### OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL

The University of Texas System
201 West 7th Street
Austin, Texas 78701
Telephone (512) 499-4465 Fax (512) 499-4523

Barbara M. Holthaus Senior Attorney

THIS MEMORANDUM IS INTENDED AS A GENERAL SUMMARY OF THE APPLICABLE LAW AS OF THE DATE THE MEMORANDUM WAS ISSUED.

IT IS NOT INTENDED AS A SUBSTITUTE FOR LEGAL ADVICE.

Revised & Reissued October 24, 2011<sup>1</sup>

MEMORANDUM

TO: Barry D. Burgdorf

FROM: Barbara Holthaus

SUBJECT: Sharing Information about Troubled and Potentially Dangerous Students

on Campus

Educators, mental-health officials, and law enforcement officers often have access to information about troubled students. However, frequently, they are reluctant to take action in response to this information because they are confused about what they can disclose under complex and sometimes overlapping privacy laws. There are federal and state laws and regulations that limit how and with whom information obtained from students' university education and medical records can be shared.

However, university personnel can and do monitor and share information about potentially dangerous students without violating state and federal privacy requirements. This paper summarizes when and how information about a potentially dangerous student can be shared to mitigate the potential for harm to the student, as well as other members of the campus community.

#### The Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA)

Generally, any action by a university in response to a student's conduct will involve the sharing and dissemination of information contained in the student's education record. Therefore, it is important to understand the restrictions and allowances provided by the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA).

FERPA is a federal law<sup>2</sup> that, along with federal regulations<sup>3</sup> implementing the law, protects the privacy of student education records. FERPA generally requires a university to have written permission from a student attending the university before the university may release any information from the student's education record. An education record is broadly defined as "those records that are directly related to a student and maintained by an education agency or institution or by a party acting for the agency or institution." A record may be a formal academic record or something as informal as an e-mail. Even a personal note kept by an individual to jog his or her own memory becomes an education record once it is revealed to any other person.<sup>5</sup>

FERPA is commonly perceived as an impediment to sharing information among university administrators, staff, and faculty charged with the duty to monitor and address potentially harmful student conduct. However, even though FERPA broadly protects a student's privacy in education records, it provides exceptions that allow the non-consensual disclosure of information from a student's education record to facilitate a university's efforts to address student conduct.

First, it is important to remember that FERPA applies only to the disclosure of information obtained from an *education record*. FERPA does *not* apply to the disclosure of information from any other source. This distinction means that university faculty or staff do not violate FERPA by disclosing information that is based on their own personal knowledge or observations or from a conversation with the student or another individual who has observed the student. (See the "Mental Health Records" section for the special requirements applicable to mental health care providers.) However, once that information is reported to a university official and becomes a record, the information in the record becomes subject to FERPA. In other words, if information about potentially dangerous student conduct is derived from a non-educational record, university faculty and staff have one chance to report and potentially act on the information. However, once that information is reported to a university official and becomes an education record subject to FERPA, further reporting or sharing of that information must be pursuant to a FERPA exception discussed below.

#### • The University Official Exception

One of the most useful exceptions allows any staff or faculty member to access student education records if the university has designated that individual as a university official with a legitimate educational interest in those records.<sup>6</sup> The model FERPA policy drafted by the UT System Office of General Counsel has a very broad model definition for "university officials" for purposes of this exception that includes anyone who needs to review an education record in order to fulfill his or her professional responsibility and who is:

- a person employed by the university in an administrative, supervisory, academic, or support staff position (including a law enforcement unit or health staff);
- a person or company with whom the university has a contract or affiliation (such as an attorney, auditor, collection agent, or clinical facility);

- a member of the Board of Trustees; or
- a person assisting another university official in performing his or her tasks.

Under this exception, any university or UT System official whose input is needed- such as the dean of students, the student's teachers and advisors, university police, or legal counsel- can meet, share, consider, and compile information about potentially dangerous student conduct reported to the university and access other information contained in the student's education record to determine whether action should be taken to address the conduct.

In relying upon the "legitimate educational interest" exception, campus faculty and staff should determine whether the university has adopted the UT System model policy or, if that campus has adopted a non-standard definition, ensure that information from education records are shared in compliance with that campus's own definition of "a university official with a legitimate educational interest" in the records.

