17,096,225	7,865,600	17,093,688	7,862,463
SUBTOTAL	\$7,965,600	\$17,096,225	\$7,865,600	\$17,093,688	\$7,862,463
Other Funds:					
822 Permanent Endowment FD UTRAC	1,164,757	1,189,725	1,213,725	1,194,000	1,194,000
SUBTOTAL	\$1,164,757	\$1,189,725	\$1,213,725	\$1,194,000	\$1,194,000
TOTAL, METHOD OF FINANCING	\$9,130,357	\$18,285,950	\$9,079,325	\$18,287,688	\$9,056,463

2.A. Page 2 of 3

84th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

720 The University of Texas System Administration

Goal / Objective / STRATEGY	Exp 2013	Est 2014	Bud 2015	Req 2016	Req 2017

*Rider appropriations for the historical years are included in the strategy amounts.

2.A. Page 3 of 3

2.B. Summary of Base Request by Method of Finance

84th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency code: 720 Agency r	name: The Univers	ity of Texas System Ad	ministration		
AETHOD OF FINANCING	Exp 2013	Est 2014	Bud 2015	Req 2016	Req 2017
GENERAL REVENUE					
1 General Revenue Fund					
REGULAR APPROPRIATIONS					
Regular Appropriations from MOF Table (2012-13 GAA)					
	\$7,965,600	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Regular Appropriations from MOF Table (2014-15 GAA)					
Regular Appropriations from MOT Table (2014-15 OAA)	\$0	\$17,096,225	\$7,865,600	\$17,093,688	\$7,862,463
OTAL, General Revenue Fund		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	\$7,965,600	\$17,096,225	\$7,865,600	\$17,093,688	\$7,862,463
OTAL, ALL GENERAL REVENUE	\$7,965,600	\$17,096,225	\$7,865,600	\$17,093,688	\$7,862,463
OTHER FUNDS					
822 Permanent Endowment Fund Account No. 822, UT Regional Aca	demic Health Center				
REGULAR APPROPRIATIONS					
Regular Appropriations from MOF Table (2012-13 GAA)					
	\$1,108,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Docular Appropriations from MOR Table (2014-15 CAAA)					
Regular Appropriations from MOF Table (2014-15 GAA)	\$0	\$1,175,000	\$1,175,000	\$1,194,000	\$1,194.000

2.B. Summary of Base Request by Method of Finance

Req 2017

\$0

\$0

84th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency code:	720 Agency name:	The University	y of Texas System Adr	ninistration	
METHOD OF FIN	NANCING	Exp 2013	Est 2014	Bud 2015	Req 2016
OTHER FUN	<u>DS</u>				
R	evised Receipts	\$38,000	\$(5,000)	\$19,000	\$0
	Comments: Represents the difference between the estimated endown distribution appropriated in the GAA and the actual distribution from RAHC endowment.				
R	evised Receipts - Interest Earnings on Endowment Distribution	¢10.757	510 725	\$10 Z25	6 0
	Comments: Represents interest earnings on distributions from the U	\$18,757 T Rahc	\$19,725	\$19,725	\$0

TOTAL,	AL, Permanent Endowment Fund Account No. 822, UT Regional Academic Health Center						
		\$1,164,757	\$1,189,725	\$1,213,725	\$1,194,000	\$1,194,000	
TOTAL, ALL	OTHER FUNDS	\$1,164,757	\$1.190.725	\$1 212 725	\$1,194.000	\$1.104.000	
			\$1,189,725	\$1,213,725	51,194,000	\$1,194,000	
GRAND TOTAI		\$9,130,357	\$18,285,950	\$9,079,325	\$18,287,688	\$9,056,463	

2.B. Summary of Base Request by Method of Finance

84th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency code: 720	Agency name: The University of Texas System Administration					
METHOD OF FINANCING	Ex	p 2013	Est 2014	Bud 2015	Req 2016	Req 2017
FULL-TIME-EQUIVALENT POSITIONS REGULAR APPROPRIATIONS						
Regular Appropriations from MOF Table (2012-13 GAA)		229.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Regular Appropriations from MOF Table (2014-15 GAA)		0.0	224.8	224.8	309.4	309.4
RIDER APPROPRIATION						
Sec 6.10(a)(2)(B)		0.0	22.4	22.4	0.0	0.0
UNAUTHORIZED NUMBER OVER (BELOW) CAP						
Unauthorized Number - Number Over (Below) Cap		26.1	30.0	62.2	0.0	0.0
TOTAL, ADJUSTED FTES		255.1	277.2	309.4	309.4	309.4
NUMBER OF 100% FEDERALLY FUNDED FTEs		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

2.C. Summary of Base Request by Object of Expense

84th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

720 The University of Texas System Administration

OBJECT OF EXPENSE	Exp 2013	Est 2014	Bud 2015	BL 2016	BL 2017
1001 SALARIES AND WAGES	\$1,388,417	\$1,291,482	\$1,325,000	\$1,325,000	\$1,325,000
1002 OTHER PERSONNEL COSTS	\$36,583	\$33,518	\$0	\$0	\$0
2008 DEBT SERVICE	\$6,540,600	\$6,540,600	\$6,540,600	\$6,538,063	\$6,537,463
2009 OTHER OPERATING EXPENSE	\$1,164,757	\$10,420,350	\$1,213,725	\$10,424,625	\$1,194,000
OOE Total (Excluding Riders)	\$9,130,357	\$18,285,950	\$9,079,325	\$18,287,688	\$9,056,463
OOE Total (Riders) Grand Total	\$9,130,357	\$18,285,950	\$9,079,325	\$18,287,688	\$9,056,463

2.F. Summary of Total Request by Strategy

84th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1

DATE : 8/1/2014 TIME : 9:35:13AM

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency code: 720	Agency name:	The University of Texas System	Administration				
Goal/Objective/STRATEGY		Base 2016	Base 2017	Exceptional 2016	Exceptional 2017	Total Request 2016	Total Request 2017
1 Provide Instructional and Operations Suppo	ort						
1 Provide Instructional and Operations Su	pport						
11 SYSTEM OFFICE OPERATIONS		\$1,325,000	\$1,325,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,325,000	\$1,325,000
TOTAL, GOAL 1		\$1,325,000	\$1,325,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,325,000	\$1,325,000
2 Provide Infrastructure Support							
1 Provide Operation and Maintenance of E	E&G Space						
1 DEBT SERVICE - NSERB		6,538,063	6,537,463	0	0	6,538,063	6,537,463
TOTAL, GOAL 2		\$6,538,063	\$6,537,463	\$0	\$0	\$6,538,063	\$6,537,463
7 Tobacco Funds							
1 Tobacco Earnings for Research							
1 TOBACCO EARNINGS - RAHC		1,194,000	1,194,000	0	0	1,194,000	1,194,000
TOTAL, GOAL 7		\$1,194,000	\$1,194,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,194,000	\$1,194,000

2.F. Summary of Total Request by Strategy 84th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 DATE : 8/1/2014 TIME : 9:35:13AM

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency code: 720	Agency name:	The University of Texas System	Administration				
Goal/Objective/STRATEGY		Base 2016	Base 2017	Exceptional 2016	Exceptional 2017	Total Request 2016	Total Request 2017
8 Trusteed Funds for Health Programs							
1 Trusteed Funds for Health Programs							
1 DKR TX ALZHEIMER'S INITIATIVE		\$9,230.625	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$9,230,625	\$0
TOTAL, GOAL 8		\$9,230,625	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$9,230,625	\$0
TOTAL, AGENCY STRATEGY REQUEST		\$18,287,688	\$9,056,463	\$0	\$0	\$18,287,688	\$9,056,463
TOTAL, AGENCY RIDER APPROPRIATIONS REQUEST							
GRAND TOTAL, AGENCY REQUEST		\$18,287,688	\$9,056,463	\$0	\$0	\$18,287,688	\$9,056,463

2.F. Summary of Total Request by Strategy DATE : 84th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 TIME : Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

8/1/2014 9:35:13AM

Agency code: 720	Agency name:	The University of Texas System	n Administration				
Goal/Objective/STRATEGY		Base 2016	Base 2017	Exceptional 2016	Exceptional 2017	Total Request 2016	Total Request 2017
General Revenue Funds:							
1 General Revenue Fund		\$17,093,688	\$7.862.463	\$0	\$0	\$17,093,688	\$7,862,463
		\$17,093,688	\$7,862,463	\$0	\$0	\$17,093,688	\$7,862,463
Other Funds:							
822 Permanent Endowment FD UTRAC		1,194,000	1.194.000	0	0	1,194,000	1,194,000
		\$1,194,000	\$1,194,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,194,000	\$1,194,000
TOTAL, METHOD OF FINANCING		\$18,287,688	\$9,056,463	\$0	\$0	\$18,287,688	\$9,056,463
FULL TIME EQUIVALENT POSITIONS		309.4	309.4	0.0	0.0	309.4	309.4

720 The	University of Texas Sys	stem Administration			
GOAL:1Provide Instructional and Operations SupportOBJECTIVE:1Provide Instructional and Operations Support			Statewide Goal/I Service Categori		0
STRATEGY: 11 System Office Operations			Service: 02	Income: A.2	Age: B.3
CODE DESCRIPTION	Exp 2013	Est 2014	Bud 2015	BL 2016	BL 2017
Objects of Expense:					
1001 SALARIES AND WAGES	\$1,388,417	\$1,291,482	\$1,325,000	\$1,325.000	\$1,325,000
1002 OTHER PERSONNEL COSTS	\$36,583	\$33,518	\$ 0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL, OBJECT OF EXPENSE	\$1,425,000	\$1,325,000	\$1,325,000	\$1,325,000	\$1,325,000
Method of Financing:					
1 General Revenue Fund	\$1,425,000	\$1,325,000	\$1,325,000	\$1,325,000	\$1,325,000
SUBTOTAL, MOF (GENERAL REVENUE FUNDS)	\$1,425,000	\$1,325,000	\$1,325,000	\$1,325,000	\$1,325,000
TOTAL, METHOD OF FINANCE (INCLUDING RIDERS)				\$1,325,000	\$1,325,000
TOTAL, METHOD OF FINANCE (EXCLUDING RIDERS)	\$1,425,000	\$1,325,000	\$1,325,000	\$1,325,000	\$1,325,000
FULL TIME EQUIVALENT POSITIONS:	255.1	277.2	309.4	309.4	309.4

STRATEGY DESCRIPTION AND JUSTIFICATION:

The purpose of this strategy is to provide effective management of the component institutions and funds of The University of Texas System. The administration provides central services and coordination within U. T. System in the operations of the component institutions and in reporting to U. T. Board of Regents and external entities.

3.A. Page 1 of 9

		720 The I	Iniversity of Texas Syste	m Administration				
GOAL:	1	Provide Instructional and Operations Support			Statewide Goal/	Benchmark:	2	0
OBJECTIVE:	1	Provide Instructional and Operations Support			Service Categor	ies:		
STRATEGY:	11	System Office Operations			Service: 02	Income: A.2		Age: B.3
CODE	DESC	RIPTION	Exp 2013	Est 2014	Bud 2015	BL 2016		BL 2017

EXTERNAL/INTERNAL FACTORS IMPACTING STRATEGY:

Various state laws and court decisions affecting higher education will have an impact on component institutions and System Administration. Program decisions made by component institutions require System Administration approval. Reporting requirements by the Board of Regents and other governing agencies impact the services provided by System Administration.

3.A. Page 2 of 9

	720 1	The University of Texas Sys	tem Administration			
GOAL: OBJECTIVE:	 Provide Infrastructure Support Provide Operation and Maintenance of E&G Spa 	се		Statewide Goal/I Service Categori		17
STRATEGY:	1 Debt Service for the Natural Science and Engr. B	uilding at UT - Dallas		Service: 10	Income: A.2	Age: B.3
CODE	DESCRIPTION	Exp 2013	Est 2014	Bud 2015	BL 2016	BL 2017
Objects of Exp	ense:					
2008 DEE	BT SERVICE	\$6,540,600	\$6,540,600	\$6,540,600	\$6,538.063	\$6,537,463
TOTAL, OBJI	ECT OF EXPENSE	\$6,540,600	\$6,540,600	\$6,540,600	\$6,538,063	\$6,537,463
Method of Fina	ancing:					
1 Gen	eral Revenue Fund	\$6,540,600	\$6,540,600	\$6,540,600	\$6,538,063	\$6,537,463
SUBTOTAL, N	MOF (GENERAL REVENUE FUNDS)	\$6,540,600	\$6,540,600	\$6,540,600	\$6,538,063	\$6,537,463
TOTAL, MET	HOD OF FINANCE (INCLUDING RIDERS)				\$6,538,063	\$6,537,463
TOTAL, MET	HOD OF FINANCE (EXCLUDING RIDERS)	\$6,540,600	\$6,540,600	\$6,540,600	\$6,538,063	\$6,537,463
FULL TIME E	QUIVALENT POSITIONS:					

STRATEGY DESCRIPTION AND JUSTIFICATION:

This strategy includes funding pursuant to Education Code Section 55.17521 intended to reimburse The University of Texas System for debt service on long-term obligations related to the construction of a natural science and engineering research building at The University of Texas at Dallas in accordance with the economic development agreement entered into between this state and the Board of Regents of the U. T. System.

3.A. Page 3 of 9

	72	0 The University of Texas Syste	em Administration			
GOAL:	2 Provide Infrastructure Support			Statewide Goal/I	Benchmark: 2	17
OBJECTIVE:	1 Provide Operation and Maintenance of E&G	Space		Service Categori	es:	
STRATEGY:	1 Debt Service for the Natural Science and Eng	r. Building at UT - Dallas		Service: 10	Income: A.2	Age: B.3
CODE	DESCRIPTION	Exp 2013	Est 2014	Bud 2015	BL 2016	BL 2017

EXTERNAL/INTERNAL FACTORS IMPACTING STRATEGY:

3.A. Page 4 of 9

	720	0 The University of Texas Sys	stem Administration			
GOAL:	7 Tobacco Funds			Statewide Goal/	Benchmark: 2	0
OBJECTIVE:	1 Tobacco Earnings for Research			Service Categori	es:	
STRATEGY:	1 Tobacco Earnings for the Lower Rio Grande V	/alley RAHC		Service: 19	Income: A.2	Age: B.3
CODE	DESCRIPTION	Exp 2013	Est 2014	Bud 2015	BL 2016	BL 2017
Objects of Exp	bense:					
2009 OTH	HER OPERATING EXPENSE	\$1,164,757	\$1,189,725	\$1,213,725	\$1,194,000	\$1,194,000
TOTAL, OBJI	ECT OF EXPENSE	\$1,164,757	\$1,189,725	\$1,213,725	\$1,194,000	\$1,194,000
Method of Fina						
822 Pern	manent Endowment FD UTRAC	\$1,164,757	\$1,189,725	\$1,213,725	\$1,194,000	\$1,194,000
SUBTOTAL, N	MOF (OTHER FUNDS)	\$1,164,757	\$1,189,725	\$1,213,725	\$1,194,000	\$1,194,000
TOTAL, METI	HOD OF FINANCE (INCLUDING RIDERS)				\$1,194,000	\$1,194,000
TOTAL, MET	HOD OF FINANCE (EXCLUDING RIDERS)	\$1,164,757	\$1,189,725	\$1,213,725	\$1,194,000	\$1,194,000
FULL TIME E	EQUIVALENT POSITIONS:					

STRATEGY DESCRIPTION AND JUSTIFICATION:

Funding for this strategy is derived from annual distributions of Permanent Health Funds established Section 63.101 of the Texas Education Code. These are appropriated for research and other programs that are conducted by the institution and that benefit the public health.

The proceeds are used by The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston and The University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio for activities related to the Regional Academic Health Centers located in the Lower Rio Grande Valley.

3.A. Page 5 of 9

		720 The Univ	versity of Texas Syste	m Administration			
GOAL:	7	Tobacco Funds			Statewide Goal/I	Benchmark: 2	0
OBJECTIVE:	1	Tobacco Earnings for Research			Service Categori	es:	
STRATEGY:	1	Tobacco Earnings for the Lower Rio Grande Valley RAH	IC		Service: 19	Income: A.2	Age: B.3
CODE	DESC	CRIPTION	Exp 2013	Est 2014	Bud 2015	BL 2016	BL 2017

EXTERNAL/INTERNAL FACTORS IMPACTING STRATEGY:

3.A. Page 6 of 9

		720 The U	Iniversity of Texas Sys	tem Administration			
GOAL:	8	Trusteed Funds for Health Programs			Statewide Goal/	Benchmark: 2	0
OBJECTIVE:	1	Trusteed Funds for Health Programs			Service Categor	ies:	
STRATEGY:	1	Darrell K Royal Texas Alzheimer's Initiative			Service: 30	Income: A.2	Age: B.2
CODE	DESC	RIPTION	Exp 2013	Est 2014	Bud 2015	BL 2016	BL 2017
Objects of Exp	ense:						
2009 OTH	IER OPI	ERATING EXPENSE	\$0	\$9,230,625	\$0	\$9,230,625	\$0
ГОТАL, ОВЛ	ECT OF	EXPENSE	\$0	\$9,230,625	\$0	\$9,230,625	\$0
Method of Fin	ancing:						
1 Gen	eral Rev	enue Fund	\$0	\$9,230,625	\$0	\$9,230,625	\$0
SUBTOTAL, I	MOF (G	ENERAL REVENUE FUNDS)	\$0	\$9,230,625	\$0	\$9,230,625	\$0
°OTAL, MET	HOD OI	F FINANCE (INCLUDING RIDERS)				\$9,230,625	\$0
FOTAL, MET	HOD OI	F FINANCE (EXCLUDING RIDERS)	\$0	\$9,230,625	\$0	\$9,230,625	\$0
		LENT DOCITIONS					

FULL TIME EQUIVALENT POSITIONS:

STRATEGY DESCRIPTION AND JUSTIFICATION:

The University of Texas System is the trustee for funds to be allocated at the direction of the Texas Council on Alheimer's Diseases and Related Disorders as provided by law to the Consortium of Alzheimer's Disease Centers and for other disease-specific purposes that are part of the Darrell K Royal Texas Alzheimer's Initiative as approved by the Texas Council on Alzheimer's Disease and Related Disorders.

3.A. Page 7 of 9

	720 The U	niversity of Texas Syste	m Administration			
GOAL:	8 Trusteed Funds for Health Programs			Statewide Goal/	Benchmark: 2	0
OBJECTIVE:	1 Trusteed Funds for Health Programs			Service Categor	ies:	
STRATEGY:	1 Darrell K Royal Texas Alzheimer's Initiative			Service: 30	Income: A.2	Age: B.2
CODE	DESCRIPTION	Exp 2013	Est 2014	Bud 2015	BL 2016	BL 2017

EXTERNAL/INTERNAL FACTORS IMPACTING STRATEGY:

Additional information for this strategy is available in Schedule 9, Special Item Information.

3.A. Page 8 of 9

SUMMARY TOTALS:

OBJECTS OF EXPENSE:	\$9,130,357	\$18,285,950	\$9,079,325	\$18,287,688	\$9,056,463
METHODS OF FINANCE (INCLUDING RIDERS):				\$18,287,688	\$9,056,463
METHODS OF FINANCE (EXCLUDING RIDERS):	\$9,130,357	\$18,285,950	\$9,079,325	\$18,287,688	\$9,056,463
FULL TIME EQUIVALENT POSITIONS:	255.1	277.2	309.4	309.4	309.4

3.A. Page 9 of 9

3.B. Rider Revisions and Additions Request

Agency Code	e: Agency Name:		Prepared By:	Date:	Request Level:		
720	The University of Texa Administration	s System	08/04/2014	Base			
Current Rider Number	Page Number in 2014-15 GAA		Proposed F	Rider Language			
UT System Rider 4	III-62	 a. Included in the or investment returned. b. Amounts availa appropriated. In the stimated above, c. All balances of Regional Academ 31, 2013, and the appropriated. Any the same purpose 	d Appropriation and Unexpended Balance. in the amounts appropriated above are estimated appropriations of amounts available for distribution in returns out of the Permanent Endowment Fund for the Lower Rio Grande Valley Regional Acade er. available for distribution or investment returns in excess of the amounts estimated above are also d. In the event that amounts available for distribution or investment returns are less than the amount bove, this Act may not be construed as appropriating funds to make up the difference. eres of estimated appropriations from the Permanent Endowment Fund for the Lower Rio Grande Va cademic Health Center, except for any General Revenue, at the close of the fiscal year ending Augu and the income to said fund during the fiscal years beginning September 1, 20153, are hereby d. Any unexpended appropriations made above as of August 31, 20164, are hereby appropriated for arposes for fiscal year 20175. requests that the dates in the rider be updated.				
UT System Rider 5	III-62	Alzheimer's Initiat may not transfer ti allocated at the di to the Consortium Darrell K Royal Te Related Disorders same purposes fo	Il Alzheimer's Initiative. Amounts ap ive, are funds trusteed to The Univers he amount appropriated to other purp rection of the Texas Council on Alzhe of Alzheimer's Disease Centers and exas Alzheimer's Initiative as approve a Any unexpended balances at the en- or fiscal year 201 <u>7</u> 5.	ity of Texas System, and The Ur oses. All amounts, net of cost of imer's Disease and Related Disc for other disease-specific purpos d by the Texas Council on Alzhe d of fiscal year 201 <u>6</u> 4 are hereby	niversity of Texas System administration, shall be orders as provided by law es that are part of the imer's Disease and		

3.B. Rider Revisions and Additions Request (continued)

N/A	NEW	Transferability of Appropriations.
		Notwithstanding any other provision of this Act, the Board of Regents of The University of Texas System shall have the authority to transfer appropriations from The University of Texas Rio Grande Valley to The University of Texas at Brownsville and The University of Texas-Pan American in any amount deemed necessary to achieve maximum operating efficiency and to provide for an orderly transition of activities between institutions.
		For purposes of the requirements of Article IX, Sec. 6.08. Benefits Paid Proportional by Fund of this Act, any appropriations transferred under this provision shall be counted as if they were directly appropriated to the receiving institution.
		Senate Bill 24, 83 rd Legislature R.S., provides for UT Brownsville and UT Pan American to be "abolished on a date the board of regents of The University of Texas System determines appropriate to achieve the maximum operating efficiency of the system." This date may or may not be subsequent to the commencement of operations by UTRGV and could extend into the 2016-2017 biennium.
Special Provisions Relating	III-237	Sec. 3. Definition of Terms. 1. As used in this Act, the term "general academic institutions" shall mean only the following institutions:
Only to		The University of Texas at Arlington
State		The University of Texas at Austin
Agencies of		The University of Texas at Dallas
Higher		The University of Texas at El Paso
Education		The University of Texas - Pan American
Rider 3		The University of Texas at Brownsville
		The University of Texas of the Permian Basin
		The University of Texas Rio Grande Valley
		The University of Texas at San Antonio
		The University of Texas at Tyler
		Texas A&M University
		Texas A&M University at Galveston
		Prairie View A&M University
		Tarleton State University
		Texas A&M University - Central Texas
		Texas A&M University - Corpus Christi Texas A&M University - Kingsville
		Texas A&M University - Kingsville
		Texas A&M International University
		West Texas A&M University
		Texas A&M University - Commerce
		Texas A&M University - Texarkana
		University of Houston
		University of Houston - Clear Lake
		University of Houston - Downtown

3.B. Rider Revisions and Additions Request (continued)