In addition, as discussed more fully under "Mental Health Records" in the next section, neither the "legitimate educational interest" exception, nor any other FERPA exception, allows a university mental health professional to disclose mental health records or client information that the professional has obtained through providing treatment to a student. Thus, while university mental health professionals can, and probably should, be a part of a university team investigating and evaluating student conduct, they should not provide input on a particular student where the input would cause them to violate their legal obligation of confidentiality to that student.

#### • The Health & Safety Emergency Exception

If the university determines that individuals outside of the university should be informed about student conduct, other FERPA exceptions permit student education records to be shared with third parties. Notably, FERPA permits disclosure of protected information to any appropriate parties in connection with an emergency if knowledge of the information is necessary to protect the health or safety of the student or other individuals. This exception allows university officials to act quickly in emergencies to contact outside parties who may require the information to protect themselves and/or to assist the University in addressing the emergency such as parents or law enforcement or health authorities.

Amendments to FERPA adopted in 2009 following the Virginia Tech shootings grant university administrators deference to determine what constitutes an emergency that triggers the health and safety exception. The amended regulations now permit a disclosure under the health and safety exception when a university, taking into account the totality of circumstances, determines there is an articulable and significant threat to the health or safety of the student or other individuals.

Release under the health or safety emergency exception continues to be limited to "appropriate parties." The FERPA regulations do not define "appropriate parties," leaving it to the university to determine who is an "appropriate party" based on the particular circumstances. So, for example, the University's determination that an emergency exists would permit university

officials to share any information with local broadcast media as needed to alert the public to a threat posed by an armed student, but it would not justify the sharing of information not required to alleviate the threat such as the release of the student's transcript or class schedule to a reporter writing a "human interest" story about the incident. In addition, once the threat of harm has dissipated, the exception is no longer available to the university.

## • University Police Departments

Even in the absence of an emergency, FERPA permits an institution to contact its own law enforcement unit to investigate possible violations of and to enforce any local, state, or federal law. The university can utilize campus police to diffuse a crisis situation by arresting and detaining a student who has exhibited potentially dangerous conduct regardless of the source through which the university received the information. Records created by the campus police in their capacity as a law enforcement unit are not education records and are not subject to FERPA. Records protected by FERPA and accessed by university police in a non-law enforcement capacity would still remain subject to FERPA, but could be released by university police to appropriate parties under the FERPA health or safety emergency exception described above.

UT System police are considered to be law enforcement units as defined by FERPA. They can be contacted by administrative staff about student conduct and may conduct separate, tandem investigations on any reported conduct that constitutes a possible violation of applicable law while the school officials conduct their own investigations under their disciplinary process. University police can share information gained from their own law enforcement investigation with the school officials conducting a student discipline investigation and with outside law enforcement agencies. They can communicate directly with mental health professionals who are otherwise precluded from sharing information about threats of violence that the mental health professional received from a student through a confidential therapist-patient relationship. They can diffuse a crisis situation by arresting and detaining a student who has exhibited potentially dangerous conduct regardless of the source through which they received the information.

#### **Mental Health Records**

#### • University Mental Health Records

Records made or maintained by a university mental health care provider in the course of providing a student with medical or psychological care are generally not "education records" and would not be subject to FERPA. This means that the exceptions available under FERPA that allow disclosure would *not* apply to treatment records held by the University's counseling center or student health center. <sup>13</sup>

In addition, medical records created or held by a university clinic or center for providing medical or mental health treatment to students is generally *not* be subject to the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1974 (HIPAA) and the federal Privacy Rules implementing HIPAA. <sup>14</sup> The HIPAA Privacy Rules specifically exclude protected health information in

student medical records as well as student education records subject to FERPA from the applicability of HIPAA. <sup>15</sup>

Instead, university mental health care providers are required to comply with the limitations imposed by the state laws that govern the disclosure of mental health records and medical records. In Texas, Chapter 611 of the Texas Health and Safety Code (the Code)<sup>16</sup> makes all information about a patient obtained through the therapeutic relationship confidential.<sup>17</sup> This includes information gleaned through the therapist's conversations with and observations of the patient within the therapeutic relationship, as well information contained in the actually treatment records.<sup>18</sup>

Chapter 611 applies to any professional who diagnoses, evaluates, or treats any mental or emotional condition or disorder, including alcoholism or drug addiction. Communications between such professionals and their clients, and records of the identity, diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment of a client, are confidential. The professional is prohibited from disclosing even the identity of a client to third parties unless specifically authorized by state law.