[]		University of Houston - Victoria
		Midwestern State University
		University of North Texas
		University of North Texas at Dallas
		Stephen F. Austin State University
		Texas Southern University
		Texas Tech University
		Texas Woman's University
		Angelo State University
		Lamar University
		Lamar Institute of Technology
		Lamar State College - Orange
		Lamar State College - Port Arthur
		Sam Houston State University
		Texas State University4
		Sul Ross State University, including:
		Sul Ross State University Rio Grande College
		UT System requests that UT Rio Grande Valley be added to the list of general academic institutions. At this time,
		we do not propose to delete UT Brownsville and UT Pan American from the list as they may continue to exist for
		some period of time after the beginning of the new biennium in order to achieve the maximum operating efficiency
		of the system as dictated by Senate Bill 24, 83 rd Legislature, R.S. An extraneous superscript was also deleted on
		Texas State University.
Special	III-239	Sec. 5. Salary and Benefit Provisions
Provisions		
Relating		2. President Salaries. Out of the educational and general funds appropriated to the general academic institutions,
Only to		community colleges, health centers, health science centers, and medical education programs, an amount not to
State		exceed the maximum amount specified for the Commissioner of the Higher Education Coordinating Board in
Agencies of		Article IX, Sec. 3.04 of this Act\$65,945 in 2014 and \$65,945 in 2015 may be expended in each year of the
Higher		biennium for the salary of a president. All presidents may receive in addition to the above amounts a house,
Education		utilities, and/or supplement from institutional funds. If a house owned by the institution, center, or program is not
Rider 5		available, an amount not to exceed \$7,200 per year from the appropriation to the institution, center, or program,
		and additional amounts from institutional funds where required, may be provided in lieu of house and utilities.
		3. Chancellor Salaries. Out of the funds appropriated, transferred, or contracted to the system offices and
		community colleges, an amount not to exceed the maximum amount specified for the Commissioner of the Higher
		Education Coordinating Board in Article IX, Sec. 3.04 of this Act\$70,231 in 2014 and \$70,231 in 2015 may be
		expended in each year of the biennium for the salary for a chancellor. All chancellors may receive in addition to
		the above amounts a house, utilities, and/or supplement from institutional funds. If a system owned house is not
		available, an amount not to exceed \$7,200 per year from the system office appropriation and additional amounts
		from private and institutional funds where required may be provided in lieu of house and utilities.
1		······································

		UT System requests this rider change relating to the compensation of presidents and chancellors. The limitations have remained unchanged for many years and are inequitable as compared to the allowed amounts for peers such as the Commissioner of the Higher Education Coordinating Board. Indexing the allowed amount to the Exempt Position Schedule and the HECB Commissioner is an equitable solution and allows for the compensation level to stay in step with any changes made for other agency heads.
Special Provisions Relating Only to State Agencies of Higher Education Rider 15	III-244	 Sec. 15. Medical School Enrollment. None of the funds appropriated above to The University of Texas System medical colleges, the University of North Texas Health Science Center at Fort Worth, or the Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center College of Medicine may be used for the education of first year medical students unless the first year class enrollment of undergraduate medical students in the fiscal years ending August 31, 2014, and August 31, 2015, is no less than 200 students at The University of Texas System medical colleges and 100 students at the University of North Texas Health Science Center at Fort Worth and the Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center College of Medicine. This provision shall not be construed as requiring any school of medicine to accept an unqualified applicant. In the event that a school of medicine falls below the required first year class enrollment of undergraduate medical students by more than 5 percent for fiscal years 2014 and 2015, the school shall report to the Legislative Budget Board the reasons for failing to meet the required enrollment. This information should be taken into account when preparing the appropriation recommendations for the Eighty fourth Legislature. UT System requests the elimination of this rider. The current language precedes the existing formula funding methodology. The original intent was to ensure that medical schools had a minimum enrollment supported by the non-formula Medical Education strategy. Now that medical students are funded based on a formula, this rider is no longer needed as funding is directly proportional to enrollment. Additionally, the language as currently appearing in the rider, does not apply to all existing state-funded medical schools. The schools to which it applies have consistently met targets set by the rider. The broad language as it relates specifically to The University of Texas System medical colleges would impact newly authorized medical schools which have been in operation for deca
Special Provisions Relating Only to State Agencies of Higher Education Rider 29	III-248	 Sec. 29. Health Related Institutions Funding <u>9. Accounting for Clinical Partners.</u> For purposes of subsection 2. Infrastructure Support Formula and 3. Research Funding, all health research institutions shall be treated the same by including research done by the institution's faculty under contract with a clinical partner and shall be considered in the formula calculations for the Research Enhancement and E&G Space Support strategies. This new subsection added to the health related institutions formula funding section of Higher Education Special Provisions will provide consistent treatment for each health related institution.

Special Provisions Relating Only to State Agencies of Higher Education Rider 41	III-251	Sec. 41. Appropriation of Funds from the Permanent Health Fund for Higher Education.Included in the amounts appropriated to health related institutions of higher education is an estimatedappropriation based on the institution's allocation of the estimated earnings out of the Permanent Health Fund forHigher Education for each fiscal year of the biennium. Amounts available for distribution from this fund areestimated to be \$20,895,00015,750,000each fiscal year of the biennium. The funds appropriated out of thePermanent Health Fund for Higher Education shall be distributed to the institutions of higher education inaccordance with Education Code §63.003 for the purpose of medical research, healtheducation, or treatment programs.UT System requests the change to update the amount with the 2015 distribution approved by the UT SystemBoard of Regents.
Special Provisions Relating Only to State Agencies of Higher Education Rider 50	III-253	 Sec. 50. Report Concerning Designated Tuition. (a) Not later than January 1, <u>201620</u>44, the governing board of each public institution of higher education that charges students designated tuition under §54.0513, Education Code, shall use the appropriations in the Act to report to the legislature, for the <u>2014-20152042-2043</u> and <u>2015-20162013-2044</u> academic years: (1) the amount the institution has collected in designated tuition; (2) the purposes for which the institution spent the money derived from designated tuition and the amount of that money spent for each of those purposes; and (3) the amount set aside from designated tuition for resident undergraduate and graduate student assistance under §§56.011 and 56.012, Education Code and how these amounts are allocated under the following categories. (a) grants (b) scholarships, (c) work-study programs, (d) students loans, (e) and-student loan repayment assistance,- (f) and B-On-Time transfers to the Higher Education Coordinating Board. (b) Reports required by this section shall be delivered to the Lieutenant Governor, the Speaker of the House, the chair of the Senate Finance Committee, and the chair of the House Appropriations Committee, and the members of the Legielative Oversight Committee on Higher Education. UT System requests two changes to this rider. The first change is to add B-On-Time transfers as a reporting category for how designated tuition set-asides are expended. This is a significant use of the set-asides and it does not fit neatly into one of the other categories. The second change is to delete the "members of the Legislative Oversight Committee on Higher Education.

		SCENARIO 1 – UTHSCSA is appropriated the funds for the Regional Academic Health Center
UTHSCSA Rider 3	III-176	Unexpended Balances Between Fiscal Years: Regional Academic Health Center (RAHC). Any unexpended balances as of August 31, 20142016, from the appropriations identified in Strategy E.1.1, Regional Academic Health Center, are hereby appropriated to The University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio for the same purpose for the fiscal year beginning September 1, 20142016. Funds expended from appropriations identified in this strategy may be used to cover student travel expenses associated with rotations between the San Antonio, Harlingen and Edinburg campuses.
		This rider has been updated to reflect the new fiscal years associated with this funding line, and gives the UTHSCSA authorization to utilize appropriations to directly support student travel expenses incurred from required rotations that have been incorporated into academic curriculums to achieve the intended purpose of the funds. These changes would not impact the agency's level of appropriations as compared to the 2014-15 biennium, but would directly support the purpose of these appropriations and benefit the students and the communities they serve in this disparate region of the State.
		UT System, UTRGV and UTHSCSA are requesting this rider and the funding appropriated for the RAHC be transferred to and reflected under the bill pattern of the new University of Texas Rio Grande Valley (UTRGV) in the FY2016-17 biennium.
N/A	NEW	SCENARIO 1 – UTHSCSA is appropriated the funds for the Regional Academic Health Center
	(UTHSCSA bill pattern)	Appropriations in Support of Regional Academic Health Center (RAHC) . At a time deemed appropriate by The University of Texas System, The University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio, and The University of Texas Rio Grande Valley, The University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio may transfer appropriations to The University of Texas Rio Grande Valley for the support of the Regional Academic Health Center and the establishment and administration of a medical school up to an amount not to exceed \$15,698,950 in 2016 and \$15,698,950 in 2017.
		For purposes of the requirements of Article IX, Sec. 6.08. Benefits Paid Proportional by Fund of this Act, appropriations made to The University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio and transferred to The University of Texas Rio Grande Valley for these purposes shall be counted as if they were directly appropriated to The University of Texas Rio Grande Valley.
		The UT System, UTHSCSA and UTRGV are requesting this rider be added to allow for the continued operations of the RAHC and the development of the new South Texas medical school under The University of Texas Rio Grande Valley as intended with the passage of SB98 in the 81 st legislature and HB100/SB24 in the 83 rd legislature.

N/A	NEW (UTRGV bill pattern)	SCENARIO 1 – UTHSCSA is appropriated the funds for the Regional Academic Health Center
		Appropriations in Support of Regional Academic Health Center (RAHC) . At a time deemed appropriate by The University of Texas System, The University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio, and The University of Texas Rio Grande Valley, The University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio may transfer appropriations to The University of Texas Rio Grande Valley for the support of the Regional Academic Health Center and the establishment and administration of a medical school up to an amount not to exceed \$15,698,950 in 2016 and \$15,698,950 in 2017.
		Any unexpended balances as of August 31, <u>2016</u> , from the appropriations transferred by the University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio in support of the Regional Academic Health Center are hereby appropriated to The University of Texas Rio Grande Valley for the same purpose for the fiscal year beginning September 1, <u>2016</u> . Funds expended from appropriations identified in this strategy may be used to cover student travel expenses associated with rotations between the UTHSCSA and UTRGV campuses in San Antonio, Harlingen and Edinburg.
		For purposes of the requirements of Article IX , Sec. 6.08 . Benefits Paid Proportional by Fund of this Act, appropriations made to The University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio and transferred to The University of Texas Rio Grande Valley for these purposes shall be counted as if they were directly appropriated to the institution.
		The UT System, UTHSCSA, and UTRGV are requesting this rider be added to allow for the continued operations of the RAHC and the development of the new South Texas medical school under The University of Texas Rio Grande Valley as intended with the passage of SB98 in the 81 st legislature and HB100/SB24 in the 83 rd legislature.
N/A	NEW (UTRGV bill pattern)	SCENARIO 2 – UTRGV is appropriated the funds for the Regional Academic Health Center
		Appropriations in Support of Regional Academic Health Center (RAHC). Included in appropriations above to The University of Texas Rio Grande Valley in Strategy, is \$15,698,950 in fiscal year 2016 and \$15,698,950 in fiscal year 2017 to support the Regional Academic Health Center and the establishment and administration of a medical school. Notwithstanding Article III, Special Provisions Relating Only to State Agencies of Higher Education, Sec. 4 Transfer Provisions of this Act, a portion of these appropriations not to exceed \$15,698,950 in fiscal year 2016 and \$15,698,950 in fiscal year 2016 and \$15,698,950 in fiscal year 2017 may be used to contract with The University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio for services related the Regional Academic Health Center and medical school.
		For purposes of the requirements of Article IX, Sec. 6.08. Benefits Paid Proportional by Fund of this Act, appropriations made to The University of Texas Rio Grande Valley and transferred to The University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio for these purposes shall be counted as if they were directly appropriated to The University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio.
		The UT System, UTHSCSA and UTRGV are requesting this rider be added to allow for the continued operations

		of the RAHC and the development of the new South Texas medical school under the University of Texas Rio Grande Valley as intended with the passage of SB98 in the 81 st legislature and HB100/SB24 in the 83 rd legislature.
N/A	NEW (UTRGV bill pattern)	SCENARIO 2 – UTRGV is appropriated the funds for the Regional Academic Health Center Unexpended Balances Between Fiscal Years: Regional Academic Health Center (RAHC). Any unexpended balances as of August 31, 2016, from the appropriations identified in Strategy to support the Regional Academic Health Center and the establishment and administration of a medical school are hereby appropriated to The University of Texas Rio Grande Valley for the fiscal year beginning September 1, 2016, for the same purposes. Funds expended from appropriations identified in this strategy may be used to cover student travel expenses associated with rotations between the UTHSCSA and UTRGV campuses in San Antonio, Harlingen and Edinburg. This rider gives the UTRGV authorization to utilize unexpended appropriations in 2016 to further develop the new South Texas medical school and to directly support student travel expenses incurred from required rotations that have been incorporated into academic curriculums to achieve the intended purpose of the funds.
		The UT System, UTRGV and UTHSCSA are requesting this rider and the funding appropriated for the RAHC be transferred to and reflected under the bill pattern of the new University of Texas Rio Grande Valley (UTRGV) in the FY2016-17 biennium.
N/A	NEW (UTHSCSA bill pattern)	SCENARIO 2– UTRGV is appropriated the funds for the Regional Academic Health CenterContract for Services Provided to The University of Texas Rio Grande Valley. The University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio may provide services to The University of Texas Rio Grande Valley for the support of the Regional Academic Health Center and the establishment and administration of a medical school up to an amount not to exceed \$15,698,950 in 2016 and \$15,698,950 in 2017.For purposes of the requirements of Article IX, Sec. 6.08. Benefits Paid Proportional by Fund of this Act, appropriations made to The University of Texas Rio Grande Valley and transferred to The University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio for these services shall be counted as if they were directly appropriated to the institution.
		The UT System, UTRGV and UTHSCSA are requesting this rider be added to allow for the continued operations of the RAHC and the development of the new South Texas medical school under the University of Texas Rio Grande Valley as intended with the passage of SB98 in the 81 st legislature and HB100/SB24 in the 83 rd legislature.

Date: 8/1/2014 Time: 9:35:14AM

Agency Code: 720 Agency: The University of Texas System Administration

COMPARISON TO STATEWIDE HUB PROCUREMENT GOALS

A. Fiscal Year 2012 - 2013 HUB Expenditure Information

						Total					Total
Statewide	Procurement		HUB Ex	spenditur	es FY 2012	Expenditures	5	HUB Ex	<u>penditures</u>	FY 2013	Expenditures
HUB Goals	Category	% Goal	% Actual	Diff	Actual \$	FY 2012	% Goal	% Actual	Diff	Actual \$	FY 2013
21.1%	Building Construction	23.4 %	24.9%	1.5%	\$126,238,474	\$506,576,505	24.0 %	27.8%	3.8%	\$109,555,366	\$394,166,019
32.7%	Special Trade Construction	22.7 %	29.8%	7.1%	\$176,032	\$589,971	22.5 %	100.0%	77.5%	\$186,610	\$186,610
23.6%	Professional Services	23.6 %	20.2%	-3.4%	\$10,872.272	\$53,792,888	23.6 %	32.1%	8.5%	\$14,053,159	\$43,784,204
24.6%	Other Services	14.2 %	5.1%	-9.1%	\$1,667,843	\$32,690,076	10.8 %	8.4%	-2.4%	\$3,084.961	\$36,702,911
21.0%	Commodities	34.0 %	87.2%	53.2%	\$14,427,289	\$16,553,247	47.9 %	58.2%	10.3%	\$14,242,435	\$24,456,508
	Total Expenditures		25.1%		\$153,381,910	\$610,202,687		28.3%		\$141,122,531	\$499,296,252

B. Assessment of Fiscal Year 2012 - 2013 Efforts to Meet HUB Procurement Goals

Attainment:

U. T. System Administration met or exceeded Statewide HUB Procurement Goals in two (2) of five (5) procurement categories in Fiscal Year 2012 and in three (3) of the five (5) categories for internal goals. The U. T. System realized a spend of \$153,381,912 or 25.14% across all HUB categories which exceeded the statewide HUB percentage of 13.87%.

U. T. System Administration exceeded statewide HUB procurement goals in four (4) of five (5) procurement categories in Fiscal Year 2013. The U. T. System spend total of \$141,135,300 or 28.27% with HUB firms, exceeded the statewide HUB percentage of 13.42

Applicability:

The "Heavy Construction" category was not applicable to U. T. System Administration operations in either fiscal year 2010 or fiscal year 2011 since U. T. System Administration does not have any strategies or programs related to heavy construction.

Factors Affecting Attainment:

Payments to non-certified and graduated HUB firms, and demand for goods and services for which no HUB suppliers are available, impact perf. measures. Other factors affect expenditures for legal and financial services: (1) The nature and types of med. mal. claims are extremely varied. It is deemed preferable to match each case with the defense attorney's skill, experience and knowledge of local judge and jury situations. Approx. 50% of U. T. System Self-Insurance Plans cases involve HUB

non-capacity. The justification for this estimate is found in the complexity of the cases, exposure of individual faculty physicians, need for local representation, and requisite legal expertise, and (2) All U. T. System bonds/notes are rated by at least 2 of the 3 nationally recognized bond rating agencies. When bonds/notes are sold, a paying agent/registrar is selected to maintain a record of bondholders and to pay the periodic interest and principal payments. The paying agent/reg. is typically a bank

Date: 8/1/2014 Time: 9:35:14AM

Agency Code: 720 Agency: The University of Texas System Administration

that must be automated and capable of meeting the Depository Trust Company in New York requirements. HUB firms that provide bond counsel, financial, printing, and underwriting services are limited.

"Good-Faith" Efforts:

The U. T. System Board of Regents continues its "Policy on Utilization of Historically Underutilized Businesses" through the Rules and Regulations of the Board of Regents and U. T. System Policy updated March, 2008, and by which Ch 1, Texas Administrative Code (TAC) Sections 20.11-20.28 were adopted for all U. T. System institutions. U. T. System Admin HUB Coordinator = Director level. Good faith efforts: HUB staff attended 120 HUB outreach events in FY 2013 and FY 2013, had 127 one-on-one meetings with HUB firms, and administered 14 Mentor-Protégé relationships. The HUB office works with prime contractors to solicit HUB participation on 2nd and 3rd tier level.

The University of Texas System Administration 6.H - Estimated Funds Outside the Institution's Bill Pattern 2014-15 and 2016-17 Biennia

		2014 - 2015 8	Bienr	nium				2016 - 2017 E	Bienni	um	
	 FY 2014 Revenue	FY 2015 Revenue		Biennium Total	Percent of Total	 FY 2016 Revenue		FY 2017 Revenue		Biennium Total	Percent of Total
APPROPRIATED SOURCES INSIDE THE BILL PATTERN	Nevenue	Nevenue		<u>10tai</u>	orrotar	nevenue		nevenue		Total	orrotan
State Appropriations (excluding HEGI & State Paid Fringes)	\$ 1,325,000	\$ 1,325,000	\$	2,650,000		\$ 1,325,000	\$	1,325,000	\$	2,650,000	
Tuition and Fees (net of Discounts and Allowances)	-	-		-		-		-		-	
Endowment and Interest Income	1,189,725	1,194,000		2,383,725		1,194,000		1,194,000		2,388,000	
Sales and Services of Educational Activities (net)	-	-		-		-		-		-	
Sales and Services of Hospitals (net)	-	-		-		-		-		-	
Other Income	 -	 -				 -		-			
Total	 2,514,725	 2,519,000		5,033,725	0.5%	 2,519,000		2,519,000		5,038,000	0.4%
APPROPRIATED SOURCES OUTSIDE THE BILL PATTERN											
State Appropriations (HEGI & State Paid Fringes)	\$ 255,188	\$ 312,899	\$	568,087		\$ 312,899	\$	312,899	\$	625,798	
Higher Education Assistance Funds	-	-		-				-		-	
Available University Fund	478,225,425	529,305,097		1,007,530,522		537,883,320		601,241,975		1,139,125,295	
State Grants and Contracts	10,206,794	-		10,206,794		 10,206,794		-		10,206,794	
Total	 488,687,407	 529,617,996		1,018,305,403	97.8%	 548,403,013		601,554,874	_	1,149,957,887	98.0%
NON-APPROPRIATED SOURCES											
Tuition and Fees (net of Discounts and Allowances)	-	-		-		-		-		-	
Federal Grants and Contracts	-	-		-				-		-	
State Grants and Contracts	-	-		-		-		-		-	
Local Government Grants and Contracts	-	-		-		-		-		-	
Private Gifts and Grants	948,731	953,100		1,901,831		953,100		953,100		1,906,200	
Endowment and Interest Income	5,523,147	5,768,872		11,292,019		5,768,872		5,768,872		11,537,744	
Sales and Services of Educational Activities (net)	2,293,265	2,238,968		4,532,233		2,238,968		2,238,968		4,477,936	
Sales and Services of Hospitals (net)	-	-		-		-		-		-	
Professional Fees (net)	-	-		-		-		-		-	
Auxiliary Enterprises (net)	-	-		-		-		-		-	
Other Income	-	-		-				-		-	
Total	 8,765,143	 8,960,940		17,726,083	1.7%	 8,960,940	_	8,960,940		17,921,880	1.5%
TOTAL SOURCES	\$ 499,967,275	\$ 541,097,936	\$	1,041,065,211	100.0%	\$ 559,882,953	\$	613,034,814	\$	1,172,917,767	100.0%

6.I. Percent Biennial Base Reduction Options

10 % REDUCTION 84th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST) Date: 8/1/2014 Time: 9:45:27AM

Agency code: 720 Agency name: The University of Texas System Administration

	REVENUE LO	SS]	REDUCTION AMO	UNT	TARGET
Item Priority and Name/ Method of Financing	2016	2017	Biennial Total	2016	2017 Biennial To	tal
1 5 % Reduction to Systems Operation						
Category: Administrative - Operating Expenses Item Comment: Initial 5% Reduction to Genera	l Revenue support for S	System Office (Operations			
Strategy: 1-1-11 System Office Operations						
General Revenue Funds						
1 General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$132,500	\$132,50	00
General Revenue Funds Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$132,500	\$132,5	00
Item Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$132,500	\$132,5	00
FTE Reductions (From FY 2016 and FY 2017 Bas	e Request)					
2 5 % Reduction to DKR TX Alzheimer's Initiative						
Category: Programs - Service Reductions (Contra Item Comment: Initial 5% Reduction for trustee		Royal Texas Al:	zheimer's Initiative			
Strategy: 8-1-1 Darrell K Royal Texas Alzheim	er's Initiative					
General Revenue Funds						
1 General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$461,531	\$461,5	31
	¢0	\$0	\$0	\$461,531	\$461,5	21
General Revenue Funds Total	\$0	30	30	0401,001	0101,0	51

3 Additional 5% Reduction to Systems Operation

Category: Administrative - Operating Expenses

Item Comment: Additional 5% Reduction to General Revenue support for System Office Operations

6.I. Percent Biennial Base Reduction Options

10 % REDUCTION 84th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST) Date: 8/1/2014 Time: 9:45:27AM