Chapter 611 does specifically authorize a mental health care provider to disclose patient information to *medical or law enforcement personnel* if the professional determines that there is a probability of imminent physical injury by the patient to the patient or others, or determines there is a probability of immediate mental or emotional injury to the patient.<sup>19</sup> This exception does not permit the mental health professional to disclose information to university officials who are neither medical nor law enforcement personnel. This makes it far narrower than the exception available under FERPA for education records under the FERPA health and safety emergency exception.

By way of example, in an emergency situation, a mental health professional treating a potentially dangerous student would be able to share confidential information about the student freely with other campus and non-campus medical and mental health professionals and campus police and off-campus law enforcement officials to take steps to assess whether to detain or arrest the student, to help police to locate a student, and to make arrangements for a mental inquest warrant. However, the mental health professional could not share the information with university officials who are neither medical nor law enforcement personnel, such as the Dean of Students or a campus Behavioral Intervention or Threat Assessment Team or with the student's parents or friends under the exception. Chapter 611 provides no exception that would allow a mental health professional to divulge information to a patient's parent unless the patient is a minor or the parent has been appointed as the legal guardian of an adult student.

## • Court Records of Mental Health Proceedings

Public information available about mental health legal proceedings is very limited in Texas. Judicial records are not subject to the Texas Public Information Act. The statute governing involuntary commitments and other mental inquest proceedings<sup>20</sup> provides that "each paper in a docket for mental health proceedings . . . is a public paper of a private nature that may be used, inspected or copied only upon written order issued by the county judge." A review of county

clerk office websites indicates that most clerks deem all records from the docket to be confidential.

The ability of a university to access the results of a civil court proceeding regarding a student's mental health, such as an involuntary commitment, depends on the circumstances. If university officials or the office of police are involved in the transport of the student to the hospital and or the commitment proceedings, they may have formal or informal access to information about the proceedings and their outcomes. If the university or its police department are not involved in the commitment or the court orders that the results are to be shared with the university, there may be little the university can do to track the outcome of mental health proceedings concerning its students. In such cases, the university may want to try to leverage informal relationships with the hospital and court personnel and utilize its campus BIT team to track students in such situations.

## **Sharing Information with Parents**

Regardless of the age of the student, the parents of a student enrolled in a university do not have automatic access to the student's education record. FERPA permits parents to access a student's education record if the student is the parent's tax dependent for federal income tax purposes. However, the student is entitled to rebut a parental claim of dependency status by establishing that the student has claimed himself or herself for tax purposes. Therefore, this exception may not always be available.

As previously discussed, it would not be a FERPA violation for the university official to contact a parent with information about a student gained from personal observation or from a conversation with the student or another individual who has observed the student. Provided that care is made to articulate that the information has not been accessed from an education record, it would be possible for parents to be informed about their child's behavior gained from first hand observation if the university official believes that parental involvement would be helpful in a given situation involving student conduct.

The FERPA exception for a health or safety emergency would allow the university to share information from a student's education record with a parent if the disclosure to the parent was necessary to protect the health or safety of the student or others. However, neither of these options would be available to a health care provider whose observations occurred in his or her confidential treatment relationship with a student.

FERPA also provides an exception that permits a university to disclose to the parent of a student under the age of 21 that the student has violated an applicable law or institutional policy governing alcohol or controlled substances. <sup>22</sup>

#### **Determining What Actions Should Be Taken**

Obviously, a university's response in a specific situation will depend on the particular circumstances involved. Students may not be discriminated against solely because they have a mental or physical condition.<sup>23</sup> The focus must be on the conduct of the student.

In a crisis situation, the temporary removal of a student through an arrest or an involuntary commitment may be necessary for the safety of the student or individuals at the university and in the surrounding community. However, once the emergency situation has been addressed, the student will generally be entitled to some level of due process, such as a disciplinary hearing, before he or she can be finally dismissed or barred from the university. In addition, the disciplinary process may serve as a mechanism for requiring a student to receive treatment to address potentially dangerous behavior and to consent to the disclosure of the student's treatment records to the university. The Office of General Counsel has prepared a more detailed memo, "Procedures for Responding to Dangerous Student Conduct," which explains the role of the disciplinary process in addressing student conduct.. It is available from this office upon request.