Agency code: 720 Agency name: The University of Texas System Administration

	REVENUE LO	DSS		REDUCTION AMOUNT					
tem Priority and Name/ Method of Financing	2016	2017	Biennial Total	2016	2017	Biennial Total			
Strategy: 1-1-11 System Office Operations									
General Revenue Funds									
1 General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$132,500	\$132,500			
General Revenue Funds Total	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$132,500	\$132,500			
Item Total	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$132,500	\$132,500			
FTE Reductions (From FY 2016 and FY 2017 Base	e Request)								
Additional 5% Reduction to DKR TX Alzheimer's	Initiative								
Category: Programs - Service Reductions (Contra Item Comment: Additional 5% Reduction for tra Strategy: 8-1-1 Darrell K Royal Texas Alzheime	usteed funds for Darrel	ll K Royal Te	xas Alzheimer's Initi	ative					
General Revenue Funds									
1 Canada Davida Famil	f ()	¢o	C O	£4(1.522		¢4(1.520			
1 General Revenue Fund	\$ 0	\$0	\$0	\$461,532		\$461,532			
General Revenue Funds Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$461,532		\$461,532			
				. ,					
General Revenue Funds Total	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0	\$461,532		\$461,532			
General Revenue Funds Total Item Total	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0	\$461,532		\$461,532			
General Revenue Funds Total Item Total FTE Reductions (From FY 2016 and FY 2017 Base	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0	\$461,532	\$132,500	\$461,532	\$1,188,063		
General Revenue Funds Total Item Total FTE Reductions (From FY 2016 and FY 2017 Base AGENCY TOTALS	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0	\$461,532 \$461,532	\$132,500 \$132,500	\$461,532 \$461,532	\$1,188,063		
General Revenue Funds Total Item Total FTE Reductions (From FY 2016 and FY 2017 Base AGENCY TOTALS General Revenue Total	S0 S0 e Request)	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$461,532 \$461,532 \$1,055,563	,	\$461,532 \$461,532 \$1,188,063	\$1,188,063		

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

720 The University of Texas System Administration

	Est 2014	Bud 2015	BL 2016	BL 2017	Excp 2016	Excp 2017
Item: 1 Expand Coverage Up to Age 26						
Objects of Expense						
Strategy: 1-1-11 SYSTEM OFFICE OPERATIONS						
2009 OTHER OPERATING EXPENSE	\$0	\$ 0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
SUBTOTAL, Strategy 1-1-11	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL, Objects of Expense	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Method of Financing						
GENERAL REVENUE FUNDS Strategy: 1-1-11 SYSTEM OFFICE OPERATIONS						
1 General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
SUBTOTAL, Strategy 1-1-11	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
SUBTOTAL, GENERAL REVENUE FUNDS	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL, Method of Financing	S 0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

H.R. 3590, Sec. 1001 (ads Sec 2714 of Public Health Services Act);H.R. 4872, Sec.2301

DESCRIPTION/KEY ASSUMPTIONS:

,

6.J Page 1 of 17

84th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

720 The University of Texas System Administration

	Est 2014	Bud 2015	BL 2016	BL 2017	Excp 2016	Excp 2017
1. This provision of the law became applicable to the System September 1, 201						
2. An average of 1,428 additional children are expected to be enrolled in the he	alth plan in FY14 as a re	sult of this provisio	on. This additional	enrollment is exp	pected to	
grow 2% per year thereafter.						
3. Each additional child added as result of this provision is expected to cost the		t \$2,847 in FY14.	The average cost p	er child is expect	ed to increase	
about 4% in FY15 about 8-9% per year (the health benefit cost trend) for FY16-						
4. The additional plan cost is expected to be split 50%/50% between the employ	yers and the subscribers,	since the amounts	shown herein are s	olely attributable	to an	
ncrease in dependent cost and the employer pays for 50% of the dependent cost	t.					
	11 1 0 11 0 1					
5. Expense amounts shown are the total projected employer cost attributable to	this item for all System	institutions.				
 Expense amounts shown are the total projected employer cost attributable to For the System as a whole, General Revenue is assumed to be the same percentered 	entage of employer contr	institutions. ibutions in FY16 a	nd FY17 as it is ex	pected to be in F	Y15. The	

Est 2014 \$2,033,000 (\$496,000 GR & \$1,537,000 Local/Not Appropriated Funds) Bud 2015 \$2,165,000 (\$525,000 GR & \$1,640,000 Local/Not Appropriated Funds) BL 2016 \$2,392,000 (\$580,000 GR & \$1,812,000 Local/Not Appropriated Funds) BL 2017 \$2,655,000 (\$644,000 GR & \$2,011,000 Local/Not Appropriated Funds)

6.J Page 2 of 17

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

720 The University of Texas System Administration

	Est 2014	Bud 2015	BL 2016	BL 2017	Excp 2016	Excp 2017
Item: 2 Cover preventive care at 100%						
Objects of Expense						
Strategy: 1-1-11 SYSTEM OFFICE OPERATIONS						
2009 OTHER OPERATING EXPENSE	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
SUBTOTAL, Strategy 1-1-11	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL, Objects of Expense	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Method of Financing						
GENERAL REVENUE FUNDS Strategy: 1-1-11 SYSTEM OFFICE OPERATIONS						
1 General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
SUBTOTAL, Strategy 1-1-11	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
SUBTOTAL, GENERAL REVENUE FUNDS	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL, Method of Financing	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

H.R. 3590, Sec. 1001 (adds Sec 2713 of Public Health Services Act)

DESCRIPTION/KEY ASSUMPTIONS:

6.J Page 3 of 17

84th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

720 The University of Texas System Administration

			BL 2016	BL 2017	Excp 2016	Excp 2017
This provision of the law became applicable to the System September 1, 2011; i.e.,	for FY12.					
Health plan enrollment for FY14 is expected to average about 188,500 participants	(subscribers and c	lependents). Partic	ipant enrollment is	s expected to grow	v 2% per year	
FY15, FY16, and FY17.						
This provision is expected to increase plan cost about \$26 per participant in FY14.	This amount is ex	pected to increase a	about 4% in FY15	about 8-9% per y	ear (the	
alth benefit cost trend) for FY16-17.						
The additional plan cost is expected to be split 83.6%/16.4% between the employer	s and the subscrib	ers which is the spl	lit for FY14 based	on the employer j	paying 100%	
the cost of subscriber coverage and 50% of the cost of dependent coverage.						
Expense amounts shown are the total projected employer cost attributable to this ite	em for all System	institutions.				
For the System as a whole, General Revenue is assumed to be the same percentage	of employer contr	ibutions in FY16 a	nd FY17 as it is ex	pected to be in F	Y15. The	
nainder of the cost is shown as All Other Funding.						
DNCERNS:						
timated Costs are included below as a result of these amounts not directly part of the	e UT System bill r	attern.				

Est 2014 \$4,162,000 (\$1,016,000 GR & \$3,146,000 Local/Not Appropriated Funds) Bud 2015 \$4,338,000 (\$1.052,000 GR & \$3,286,000 Local/Not Appropriated Funds) BL 2016 \$4,607,000 (\$1,118,000 GR & \$3,489,000 Local/Not Appropriated Funds) BL 2017 \$4,891,000 (\$1,187,000 GR & \$3,704,000 Local/Not Appropriated Funds)

6.J Page 4 of 17

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

720 The University of Texas System Administration

	Est 2014	Bud 2015	BL 2016	BL 2017	Excp 2016	Excp 2017
tem: 3 100% coverage for contraceptives						
Objects of Expense						
Strategy: 1-1-11 SYSTEM OFFICE OPERATIONS						
2009 OTHER OPERATING EXPENSE	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
SUBTOTAL, Strategy 1-1-11	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL, Objects of Expense	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Method of Financing						
GENERAL REVENUE FUNDS Strategy: 1-1-11 SYSTEM OFFICE OPERATIONS						
1 General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$ 0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
SUBTOTAL, Strategy 1-1-11	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
SUBTOTAL, GENERAL REVENUE FUNDS	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL, Method of Financing	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

LEGAL AUTHORITY/STATUTORY REFERENCE FOR ITEM:

H.R. 3590, Sec. 1001 (adds Sec 2713 of Public Health Services Act)

DESCRIPTION/KEY ASSUMPTIONS:

6.J Page 5 of 17

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

720 The University of Texas System Administration

	Est 2014	Bud 2015	BL 2016	BL 2017	Excp 2016	Excp 2017
 This provision of the law became applicable to the System on September 1 Health plan enrollment for FY14 is expected to average about 188,500 par for FY15, FY16, and FY17. 		lependents). Partic	ipant enrollment is	s expected to grov	v 2% per year	
3. This provision is expected to increase plan cost about \$20 per participant in	FY14. This amount is ex	pected to increase a	about 8% per year	for FY15-17.		
 The additional plan cost is expected to be split 83.6%/16.4% between the e of the cost of subscriber coverage and 50% of the cost of dependent coverage. Expense amounts shown are the total projected employer cost attributable in the second se			it for FY14 based	on the employer p	baying 100%	
6. For the System as a whole, General Revenue is assumed to be the same per remainder of the cost is shown as All Other Funding.			id FY17 as it is exp	pected to be in FY	(15. The	
CONCERNS: Estimated Costs are included below as a result of these amounts not directly p	art of the UT System bill p	pattern:				

Est 2014 \$3,120,000 (\$761,000 GR & \$2,359,000 Local/Not Appropriated Funds) Bud 2015 \$3,431,000 (\$832,000 GR & \$2,599,000 Local/Not Appropriated Funds) BL 2016 \$3,771,000 (\$915,000 GR & \$2,856,000 Local/Not Appropriated Funds) BL 2017 \$4,145,000 (\$1,006,000 GR & \$3,139,000 Local/Not Appropriated Funds)

6.J Page 6 of 17

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

720 The University of Texas System Administration

	Est 2014	Bud 2015	BL 2016	BL 2017	Excp 2016	Excp 2017
Item: 4 60 Day Waiting Period						
Objects of Expense						
Strategy: 1-1-11 SYSTEM OFFICE OPERATIONS						
2009 OTHER OPERATING EXPENSE	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0	\$0	\$ 0
SUBTOTAL, Strategy 1-1-11	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL, Objects of Expense	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Method of Financing						
GENERAL REVENUE FUNDS Strategy: 1-1-11 SYSTEM OFFICE OPERATIONS						
1 General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
SUBTOTAL, Strategy 1-1-11	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
SUBTOTAL, GENERAL REVENUE FUNDS	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL, Method of Financing	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

H.R. 3590, Sec. 1201 (adds Sec 2708 of Public Health Services Act)

DESCRIPTION/KEY ASSUMPTIONS:

6.J Page 7 of 17

8/1/2014 9:35:15AM

84th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

720 The University of Texas System Administration

	Est 2014	Bud 2015	BL 2016	BL 2017	Excp 2016	Excp 2017
 This provision of the law becomes applicable to the System on September 1, Although the state does not provide funding for coverage during the waiting period using institutional funds, so the total additional cost to the System related change would have the effect of transferring a portion of the institutional cost to the 	period, all but two small I to this provision is exp	ected to be small. H	However, it is assur	ned that the HCR	R-required	

3. An average of about 1,200 new subscribers join the health plan each month. About 32 of these are at the institutions that do not presently fund employees in the waiting period. Since this provision would reduce the waiting period by one month, it is assumed that there would be an average of about 32 additional subscribers in the health plan and, in addition, an average of an additional 1,168 subscribers who would be subject to the proportionality formula in FY15.

4. The average employer contribution is expected to be \$7,790 per additional subscriber in FY15. The average state contribution is expected to increase 6% per year for FY16 and FY17.

5. Expense amounts shown are the total projected employer cost attributable to this item for all System institutions.

6. For the System as a whole, General Revenue is assumed to be the same percentage of employer contributions in FY16 and FY17 as it is expected to be in FY15. The remainder of the cost is shown as All Other Funding.