# **Summary**

Below is a general summary of the application of the relevant privacy laws to the disclosure of information concerning potentially dangerous student conduct on UT System campuses. This summary should be used only as an initial reference tool. It is not intended to provide a full analysis of the laws and exceptions applicable to a particular situation nor is it intended to be a substitute for legal advice.

## FERPA (Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act)

- Generally requires a university to have written permission from a student before the university may release information from the student's education record.
- Allows access to a student's education record by university officials with a legitimate educational interest in the record.
- Allows disclosure of information in a student's education record to appropriate parties in connection with an emergency if necessary to protect the health or safety of a student or other individuals.
- Allows a university to contact its law enforcement unit to investigate possible violations of and enforce any local, state, or federal law.
- Does not apply to records gathered through an investigation conducted by campus police in their capacity as a law enforcement unit.
- Does not apply to direct observations of a student's behavior; thus, information from direct observation may be freely shared with anyone. (Different rules apply if the observation was obtained by a health professional during the course of a therapeutic relationship.)
- Generally does not apply to records made or maintained by a university student health facility in the course of providing a student with medical or psychological care. (Texas mental health laws govern the disclosure of these records.)
- Limits circumstances in which student education records may be shared with a parent.

# **Texas Health and Safety Code**

- Generally provides that all information about a patient obtained through the therapeutic relationship with a mental health care provider is confidential, including records as well as information gleaned through the therapist's conversations and observations.
- Allows a mental health care provider to disclose patient information to medical or law enforcement personnel without the patient's consent if there is a probability of:
  - o Imminent physical injury by the patient to the patient or others; or
  - o Immediate mental or emotional injury to the patient.
- Provides that each paper in a court docket for mental health proceedings is a public paper
  of a private nature that may be used, inspected, or copied only on written order issued by
  the county judge.

**HIPAA** (Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1974)

- Does not apply to student medical records.
- Does not apply to student education records subject to FERPA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This version has been revised and updated for current use. The original version of this memo, *Procedures for Responding to Dangerous Student Conduct,* co-authored by Karen Lundquist, Deputy Ethics Officer and Senior Attorney, was issued in July 2007 shortly after the publication of the President on Issues Raised by the Virginia Tech Tragedy" US Department of Health & Human Services, June 13, 2007. That report is at: <a href="http://www.usdoj.gov/opa/pr/2007/June/vt\_report\_061307.pdf">http://www.usdoj.gov/opa/pr/2007/June/vt\_report\_061307.pdf</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 20 U.S.C. §1232g

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> 34 CFR Part 99

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> 34 CFR §99.2, "Education Record,"(a)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> 34 CFR §99.2 (b)(1)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> 34 CFR §99.31(a)(1)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> http://www.utsystem.edu/ogc/CATALOG/ferpa.htm.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> 34 CFR §99.36(a)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> 34 CFR §99.8(c)(1)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> 34 CFR §99.8(d)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> In addition to the potential FERPA issues, UT System police generally do not take part in purely administrative internal investigations, including student disciplinary investigations, to avoid any risk that the disciplinary process would be characterized as a criminal proceeding.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> 34 CFR §99.3, "Education Records," (b)(4)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> A record that originates as a university medical record but is subsequently shared with another university official for a legitimate educational purpose becomes an education record subject to FERPA. For example, if a medical record is provided at a student's request to a faculty member or the dean of students in support of the student's request to be granted a medical leave of absence, that record would become part of the student's education record and would be subject to FERPA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> 45 CFR Parts 160 and 164

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> 45 CFR §160.103

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Texas Health & Safety Code Chapter 611

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> A similar provision, Occupations Code Chapter 159, applies to medical, as a opposed to mental health, treatment A similar provision, Occupations Code Chapter 159, applies to medical, as a opposed to mental health, treatment records.

18 Texas Health & Safety Code § 611.002

19 Texas Health & Safety Code § 611.004(2)

20 Texas Health & Safety Code §571.015(a)

21 34 CFR §99.31(8)

22 34 CFR §99.31(15

23 Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Section 504, 29 USC § 794; 34 CFR Part 104

24 Procedures for Responding to Dangerous Student Conduct, Barbara Holthaus & Karen Lundquist, Revised and Undered October 27, 2011

and Updated, October 27, 2011.