CONCERNS:

Estimated Costs are included below as a result of these amounts not directly part of the UT System bill pattern:

Est 2014 \$0

Bud 2015 \$246,000 (\$2,268,000 GR & -\$2,022,000 Local/Not Appropriated Funds) BL 2016 \$261,000 (\$2,403,000 GR & -2,142,000 Local/Not Appropriated Funds) BL 2017 \$276,000 (\$2,548,000 GR & -\$2,272,000 Local/Not Appropriated Funds)

6.J Page 8 of 17

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

720 The University of Texas System Administration

	Est 2014	Bud 2015	BL 2016	BL 2017	Excp 2016	Excp 2017
Item: 5 OOP Max						
Objects of Expense						
Strategy: 1-1-11 SYSTEM OFFICE OPERATIONS						
2009 OTHER OPERATING EXPENSE	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
SUBTOTAL, Strategy 1-1-11	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL, Objects of Expense	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Method of Financing						
GENERAL REVENUE FUNDS Strategy: 1-1-11 SYSTEM OFFICE OPERATIONS						
1 General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
SUBTOTAL, Strategy 1-1-11	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
SUBTOTAL, GENERAL REVENUE FUNDS	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL, Method of Financing	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

H.R. 3590, Sec 1201 adds Sec 2707(b) of Public Health Services Act

DESCRIPTION/KEY ASSUMPTIONS:

6.J Page 9 of 17

84th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

720 The University of Texas System Administration

	Est 2014	Bud 2015	BL 2016	BL 2017	Excp 2016	Excp 2017	
1. This provision of the law becomes any listle to the System on Contember 1, 2014							

1. This provision of the law becomes applicable to the System on September 1, 2014.

2. For plans like UTSelect for which medical benefits and prescription drug benefits are administered by independent administrators, the cost sharing limit applies only to medical benefits in FY15, but it will apply to medical and prescription drug benefits combined in FY16 and later. The FY15 cost sharing limit will be \$6,350 for subscriber only coverage and \$12,700 for family coverage (any coverage that includes spouse and/or children). For FY16 and subsequent years, the limit will be indexed

3. Health plan enrollment for FY14 is expected to average about 188,500 participants (subscribers and dependents). Participant enrollment is expected to grow 2% per year for FY15, FY16, and FY17.

4. This provision is expected to increase plan cost about \$1.17, \$3.39 and \$3.53 per participant per year in FY14, 16 and 17 respectively. These estimates are based on an analysis of plan experience and the expected indexing of the limit.

5. Expense amounts shown are the total projected employer cost attributable to this item for all System institutions.

6. For the System as a whole, General Revenue is assumed to be the same percentage of employer contributions in FY16 and FY17 as it is expected to be in FY15. The remainder of the cost is shown as All Other Funding.

CONCERNS:

Estimated Costs are included below as a result of these amounts not directly part of the UT System bill pattern:

Est 2014 \$0

Bud 2015 \$188,000 (\$46,000 GR & \$142,000 Local/Not Appropriated Funds) BL 2016 \$555,000 (\$135,000 GR & \$420,000 Local/Not Appropriated Funds) BL 2017 \$590,000 (\$143,000 GR & \$447,000 Local/Not Appropriated Funds)

6.J Page 10 of 17

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

720 The University of Texas System Administration

	Est 2014	Bud 2015	BL 2016	BL 2017	Excp 2016	Excp 2017
Item: 6 PCORT						
Objects of Expense						
Strategy: 1-1-11 SYSTEM OFFICE OPERATIONS						
2009 OTHER OPERATING EXPENSE	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0	\$0	\$0
SUBTOTAL, Strategy 1-1-11	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL, Objects of Expense	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Method of Financing						
GENERAL REVENUE FUNDS						
Strategy: 1-1-11 SYSTEM OFFICE OPERATIONS						
1 General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
SUBTOTAL, Strategy 1-1-11	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
SUBTOTAL, GENERAL REVENUE FUNDS	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL, Method of Financing	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	S 0	\$0

LEGAL AUTHORITY/STATUTORY REFERENCE FOR ITEM:

H.R. 3590, Sec. 6301 (adds Secs. 9511 and 4376 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986)

DESCRIPTION/KEY ASSUMPTIONS:

6.J Page 11 of 17

84th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

720 The University of Texas System Administration

	Est 2014	Bud 2015	BL 2016	BL 2017	Excp 2016	Excp 2017
1. This provision of the law became applicable to the System for FY13.						
2. H.R. 3590 specifies annual per capita fees for funding for the Patient-	Centered Outcomes Research T	rust to study the qu	ality and relevance	e of treatment rec	eived by	
patients. The annual per capita fees are \$1.00 for FY13, \$2.00 for FY14.		1 2	ears based on med	ical inflation, whi	ch is	
estimated to be 5% per year. The fee is assessed based on the average nu						
3. Health plan enrollment for FY14 is expected to average about 188,50	0 participants (subscribers and o	dependents). Partic	ipant enrollment is	s expected to grow	v 2% per year	
for FY15, FY16, and FY17.						
4. The expense amounts are shown in the fiscal year in which they are in	ncurred. It is expected that actu	al payments will be	made in the follo	wing fiscal year.		
5. The additional plan cost is expected to be split 83.6%/16.4% between	the employers and the subscrib	ers which is the spl	it for FY14 based	on the employer	paving 100%	
of the cost of subscriber coverage and 50% of the cost of dependent cover	• •	1		1 2		
of the cost of subscriber coverage and 5070 of the cost of dependent cove						
	able to this item for all System	institutions.				
6. Expense amounts shown are the total projected employer cost attribut	•		nd FY17 as it is ex	spected to be in F	Y15. The	
 Expense amounts shown are the total projected employer cost attribut For the System as a whole, General Revenue is assumed to be the san remainder of the cost is shown as All Other Funding. 	•		nd FY17 as it is ex	spected to be in F	Y15. The	
6. Expense amounts shown are the total projected employer cost attribut7. For the System as a whole, General Revenue is assumed to be the san	•		nd FY17 as it is ex	spected to be in F	Y15. The	

Est 2014 \$315,000 (\$77,000 GR & \$238,000 Local/Not Appropriated Funds) Bud 2015 \$354,000 (\$86,000 GR & \$268,000 Local/Not Appropriated Funds) BL 2016 \$380,000 (\$92,000 GR & \$288,000 Local/Not Appropriated Funds) BL 2017 \$407,000 (\$99,000 GR & \$308,000 Local/Not Appropriated Funds)

6.J Page 12 of 17

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

720 The University of Texas System Administration

	Est 2014	Bud 2015	BL 2016	BL 2017	Excp 2016	Excp 2017
Item: 7 Transitional Reinsurance						
Objects of Expense						
Strategy: 1-1-11 SYSTEM OFFICE OPERATIONS						
2009 OTHER OPERATING EXPENSE	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
SUBTOTAL, Strategy 1-1-11	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL, Objects of Expense	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Method of Financing						
GENERAL REVENUE FUNDS Strategy: 1-1-11 SYSTEM OFFICE OPERATIONS						
1 General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
SUBTOTAL, Strategy 1-1-11	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
SUBTOTAL, GENERAL REVENUE FUNDS	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL, Method of Financing	- \$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

H.R. 3590, Sec. 1341

DESCRIPTION/KEY ASSUMPTIONS:

6.J Page 13 of 17

8/1/2014 9:35:15AM

84th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

720 The University of Texas System Administration

	Est 2014	Bud 2015	BL 2016	BL 2017	Excp 2016	Excp 2017
This provision of the law became applicable to the System for CY14.						
H.R. 3590 provides for collection of the following aggregate amounts from insu 714, CY15, and CY16, respectively. The fee will impact the System for 8 month		•			oillion for	
These amounts will be assessed against applicable plans at rates of \$5.25 and \$3 e applicable rate for CY16 has not been announced by the Federal government.	•	• • •	•			
Health plan enrollment for FY14 is expected to average about 188,500 participa FY15, FY16, and FY17.	unts (subscribers and c	dependents). Partic	ipant enrollment i	s expected to grov	w 2% per year	
The expense amounts are shown in the fiscal year in which they are incurred. It	t is expected that actu	al payments will be	made in the follo	wing fiscal year.		
The additional plan cost is expected to be split 83.6%/16.4% between the emplo the cost of subscriber coverage and 50% of the cost of dependent coverage.	oyers and the subscrib	ers which is the spl	it for FY14 based	on the employer	paying 100%	
Expense amounts shown are the total projected employer cost attributable to this						
For the System as a whole, General Revenue is assumed to be the same percenta nainder of the cost is shown as All Other Funding.	ige of employer contr	ibutions in FY16 ar	nd FY17 as it is ex	pected to be in F	Y15. The	
DNCERNS:						
imated Costs are included below as a result of these amounts not directly part of	f the UT System bill p	oattern:				

Est 2014 \$5,906.000 (\$1,441.000 GR & \$4,465,000 Local/Not Appropriated Funds) Bud 2015 \$7,199.000 (\$1,746.000 GR & \$5,453,000 Local/Not Appropriated Funds) BL 2016 \$4,792,000 (\$1,163,000 GR & \$3,629,000 Local/Not Appropriated Funds) BL 2017 \$1.352,000 (\$328.000 GR & \$1,024,000 Local/Not Appropriated Funds)

6.J Page 14 of 17

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

720 The University of Texas System Administration

	Est 2014	Bud 2015	BL 2016	BL 2017	Excp 2016	Excp 2017
Item: 8 UT FLEX Plan Max Reduction				 		
Objects of Expense						
Strategy: 1-1-11 SYSTEM OFFICE OPERATIONS						
2009 OTHER OPERATING EXPENSE	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
SUBTOTAL, Strategy 1-1-11 TOTAL, Objects of Expense	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Method of Financing						
GENERAL REVENUE FUNDS Strategy: 1-1-11 SYSTEM OFFICE OPERATIONS						
1 General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
SUBTOTAL, Strategy 1-1-11 SUBTOTAL, GENERAL REVENUE FUNDS TOTAL, Method of Financing	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0

LEGAL AUTHORITY/STATUTORY REFERENCE FOR ITEM:

H.R. 3590, Secs. 9005 & 10902(adds Subsec. 125(i) Int. Rev. Code 1986); H.R. 4872, Sec. 1403(a)

DESCRIPTION/KEY ASSUMPTIONS:

1. This provision of the law became applicable to the System for CY14.

2. Due to mandatory reduction in annual election, System will lose FICA savings, i.e. gain a FICA cost.

3. Changes in enrollment and decreases in elections are expected to change cost by \$374,000 in FY14. This amount is expected to increase 6% per year for FY15-16.

4. Expense amounts shown are the total projected employer cost attributable to this item for all System institutions.

CONCERNS:

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

720 The University of Texas System Administration

Est 2014	Bud 2015	BL 2016	BL 2017	Excp 2016	Excp 2017
he UT System bill p	oattern:				
00	<u>.</u>	<u>.</u>	<u></u>	0.0	\$0
		ne UT System bill pattern:			

6.J Page 16 of 17

84th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

720 The University of Texas System Administration

	MOF RECAP					
	Est 2014	Bud 2015	BL 2016	BL 2017	Excp 2016	Excp 2017
GENERAL REVENUE FUNDS						
1 General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
SUBTOTAL, GENERAL REVENUE FUNDS	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL, ALL ITEMS	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

6.J Page 17 of 17

Schedule 2: Selected Educational, General and Other Funds

8/1/2014 9:35:16AM

84th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

720 The	e University of Texas Syst	tem Administration			
	Act 2013	Act 2014	Bud 2015	Est 2016	Est 2017
General Revenue Transfers					
Transfer from Coordinating Board for Advanced Research Program	0	0	0	0	0
Transfer from Coordinating Board for Texas College Work Study Program (2011, 2012, 2013)	0	0	0	0	0
Transfer from Coordinating Board for Professional Nursing Shortage Reduction Program	0	0	0	0	0
Transfer of GR Group Insurance Premium from Comptroller (UT and TAMU Components only)	87,469	105,188	112,899	0	0
Less: Transfer to Other Institutions	0	0	0	0	0
Less: Transfer to Department of Health, Disproportionate Share - State-Owned Hospitals (2011, 2012, 2013)	0	0	0	0	0
Other (Itemize)					
Other: Fifth Year Accounting Scholarship	0	0	0	0	0
Texas Grants	0	0	0	0	0
B-on-Time Program	0	0	0	0	0
Less: Transfer to System Administration	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal, General Revenue Transfers	87,469	105,188	112,899	0	0
General Revenue HEF for Operating Expenses	0	0	0	0	0
Transfer from Available University Funds (UT, A&M and Prairie View A&M Only)	39,078,927	41,325,875	49.590,841	0	0
Other Additions (Itemize)					
Increase Capital Projects - Educational and General Funds	0	0	0	0	0
Transfer from Department of Health. Disproportionate Share - State-owned Hospitals (2011, 2012, 2013)	0	0	0	0	0
Transfers from Other Funds, e.g., Designated funds transferred for educational and general activities (Itemize)	0	0	0	0	0
Transfer from Coordinating Board for Incentive Funding Other (Itemize)	0	0	0	0	0
Gross Designated Tuition (Sec. 54.0513)	0	0	0	0	.0
Indirect Cost Recovery (Sec. 145.001(d))	0	0	0	0	0
Correctional Managed Care Contracts	0	0	0	0	0

Schedule 3B: Staff Group Insurance Data Elements (UT/A&M) 84th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

720 The University of Texas System Administration

				GR-D/OEGI		
		E&G Enrollment	GR Enrollment	Enrollment	Total E&G (Check)	Local Non-E&G
GR & GR-D Percentages						
GR %	3.52%					
GR-D %	96.48%					
Total Percentage	100.00%					
FULL TIME ACTIVES						
1a Employee Only		122	4	118	122	176
2a Employee and Children		37	1	36	37	54
3a Employee and Spouse		36	1	35	36	52
4a Employee and Family		46	2	44	46	66
5a Eligible, Opt Out		9	0	9	9	13
6a Eligible, Not Enrolled		1	0	1	1	1
Total for This Section		251	8	243	251	362
PART TIME ACTIVES						
1b Employee Only		2	0	2	2	2
2b Employee and Children		1	0	1	1	1
3b Employee and Spouse		1	0	1	1	1
4b Employee and Family		0	0	0	0	0
5b Eligble, Opt Out		0	0	0	0	1
6b Eligible, Not Enrolled		1	0	1	1	2
Total for This Section		5	0	5	5	7
Total Active Enrollment		256	8	248	256	369

Schedule 3B: Staff Group Insurance Data Elements (UT/A&M) 84th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

720 The University of Texas System Administration

			GR-D/OEGI Enrollment		
	E&G Enrollment	GR Enrollment		Total E&G (Check)	Local Non-E&G
FULL TIME RETIREES by ERS					
1c Employee Only	72	3	69	72	104
2c Employee and Children	1	0	1	1	2
3c Employee and Spouse	37	1	36	37	54
4c Employee and Family	3	0	3	3	4
5c Eligble, Opt Out	5	0	5	5	7
6c Eligible, Not Enrolled	4	0	4	4	7
Total for This Section	122	4	118	122	178
PART TIME RETIREES by ERS					
1d Employee Only	0	0	0	0	0
2d Employee and Children	0	0	0	0	0
3d Employee and Spouse	0	0	0	0	0
4d Employee and Family	0	0	0	0	0
5d Eligble, Opt Out	0	0	0	0	0
6d Eligible, Not Enrolled	0	0	0	0	0
Total for This Section	0	0	0	0	0
Total Retirees Enrollment	122	4	118	122	178
TOTAL FULL TIME ENROLLMENT					
le Employee Only	194	7	187	194	280
2e Employee and Children	38	1	37	38	56
3e Employee and Spouse	73	2	71	73	106
4e Employee and Family	49	2	47	49	70
5e Eligble, Opt Out	14	0	14	14	20
6e Eligible, Not Enrolled	5	0	5	5	8
Total for This Section	373	12	361	373	540

Schedule 3B: Staff Group Insurance Data Elements (UT/A&M) 84th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

720 The University of Texas System Administration

			GR-D/OEGI		
	E&G Enrollment	GR Enrollment	Enrollment	Total E&G (Check)	Local Non-E&G
TOTAL ENROLLMENT					
1f Employee Only	196	7	189	196	282
2f Employee and Children	39	1	38	39	57
3f Employee and Spouse	74	2	72	74	107
4f Employee and Family	49	2	47	49	70
5f Eligble, Opt Out	14	0	14	14	21
6f Eligible, Not Enrolled	6	0	6	6	10
Total for This Section	378	12	366	378	547

Schedule 6: Constitutional Capital Funding 84th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evalutation System of Texas (ABEST)

	720 The University of Texas Syst	em Administration			
Activity	Act 2013	Act 2014	Bud 2015	Est 2016	Est 2017
A. PUF Bond Proceeds Allocation	2,700,000	2,700,000	2,700,000	2,700,000	2,700,000
Project Allocation					
Library Acquisitions	2,700,000	2,700,000	2,700,000	2,700,000	2,700,000
Construction, Repairs and Renovations	0	0	0	0	0
Furnishings & Equipment	0	0	0	0	0
Computer Equipment & Infrastructure	0	0	0	0	0
Reserve for Future Consideration	0	0	0	0	0
Other (Itemize)					
B. HEF General Revenue Allocation	0	0	0	0	0
Project Allocation					
Library Acquisitions	0	0	0	0	0
Construction, Repairs and Renovations	0	0	0	0	0
Furnishings & Equipment	0	0	0	0	0
Computer Equipment & Infrastructure	0	0	0	0	0
Reserve for Future Consideration	0	0	0	0	0
HEF for Debt Service	0	0	0	0	0
Other (Itemize)					

Schedule 7: Personnel

Date: 8/1/2014 Time: 9:35:17AM

84th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency code: 720	Agency name:	UT Sys Admin				
		Actual	Actual	Budgeted	Estimated	Estimated
		2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Part A.						
FTE Postions						
Directly Appropriated Funds (Bill Pattern)						
Educational and General Funds Non-Faculty Employees		255.1	277.2	309.4	309.4	309.4
Subtotal, Directly Appropriated Funds		255.1	277.2	309.4	309.4	309.4
Non Appropriated Funds Employees		339.8	338.3	376.2	376.2	376.2
Subtotal, Other Funds & Non-Appropriated		339.8	338.3	376.2	376.2	376.2
GRAND TOTAL		594.9	615.5	685.6	685.6	685.6

Part B. **Personnel Headcount**

Directly Appropriated Funds (Bill Pattern)					
Educational and General Funds Non-Faculty Employees	286.0	294.0	331.0	331.0	331.0
Subtotal, Directly Appropriated Funds	286.0	294.0	331.0	331.0	331.0
Non Appropriated Funds Employees	344.0	347.0	391.0	391.0	391.0
Subtotal, Non-Appropriated	344.0	347.0	391.0	391.0	391.0
GRAND TOTAL	630.0	641.0	722.0	722.0	722.0

	Schedule 7: Personnel 84th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)							
Agency code: 720 A	Agency name:	UT Sys Admin						
		Actual 2013	Actual 2014	Budgeted 2015	Estimated 2016	Estimated 2017		
PART C. Salaries								
Directly Appropriated Funds (Bill Pattern)								
Educational and General Funds Non-Faculty Employees		\$23,633,978	\$29,330,896	\$33,142,111	\$33,142,111	\$33,142,111		
Subtotal, Directly Appropriated Funds		\$23,633,978	\$29,330,896	\$33,142,111	\$33,142,111	\$33,142,111		
Non Appropriated Funds Employees		\$26,754,019	\$30,187,538	\$32,382,994	\$32,382,994	\$32,382,994		
Subtotal, Non-Appropriated		\$26,754,019	\$30,187,538	\$32,382,994	\$32,382,994	\$32,382,994		
GRAND TOTAL		\$50,387,997	\$59,518,434	\$65,525,105	\$65,525,105	\$65,525,105		

_

Schedule 8C: Revenue Capacity for Tuition Revenue Bond Projects

DATE: 8/1/2014 TIME: 9:35:18AM

84th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency Code:720Agency Name:	The University of Texas Syst	em Administration			
	Act 2013	Act 2014	Bud 2015	Est 2016	Est 2017
Gross Tuition	\$545,798.616	\$571,637,651	\$583,560,119	\$596,207,949	\$607,932,732
Less: Remissions and Exemptions	(134.661,323)	(144,293,303)	(150,537,908)	(154,652,006)	(158,767,901)
Less: Refunds	0	0	0	0	0
Less: Installment Payment Forfeits	0	0	0	0	0
Less: Tuition rebates for certain undergraduates (TX. Educ. Code Ann. Sec. 54.0065)	(1.033,000)	(877,000)	(898,000)	(903,375)	(904,375)
Plus: Tuition waived for students 55 years or older (TX. Educ. Code Ann. Sec. 54.013)	0	0	0	0	0
Plus: Tuition waived for Texas Grant Recipients (TX. Educ. Code Ann. Sec. 56.307)	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	\$410,104,293	\$426,467,348	\$432,124,211	\$440,652,568	\$448,260,456
Less: Transfer of Funds for Texas Public Education Grants Program (TX. Educ. Code Ann. Sec. 56c) and for Emergency Loans (TX. Educ. Code Ann. Sec. 56d)	(43.127.940)	(44,385,084)	(45,056,144)	(46,058,787)	(46,712,173
Less: Transfer of Funds (2%) for Physician Loans (Medical School)	(522,189)	(501,781)	(529,261)	(606,442)	(607,253)
Less: Statutory Tuition (TX. Educ. Code Ann. Sec. 54.051) Set aside for Doctoral Incentive Loan Repayment Program (TX. Educ. Code Ann. Sec. 56.095)	(280,183)	(268.922)	(283.873)	(285,947)	(289,372)
Less: Other Authorized Deductions	(51,152)	(51,013)	(80,000)	(80,000)	(80,000)
Total Net Tuition Available to Pledge for Tuition Revenue Bonds	\$366,122,829	\$381,260,548	\$386,174,933	\$393,621,392	\$400,571,658
Debt Service on Existing Tuition Revenue Bonds	(124,565,534)	(129,877,084)	(129,961,671)	(129,962,709)	(129,972,971)
Estimated Debt Service for Authorized but Unissued Tuition Revenue Bonds	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal, Debt Service on Existing Authorizations	\$(124,565,534)	\$(129,877,084)	\$(129,961,671)	\$(129,962,709)	\$(129,972,971)
TOTAL TUITION AVAILABLE FOR NEW AUTHORIZATIONS	\$241,557,295	\$251,383,464	\$256,213,262	\$263,658,683	\$270,598,687
Debt Capacity Available for New Authorizations	\$2,559,061,427	\$2,663,160,001	\$2,714,326,945	\$2,793,203,846	\$2,866,726,342

Agency Code: 714

Agency Name: The University of Texas at Arlington

	Project Name	Authorization Year	Estimated Final Payment Date	Requested Amount 2016		Requested Amount 2017
ARL	1993 TRBs *	1993	8/15/2017	\$	972,750.00	\$ 194,712.50
ARL	Brick Repairs	1997	8/15/2018	\$	492,475.00	\$ 489,900.00
ARL	E&G Space Renovation	1997	8/15/2020	\$	169,662.50	\$ 167,312.50
ARL	HVAC/IAQ Improvements	1997	8/15/2022	\$	207,750.00	\$ 510,400.00
ARL	Natural History Annex	1997	8/15/2021	\$	12,575.00	\$ 17,050.00
ARL	Renovation of CBA II	1997	8/15/2018	\$	45,775.00	\$ 43,675.00
ARL	Campus Infrastructure	1997	8/15/2020	\$	34,725.00	\$ 18,150.00
ARL	Thermal Energy Plant	1997	8/15/2020	\$	269,125.00	\$ 66,787.50
ARL	Chemistry and Physics Building	2001	8/15/2023	\$	924,800.00	\$ 1,265,550.00
ARL	Engineering Research Complex	2006	8/15/2024	\$	4,290,550.00	\$ 4,640,550.00
				\$	7,420,187.50	\$ 7,414,087.50

* Debt service for TRBs authorized in 1971-1996 was allocated across all U. T. institutions based on their respective share of aggregate tuition. Debt service related to TRBs authorized in 1997-2011 is allocated to the institution to which the TRBs have been authorized.

Schedule 8D: Tuition Revenue Bonds Request by Project

84th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1

Agency Code: 721

Agency Name: The University of Texas at Austin

	Project Name	Authorization Year	Estimated Final Payment Date	Requested Amount 2016	Requested Amount 2017
AUS	1993 TRBs *	1993	8/15/2017	\$ 3,179,000.00	\$ 642,025.00
AUS	Sarah and Charles Seay Building	1997	8/15/2020	\$ 1,035,825.00	\$ 1,031,725.00
AUS	Experimental Science Building	2006	8/15/2020	\$ 9,659,656.25	\$ 12,203,906.25
				\$ 13,874,481.25	\$ 13,877,656.25

Agency Code: 738

Agency Name: The University of Texas at Dallas

	Project Name	Authorization Year	Estimated Final Payment Date	Requested Amount 2016	Requested Amount 2017
DAL	1993 TRBs *	1993	8/15/2017	\$ 531,500.00	\$ 105,250.00
DAL	Callier Center	1997	8/15/2018	\$ 143,112.50	\$ 141,550.00
DAL	Founders/Berkner Building	1997	8/15/2022	\$ 258,200.00	\$ 327,700.00
DAL	Founders Annex/Berkner Renovation	2001	8/15/2023	\$ 1,306,300.00	\$ 1,643,550.00
DAL	Vivarium & Experimental Space	2006	8/15/2020	\$ 1,099,062.50	\$ 1,124,812.50
			-	\$ 3,338,175.00	\$ 3,342,862.50

Agency	Code:	724
--------	-------	-----

Agency Name: The University of Texas at El Paso

	Project Name	Authorization Year	Estimated Final Payment Date	 Requested Amount 2016	Requested Amount 2017
ELP	1993 TRBs *	1993	8/15/2017	\$ 542,287.50	\$ 110,512.50
ELP	Upgrade and Replace Building Support	1997	8/15/2020	\$ 227,550.00	\$ 232,312.50
ELP	Upgrade Classroom & Lab	1997	8/15/2022	\$ 537,275.00	\$ 539,437.50
ELP	Bioscience Research Building	2001	8/15/2023	\$ 962,750.00	\$ 964,500.00
ELP	Physical Sciences/Engineering Core Facility	2006	8/15/2024	\$ 5,024,887.50	\$ 5,446,887.50
				\$ 7,294,750.00	\$ 7,293,650.00

Agency Code: 742

Agency Name: The University of Texas of the Permian Basin

	Project Name	Authorization Year	Estimated Final Payment Date	Requested Amount 2016	Requested Amount 2017
P-B	1993 TRBs *	1993	8/15/2017	\$ 79,725.00	\$ 15,787.50
P-B	Library/Lecture Center	1997	8/15/2021	\$ 728,350.00	\$ 736,587.50
P-B	Thermal Energy Plant	1997	8/15/2022	\$ 431,925.00	\$ 442,237.50
P-B	Mesa Building Improvements	2001	8/15/2023	\$ 411,600.00	\$ 573,600.00
P-B	Science & Technology Complex	2006	8/15/2024	\$ 3,763,187.50	\$ 3,687,937.50
P-B	Wagner Noel Performing Arts Center	2006	8/15/2024	\$ 3,061,112.50	\$ 3,017,612.50
				\$ 8,475,900.00	\$ 8,473,762.50

Agency Code: 746

Agency Name: The University of Texas Rio Grande Valley

	Project Name	Authorization Year	Estimated Final Payment Date	 Requested Amount 2016	Requested Amount 2017
BRW	1993 TRBs *	1993	8/15/2017	\$ 68,937.50	\$ 10,525.00
BRW	Life & Health Science Ph. I	1997	8/15/2022	\$ 1,869,112.50	\$ 1,909,837.50
BRW	Education and Business Complex	2001	8/15/2023	\$ 1,637,250.00	\$ 1,635,500.00
BRW	Science & Tech. Learning Center	2006	8/15/2023	\$ 2,049,637.50	\$ 2,065,637.50
P-A	1993 TRBs *	1993	8/15/2017	\$ 478,350.00	\$ 94,725.00
P-A	Classroom/Computing Center Building	1997	8/15/2022	\$ 1,410,825.00	\$ 1,435,975.00
P-A	Administrative Offices Renovation	2001	8/15/2022	\$ 20,637.50	\$ 34,850.00
P-A	Campus Entrance/Visitor's Center	2001	8/15/2022	\$ 182,787.50	\$ 245,437.50
P-A	Education Complex Renovation	2001	8/15/2022	\$ 1,272,400.00	\$ 1,726,650.00
P-A	Fine Arts Academic & Performance Comple	2006	8/15/2021	\$ 3,693,200.00	\$ 3,522,450.00
P-A	Starr County Upper Level Center	2006	8/15/2022	\$ 154,425.00	\$ 154,425.00
				\$ 12,837,562.50	\$ 12,836,012.50

Agency	Code:	743
--------	-------	-----

Agency Name: The University of Texas at San Antonio

	Project Name	Authorization Year	Estimated Final Payment Date	 Requested Amount 2016	Requested Amount 2017
S-A	1993 TRBs *	1993	8/15/2017	\$ 722,787.50	\$ 142,087.50
S-A	Academic Building III	1997	8/15/2023	\$ 1,241,462.50	\$ 1,573,837.50
S-A	Downtown Building Ph. III	1997	8/15/2022	\$ 2,919,562.50	\$ 3,217,250.00
S-A	Biotechnology/Sciences & Engineering Buil	2001	8/15/2023	\$ 1,767,800.00	\$ 1,855,375.00
S-A	Engineering Building Ph. II	2006	8/15/2023	\$ 4,571,925.00	\$ 4,438,425.00
			-	\$ 11,223,537.50	\$ 11,226,975.00

Agency Code: 750

Agency Name: The University of Texas at Tyler

	Project Name	Authorization Year	Estimated Final Payment Date	Requested Amount 2016	Requested Amount 2017
TYL	1993 TRBs *	1993	8/15/2017	\$ 106,300.00	\$ 21,050.00
TYL	Campus Upgrade & Equipment	1997	8/15/2020	\$ 380,650.00	\$ 388,587.50
TYL	Longview Higher Education	1997	8/15/2020	\$ 338,525.00	\$ 334,087.50
TYL	Palestine Expansion	1997	8/15/2023	\$ 39,975.00	\$ 43,400.00
TYL	Engineering, Sciences & Technology Building	2001	8/15/2023	\$ 926,300.00	\$ 1,291,800.00
TYL	Complete/Renovate/Expand Engineering Building	2006	8/15/2024	\$ 3,091,562.50	\$ 2,808,062.50
TYL	Expansion of Palestine Campus	2006	8/15/2024	\$ 346,725.00	\$ 342,225.00
				\$ 5,230,037.50	\$ 5,229,212.50

Agency Code: 723

Agency Name: The University of Texas Medical Branch at Galveston

	Project Name	Authorization Year	Estimated Final Payment Date	 Requested Amount 2016	Requested Amount 2017
GMB	1993 TRBs *	1993	8/15/2017	\$ 276,537.50	\$ 57,887.50
GMB	Research Facilities Expansion	2001	8/15/2023	\$ 1,129,800.00	\$ 2,269,050.00
GMB	Galveston National Laboratory	2006	8/15/2024	\$ 4,776,390.00	\$ 4,574,015.00
GMB	Jennie Sealy Hospital	2009	8/15/2027	\$ 10,999,450.00	\$ 10,283,450.00
			-	\$ 17,182,177.50	\$ 17,184,402.50

Agency Code: 744

Agency Name: The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston

	Project Name	Authorization Year	Estimated Final Payment Date	Requested Amount 2016	Requested Amount 2017
HHS	1993 TRBs *	1993	8/15/2017	\$ 356.000.00	\$ 68,412.50
HHS	Brownsville Public Health Div. Of the RAHC	1997	8/15/2022	\$ 348,375.00	\$ 444,200.00
HHS	Nursing and Student Community Center	1997	8/15/2023	\$ 997,900.00	\$ 999,150.00
HHS	Purchase of Hermann Professional Building	2001	8/15/2023	\$ 1,447,750.00	\$ 1,976,000.00
HHS	MSB Perimeter Berm	2003	8/15/2023	\$ 180,400.00	\$ 249,650.00
HHS	Repair of the Medical School Building, Ph. I	2003	8/15/2023	\$ 1,738,850.00	\$ 2,407,850.00
HHS	Replacement Research Facility	2003	8/15/2023	\$ 2,391,540.00	\$ 1,311,540.00
HHS	Research Expansion Project	2003	8/15/2023	\$ 958,552.50	\$ 940,552.50
HHS	UT Research Park Complex	2006	8/15/2024	\$ 4,138,050.00	\$ 4,164,800.00
				\$ 12,557,417.50	\$ 12,562,155.00

Schedule 8D: Tuition Revenue Bonds Request by Project

84th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1

Agency Code: 506

Agency Name: The University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center

	Project Name	Authorization Year	Estimated Final Payment Date	 Requested Amount 2016	Requested Amount 2017
MDA	Mitchell Basic Science Research Building	2001	8/15/2023	\$ 1,556,900.00	\$ 1,548,900.00
MDA	Infrastructure Improvements	2003	8/15/2023	\$ 1,433,550.00	\$ 1,991,800.00
MDA	Center for Targeted Therapy	2006	8/15/2024	\$ 2,919,550.00	\$ 2,373,050.00
				\$ 5,910,000.00	\$ 5,913,750.00

Agency Code: 745

Agency Name: The University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio

	Project Name	Authorization Year	Estimated Final Payment Date		Requested Amount 2016		Requested Amount 2017
SAH SAH SAH SAH SAH	1993 TRBs * Harlingen Medical Education Division of the RAHC D.D. Hachar Building Student Services/Academic Annex Teaching/Learning Lab-RAHC Harlingen	1993 1997 2001 2001 2001	0/10/2017	\$ \$ \$	361,787.50 1,367,575.00 226,000.00 1,002,150.00 1,795.000.00	\$ \$ \$	78,937.50 1,832,475.00 228,500.00 1,003,150.00 2,132,000.00
SAH SAH	Teaching/Learning Lab-RAHC Laredo South Texas Research Facility	2001 2006	8/15/2024 8/15/2024		848,507.50 4.103,100.00 9,704,120.00	\$	444,257.50 3,989,600.00 9,708,920.00

720 The University of Texas System Administration

Special Item: 1 Darrell K Royal Texas Alzheimer's Initiative

(1) Year Special Item: 2014 Original Appropriations: \$9,230,625

(2) Mission of Special Item:

The University of Texas System is the trustee for funds to be allocated at the direction of the Texas Council on Alheimer's Diseases and Related Disorders as provided by law to the Consortium of Alzheimer's Disease Centers and for other disease-specific purposes that are part of the Darrell K Royal Texas Alzheimer's Initiative as approved by the Texas Council on Alzheimer's Disease and Related Disorders.

(3) (a) Major Accomplishments to Date:

Funding has been disbursed to the Consortium members.

(3) (b) Major Accomplishments Expected During the Next 2 Years:

Research will be conducted at the direction of the Texas Council on Alzheimer's Disease and Related Disorders.

(4) Funding Source Prior to Receiving Special Item Funding:

Previously, funds were trusteed to the Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board for the benefit of the Texas Council on Alzheimer's Disease and Related Disorders.

(5) Formula Funding: N

(6) Non-general Revenue Sources of Funding:

None

(7) Consequences of Not Funding:

Research would not be conducted.